


**Opinion
Research
Associates, Inc.**



1501 N. University Ave.
Suite 769
Little Rock, AR 72207
Tel: 501-663-2414
Fax: 501-663-2494
oakleafs@aol.com

A Survey of
Benton, Madison and Washington Counties

Presented to:

Beaver Watershed Alliance

May 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
MASTER QUESTIONNAIRE	11
BENTON COUNTY, MADISON AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES WEIGHTED AGGREGATE SAMPLE CROSS-TABULATIONS	50
BENTON COUNTY UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE CROSS-TABULATIONS	129
MADISON COUNTY UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE CROSS-TABULATIONS	209
WASHINGTON COUNTY UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE CROSS-TABULATIONS ..	290
VERBATIM RESPONSES	371

INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

Opinion Research Associates, Inc., interviewed by telephone 402 heads of household in Benton, Madison, and Washington Counties in Arkansas. Telephone numbers were selected at random from lists of registered voters and respondents were screened so as to speak with a household head. Registered voters were sampled because voter files contain cell phone numbers voluntarily submitted by voters. Interviews were conducted March 19 - 27, 2013, from ORA's central telephone facility in Little Rock

The sample was drawn from the three counties as follows: Benton County, 100 respondents; Madison County 200 respondents; and Washington County 100 respondents. The total three-county area was represented by a sample of 402 respondents weighted so that each county was represented by the appropriate proportion of the sample according to its population. In the weighted sample Benton County amounts to 51% of the sample, Madison County to 4%, and Washington County to 46% of the sample.

The margin of error for the aggregate weighted sample of 402 cases is approximately ± 6 percentage points at the 95% level of confidence. The two county sample of 100 respondents each have margins of error of approximately ± 10 percentage points, and the county sample of 200 respondents has a margin of error of approximately ± 7 percentage points, also at the 95% level of confidence.

Findings are rounded to the nearest percentage point and may not add up 100% owing to rounding error. Findings were also weighted for the entire sample of 669 cases, with the respective market areas each weighted to their proportion of the population of the area.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- When asked to rate the seriousness of 8 issues for Beaver Lake, the most serious issue rated was trash in lakes and streams at 60% either very (33%) or somewhat (27%) serious across all 3 counties. By county, 70% of respondents from Madison County rated the issue serious, 64% rated it serious in Benton County, and 54% rated it serious in Washington County.
- The second most serious issue in the area was agricultural and livestock run-off. 57% of respondents area-wide rated this issue as serious (29% very serious; 27% somewhat serious). In Benton County 57% rated it serious, in Washington County 55% rated it serious, and in Madison County 48% rated the issue as serious.
- Storm water run-off from new developments was rated serious by 50% of respondents area-wide (20% very serious; 30% somewhat serious). In Benton County 62% rated it serious, in Washington County and Madison County 47% rated the issue as serious.
- Wastewater treatment plant discharge to streams and preserving and restoring stream bank vegetation were both rated serious by 49%, with respondents nearly equally divided between very and somewhat serious. Wastewater discharge was rated as serious by 56% in Madison County, 50% in Benton County, and 48% in Washington County. The stream bank vegetation issue was rated as serious by 53% in Washington County, 48% in Madison County, and 45% in Benton County.
- 44% of respondents area-wide rated pollution from recreational activities as either very (16%) or somewhat (28%) serious. This issue was rated as serious by 49% in Madison County, 48% in Benton County, and 42% in Washington County.
- Poorly-maintained septic tanks were seen as a serious issue to 42% of respondents area-wide, 24% seeing this as a very serious issue and 18% as a somewhat serious issue. By county, 54% of respondents from Madison County rated the issue serious, 48% rated it serious in Benton County, and 36% rated it serious in Washington County.

- Finally, area-wide the least serious issue was seen to be storm water run-off from unpaved roads. A majority of respondents, 54% rated this issue as either not at all serious (24%) or not too serious (30%). Only 35% rated the issue as either very serious (9%) or somewhat serious (26%). County figures were similar. In Madison County 60% of respondents rated the issue as not serious and 34% rated it as serious, in Washington County 54% rated the issue as not serious and 34% rated it as serious, while in Benton County 52% rated the issue as not serious and 38% rated it as serious.
- 12% of respondents said there was another water problem they would like to see addressed. Most of the comments concerned various forms of pollution of Beaver Lake, many overlapping with the issues rated. Water availability was also a concern to respondents, and there was a scattering of complaints about water taste and the additives, fluoride and chlorine.
- 56% of respondents agree with the statement that water quality in Beaver Lake is endangered, 39% strongly agree and 27% agree somewhat. In Madison County 65% of respondents agreed while in Washington and Benton Counties 56% agreed with the statement.
- 49% of respondents disagreed with the statement “There is nothing I can do that affects the cost of cleaning Water from Beaver Lake for drinking.” 28% strongly disagreed and 21% disagreed somewhat. 46% of respondents agreed with the statement (28% strongly and 18% somewhat. Disagreement with the statement was heaviest in Washington where 52% disagreed and 43% agreed. In Madison County 50% disagreed and 47% agreed while in Benton County 48% agreed and 47% disagreed.
- 60% of respondents disagreed that “Stream bank Erosion has no impact on recreational use of Beaver Lake.” 43% disagreed strongly and 17% disagreed somewhat. 31% agreed with the statement. Majorities in each county disagreed with the statement: 61% to 34% in Benton County, 61% to 32% in Madison County, and 58% to 28% in Washington County.
- At 97% there is near unanimous agreement that “We need to protect habitat for wildlife and fish,” 82% agree strongly and 15% agree somewhat. In Washington county and Benton County there is 98% agreement, while in Madison County there is 92% agreement.

- Similarly, there is 98% agreement that “Beaver Lake is important to me and my family,” 82% agree strongly and 16% agree somewhat. In Benton County 99% of respondents agreed with the statement, in Washington County 97% agreed, and in Madison county 91% agreed.
- The most common use of Beaver Lake is for drinking water, indicated by 72% of respondents. In Washington 78% said they use it for drinking water, in Benton County 67% said they use it for drinking water, and in Madison County 61% use it for drinking water.
- 53% of respondents said they fish in Beaver Lake (Benton County 55%; Madison County 52%; and Washington County 51%).
- 48% of respondents said they use the lake for boating, etc. (Washington County 54%; Benton County 44%; and Madison County 37%).
- 38% said they swim or scuba dive in Beaver Lake (Benton County 42%; Madison County 33%; and Washington County 33%), and 36% said they use the lake for hiking (Benton County, 37%; Washington County 35%; and Madison County 26%).
- 30% said they use Beaver Lake for camping (Madison County 38%; Washington County 30%; and Benton County, 29%).
- Bird-watching was a use for 21% of respondents (Benton County 24%; Washington County 19%; and Madison County 16%).
- 16% of respondents reported using the lake for irrigation (Benton County 18%; Madison County 18%; and Washington County 15%), and 7% use the lake for watering livestock (Madison County 13%; Benton County 7%; and Washington County 6%).
- 10% of respondents did not report a use of the lake and 3% of respondents said they used the lake for some other purpose,

- Respondents were read a list of 11 entities they might have contacted for help in addressing water issues and asked whether they had contacted them, heard of, or not heard of them.
- The most contacted entity was their water district or water provider. 25% of respondents reported having contacted this agency. 72% said they had heard of it but not contacted it. In Washington County 26% had contacted it (72% had heard of it), in Madison County 25% had contacted it (69% had heard of it), and in Benton County 23% had contacted it (73% had heard of it).
- The second most contacted entity was their city, town or county, reported by 22% of respondents. 46% said that while they had not contacted the entity, they had heard of it. In Washington County 25% had contacted it (74% had heard of it), in Madison County 22% had contacted it (75% had heard of it), and in Benton County 18% had contacted it (79% had heard of it).
- 14% of respondents said they had contacted the Arkansas Game and Fish Commission. Another 83% said they had heard of it but not contacted. In Benton County the percentages were 16% contacted, 80% heard of. In both Madison and Washington Counties 13% had contacted the Commission and another 85% had heard of it.
- 13% of respondents said they had contacted the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, with another 86% having heard of it. In Benton County, remarkably, 22% said they had contacted the Corps (77% had heard of it). In Madison County 5% had contacted the Corps and 90% had heard of it, while in Washington County 4% had contacted the Corps and 95% had heard of it.
- 11% of respondents said they had contacted the county or state Health Department. Another 88% said they had heard of it but not contacted. In Benton County the percentages were 14% contacted, 85% heard of. In Madison County 13% contacted and 86% heard of; and in Washington County 8% had contacted the Health Department and another 91% had heard of it.

- Similarly, the County Extension Service had been contacted by 11% of respondents, though the Extension Service is not so familiar to respondents as most of the other entities. 73% said they had heard of it but not contacted. 16% had not heard of the Extension Service. In Madison County 13% said they had contacted the extension service and 75% said they had heard of it, 10% had not heard of it and in Benton County 13% had contacted the Extension Service while another 65% had heard of it and 22% had not. 9% in Washington County said they had contacted the Extension Service, 80% had heard of it, and 11% had not heard if it..
- The Arkansas Forestry Commission had been contacted by 10% of respondents with 79% otherwise reporting that they had heard of it. 11% of Benton County respondents had contacted the Commission with another 75% saying they had heard of it; 10% of Washington County respondents had contacted the Commission with 83% saying they had heard of it; and 6% of Madison County respondents had contacted the Commission with 91% saying they had heard of it.
- 8% of respondents said they had contacted the Audubon Society. Another 79% said they had heard of it but not contacted. In Benton County the 8% had contacted the Audubon Society and 72% had heard of it, while in Washington County 8% had contacted the Society and another 86% had heard of it. In Madison County 4% contacted the Society and 75% heard of it.
- The Illinois River Watershed Partnership is one of the least familiar entities tested, having been contacted by 5% of respondents with 52% otherwise reporting that they had heard of it. 40% of respondents said they had not heard of it 7% of Benton County respondents had contacted the Partnership with another 46% saying they had heard of it, and 44% saying they had not heard of it; 3% of Madison County respondents had contacted the Partnership with 49% saying they had heard of it, and 44% saying they had not heard of it; 3% of Washington County respondents had contacted the Partnership with 60% saying they had heard of it and 35% saying they had not.
- The Beaver Watershed Alliance is similarly not so well known. 4% of respondents said they had contacted the Alliance, 56% said they had otherwise heard of it, and 39% said they had not heard of it. In Madison County 5% had contacted the Alliance, 53% had heard of it, and 41% had not heard of it; in Washington County 4% had contacted it, 66% had heard of it, and 29% had not heard of it; and in Benton County, 3% had contacted the Alliance, 48% had heard of it, and 47% had not heard of it.

- Finally, the Association for Beaver Lake Environment was contacted by 2% of respondents, heard of by another 37%, and not heard of by 59%. In Benton County 4% of respondents had contacted the Association, 36% had heard of it, and 58% had not heard of it. In Madison 1% had contacted the Association, 40% had heard of it, and 57% had not heard of it. In Washington County 1% had contacted it, 38% had heard of it, and 61% had not heard of the Association.
- 2% of respondents said they had contacted or heard of some other source regarding water issues.
- The top sources of information about the community were Television, mentioned by 80% (82% Benton County, 78% Washington County, 77% Madison County), newspapers, 79% (84% Madison County, 80% Washington County, 77% Benton County), local gathering places, 52% (61% Madison County, 54% Benton County, 48% Washington County), Radio, 51% (57% Washington County, 53% Madison County, 45% Benton County), the Internet and social media, 40% (40% Benton County, 40% Washington County, 28% Madison County), and community newsletters, 26% (29% Benton County, 28% Madison County, 23% Washington County).

MASTER QUESTIONNAIRE

NORTHWEST ARKANSAS SURVEY 2013
*(Aggregate Area Findings Are Weighted According
 To Each County's Population Proportion)*

Hello. My name is _____ with Opinion Research Associates in Little Rock. We don't sell or attempt to sell anything – we are a professional research firm. Tonight we are doing a brief survey about people's opinions on issues related to water quality in northwest Arkansas. May I please speak with a head of household.

To begin with, I want to learn your views on major issues affecting Northwest Arkansas water. As I read a list of issues I would like for you to tell me how serious a problem you think each issue is. Is it...

1. Very serious
2. Somewhat serious
3. Not too serious
4. Not at all serious
- (DNR)9. Don't know/No response

Here's the first one. How serious is each of the following issues for Beaver Lake?

(ROTATE)

1. Trash in lakes and streams		%	
1. Very serious		33	
2. Somewhat serious		27	
3. Not too serious		21	
4. Not at all serious		11	
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response		7	

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
 By Respondent's County
 (Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	35	35%	96	48%	30	29%
Somewhat....	29	29%	47	24%	26	25%
Not too.....	19	19%	29	15%	25	25%
Not at all...	9	9%	20	10%	14	14%
Don't know..	8	8%	8	4%	7	7%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

2. Wastewater treatment plant discharge to streams

	%
1. Very serious	24
2. Somewhat serious	25
3. Not too serious	18
4. Not at all serious	18
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	14

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	26	26%	64	32%	22	22%
Somewhat....	24	24%	47	24%	27	26%
Not too.....	21	21%	38	19%	15	15%
Not at all..	15	15%	24	12%	23	23%
Don't know..	14	14%	27	14%	15	15%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

3. Storm water run-off from new developments

	%
1. Very serious	20
2. Somewhat serious	30
3. Not too serious	24
4. Not at all serious	18
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	8

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	15	15%	42	21%	25	25%
Somewhat....	37	37%	51	26%	22	22%
Not too.....	26	26%	51	26%	22	22%
Not at all..	14	14%	34	17%	24	24%
Don't know..	8	8%	22	11%	9	9%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

4. Agricultural and livestock run-off

	%
1. Very serious	29
2. Somewhat serious	28
3. Not too serious	25
4. Not at all serious	14
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	5

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	29	29%	46	23%	29	28%
Somewhat....	28	28%	49	25%	28	27%
Not too.....	25	25%	53	27%	25	25%
Not at all...	13	13%	39	20%	14	14%
Don't know..	5	5%	13	7%	6	6%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

5. Poorly-maintained septic tanks

	%
1. Very serious	24
2. Somewhat serious	18
3. Not too serious	24
4. Not at all serious	20
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	12

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	30	30%	57	29%	18	18%
Somewhat....	18	18%	49	25%	18	18%
Not too.....	25	25%	41	21%	25	25%
Not at all...	19	19%	35	18%	22	22%
Don't know..	8	8%	18	9%	19	19%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

6. Preserving and restoring stream bank vegetation

	%
1. Very serious	25
2. Somewhat serious	24
3. Not too serious	27
4. Not at all serious	15
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	10

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	21	21%	47	24%	29	28%
Somewhat....	24	24%	47	24%	25	25%
Not too.....	31	31%	53	27%	22	22%
Not at all...	15	15%	36	18%	15	15%
Don't know..	9	9%	17	9%	11	11%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

7. Pollution from recreational activities

	%
1. Very serious	16
2. Somewhat serious	28
3. Not too serious	31
4. Not at all serious	17
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	7

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	15	15%	47	24%	18	18%
Somewhat....	33	33%	49	25%	24	24%
Not too.....	33	33%	47	24%	29	28%
Not at all...	13	13%	42	21%	22	22%
Don't know..	6	6%	15	8%	9	9%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

8. Storm water run-off from unpaved roads
- | | |
|--------------------------------|----|
| | % |
| 1. Very serious | 9 |
| 2. Somewhat serious | 26 |
| 3. Not too serious | 30 |
| 4. Not at all serious | 24 |
| (DNR)9. Don't know/No response | 11 |

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	9	9%	27	14%	10	10%
Somewhat....	29	29%	39	20%	24	24%
Not too.....	33	33%	64	32%	27	26%
Not at all..	19	19%	56	28%	29	28%
Don't know..	10	10%	14	7%	12	12%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

9. Is there another water problem you would like to see addressed?
- | | |
|-------------------------------|----|
| | % |
| 1. Yes (PROBE BELOW) | 12 |
| 2. No/don't know | 88 |

Q9: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
OTHER:						
Yes.....	14	14%	46	23%	10	10%
No/DK.....	86	86%	154	77%	92	90%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

9A1. (**IF YES**) What is it? **RECORD:**

Now I am going to read some statements about water quality issues. As I read each one, please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each statement. Just tell me if you...

- 1. Strongly agree
- 2. Somewhat agree
- 3. Somewhat disagree
- 4. Strongly disagree
- (DNR)5. Don't believe statement
- (DNR)9. Don't know/No response

Here's the first one, do you agree or disagree that...

(ROTATE)

10. Water quality in Beaver Lake is endangered.

	%
1. Strongly agree	29
2. Somewhat agree	27
3. Somewhat disagree	17
4. Strongly disagree	18
(DNR)5. Don't believe statement	1
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	7

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	29	29%	67	34%	30	29%
Somewhat agree.....	27	27%	62	31%	28	27%
Somewhat disagree...	21	21%	26	13%	13	13%
Strongly disagree...	16	16%	21	11%	22	22%
Don't believe....	1	1%	1	1%	2	2%
Don't know...	6	6%	23	12%	7	7%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

11. There is nothing I can do that affects the cost of cleaning water from Beaver Lake for drinking.

	%
1. Strongly agree	28
2. Somewhat agree	18
3. Somewhat disagree	21
4. Strongly disagree	28
(DNR)5. Don't believe statement	0
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	5

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	26	26%	51	26%	30	29%
Somewhat agree.....	22	22%	41	21%	14	14%
Somewhat disagree...	21	21%	29	15%	22	22%
Strongly disagree...	26	26%	70	35%	31	30%
Don't know...	5	5%	9	5%	5	5%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

12. Stream bank erosion has no impact on recreational use of Beaver Lake.

	%
1. Strongly agree	14
2. Somewhat agree	17
3. Somewhat disagree	17
4. Strongly disagree	43
(DNR)5. Don't believe statement	1
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	9

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	12	12%	23	12%	17	17%
Somewhat agree.....	22	22%	40	20%	11	11%
Somewhat disagree...	20	20%	31	16%	13	13%
Strongly disagree...	41	41%	89	45%	46	45%
Don't believe....	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
Don't know..	5	5%	17	9%	14	14%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

13. We need to protect habitat for wildlife and fish.

	%
1. Strongly agree	82
2. Somewhat agree	15
3. Somewhat disagree	1
4. Strongly disagree	0
(DNR)5. Don't believe statement	0
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	2

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	83	83%	150	75%	84	82%
Somewhat agree.....	15	15%	33	17%	16	16%
Somewhat disagree...	0	0%	7	4%	1	1%
Strongly disagree...	0	0%	9	5%	0	0%
Don't know...	2	2%	1	1%	1	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

14. Beaver Lake is important to me and my family.

	%
1. Strongly agree	82
2. Somewhat agree	16
3. Somewhat disagree	1
4. Strongly disagree	1
(DNR)5. Don't believe statement	0
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	0

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	83	83%	157	79%	83	81%
Somewhat agree.....	16	16%	27	14%	16	16%
Somewhat disagree...	0	0%	6	3%	2	2%
Strongly disagree...	1	1%	7	4%	1	1%
Don't know..	0	0%	3	2%	0	0%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

How do you and your family use Beaver Lake, its water and its tributaries, such as the White River system? Please answer yes or no to each of the following uses of Beaver Lake.

- | |
|--------------------|
| 1. Yes |
| 2. No |
| (DNR)9. Don't know |

Do you use Beaver Lake for...

(ROTATE)

15. Drinking water

	%
1. Yes	72
2. No	26
(DNR)9. Don't know	2

Q15: Use Beaver Lake for Drinking Water
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
USE LAKE:						
Yes.....	67	67%	121	61%	80	78%
No.....	33	33%	79	40%	22	22%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

16. Irrigation

	%
1. Yes	16
2. No	82
(DNR)9. Don't know	1

Q16: Use Beaver Lake for Irrigation
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
USE LAKE:						
Yes.....	18	18%	36	18%	15	15%
No.....	82	82%	164	82%	87	85%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

17. Fishing

	%
1. Yes	53
2. No	47
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q17: Use Beaver Lake for Fishing
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
USE LAKE:						
Yes.....	55	55%	103	52%	52	51%
No.....	45	45%	97	49%	50	49%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

18. Boating/sailing/canoeing/kayaking/and personal water craft

	%
1. Yes	48
2. No	52
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q18: Use Beaver Lake for Boating, etc.
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
USE LAKE:						
Yes.....	44	44%	73	37%	55	54%
No.....	56	56%	127	64%	47	46%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

19. Swimming and scuba diving

	%
1. Yes	38
2. No	62
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q19: Use Beaver Lake for Swimming and Scuba Diving
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
USE LAKE:						
Yes.....	42	42%	65	33%	34	33%
No.....	58	58%	135	68%	68	67%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

20. Camping

	%
1. Yes	30
2. No	70
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q20: Use Beaver Lake for Camping
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
USE LAKE:						
Yes.....	29	29%	75	38%	31	30%
No.....	71	71%	125	63%	71	70%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

21. Hiking

	%
1. Yes	36
2. No	64
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q21: Use Beaver Lake for Hiking
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
USE LAKE:						
Yes.....	37	37%	52	26%	36	35%
No.....	63	63%	148	74%	66	65%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

22. Bird-watching

	%
1. Yes	21
2. No	79
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q22: Use Beaver Lake for Bird-watching
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
USE LAKE:						
Yes.....	24	24%	32	16%	19	19%
No.....	76	76%	168	84%	83	81%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

23. Watering livestock

	%
1. Yes	7
2. No	93
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q23: Use Beaver Lake for Watering Livestock
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
USE LAKE:						
Yes.....	7	7%	25	13%	6	6%
No.....	93	93%	175	88%	96	94%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

24. Do you use Beaver Lake for any other purpose?

	%
1. Yes (PROBE BELOW)	3
2. No/don't know	97

Q24: Use Beaver Lake For Other Purpose
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
USE LAKE:						
Yes.....	5	5%	3	2%	0	0%
No/DK.....	95	95%	197	99%	102	100%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

24A1. (**IF YES**) What purpose is that? **RECORD:**

When it comes to getting help in addressing water issues, have you contacted, heard of, or not heard of the following?

- | |
|--|
| 1. Have contacted
2. Have heard of but not contacted
3. Have not heard of
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion |
|--|

(ROTATE)

25. The County Extension Service

	%
1. Have contacted	11
2. Have heard of but not contacted	73
3. Have not heard of	16
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	0

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
 The County Extension Service
 By Respondent's County
 (Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	13	13%	25	13%	9	9%
Not contacted..	65	65%	156	78%	82	80%
Not heard of	22	22%	19	10%	11	11%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

26. The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission	
	%
1. Have contacted	14
2. Have heard of but not contacted	83
3. Have not heard of	3
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	0

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	16	16%	26	13%	13	13%
Not contacted...	80	80%	169	85%	87	85%
Not heard of	4	4%	4	2%	2	2%
Don't know...	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

27. The Audubon Society	
	%
1. Have contacted	8
2. Have heard of but not contacted	79
3. Have not heard of	12
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	1

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	8	8%	7	4%	8	8%
Not contacted...	72	72%	149	75%	88	86%
Not heard of	18	18%	41	21%	6	6%
Don't know...	2	2%	3	2%	0	0%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

28. The Beaver Watershed Alliance	
	%
1. Have contacted	3
2. Have heard of but not contacted	56
3. Have not heard of	39
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	2

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	3	3%	9	5%	4	4%
Not contacted...	48	48%	105	53%	67	66%
Not heard of	47	47%	81	41%	30	29%
Don't know...	2	2%	5	3%	1	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

29. The Arkansas Forestry Commission	
	%
1. Have contacted	10
2. Have heard of but not contacted	79
3. Have not heard of	10
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	0

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	11	11%	11	6%	10	10%
Not contacted...	75	75%	181	91%	85	83%
Not heard of	14	14%	7	4%	7	7%
Don't know...	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

30. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	
	%
1. Have contacted	13
2. Have heard of but not contacted	86
3. Have not heard of	1
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	0

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	22	22%	9	5%	4	4%
Not contacted...	77	77%	180	90%	97	95%
Not heard of	1	1%	11	6%	1	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

31. The Association for Beaver Lake Environment

	%
1. Have contacted	2
2. Have heard of but not contacted	37
3. Have not heard of	59
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	1

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	4	4%	1	1%	1	1%
Not contacted...	36	36%	80	40%	39	38%
Not heard of	58	58%	113	57%	62	61%
Don't know...	2	2%	6	3%	0	0%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

32. Your water district or water provider	
	%
1. Have contacted	25
2. Have heard of but not contacted	72
3. Have not heard of	3
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	1

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	23	23%	50	25%	27	26%
Not contacted...	73	73%	137	69%	73	72%
Not heard of	3	3%	9	5%	2	2%
Don't know...	1	1%	4	2%	0	0%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

33. Your city, town or county	%
1. Have contacted	22
2. Have heard of but not contacted	76
3. Have not heard of	1
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	1

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	18	18%	44	22%	26	25%
Not contacted...	79	79%	149	75%	75	74%
Not heard of	2	2%	3	2%	1	1%
Don't know...	1	1%	4	2%	0	0%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

34. The county or state Health Department	
	%
1. Have contacted	11
2. Have heard of but not contacted	88
3. Have not heard of	1
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	0

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	14	14%	26	13%	8	8%
Not contacted...	85	85%	172	86%	93	91%
Not heard of	1	1%	2	1%	1	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

35. The Illinois River Watershed Partnership

	%
1. Have contacted	5
2. Have heard of but not contacted	52
3. Have not heard of	40
(DNR)9. Don't know/No opinion	3

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	7	7%	6	3%	3	3%
Not contacted...	46	46%	97	49%	61	60%
Not heard of	44	44%	87	44%	36	35%
Don't know...	3	3%	10	5%	2	2%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

36. Have you contacted or heard of any other source regarding water issues?
- | | |
|-------------------------------|----|
| | % |
| 1. Yes (PROBE BELOW) | 2 |
| 2. No/don't know | 98 |

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
CONTACTED OR						
HEARD OF:						
Yes.....	0	0%	12	6%	4	4%
No/DK.....	100	100%	188	94%	98	96%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

36A1. (**IF YES**) What source is that? **RECORD:**

How do you find out about what is going on in your community?

- | |
|--------------------|
| 1. Yes |
| 2. No |
| (DNR)9. Don't know |

Do you get information from:

37. Newspapers

	%
1. Yes	79
2. No	21
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q37: Get Information From Newspapers
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	77	77%	168	84%	82	80%
No.....	23	23%	32	16%	20	20%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

38. Magazines

	%
1. Yes	13
2. No	87
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q38: Get Information From Magazines
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	10	10%	31	16%	17	17%
No.....	90	90%	169	85%	85	83%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

39. Radio

	%
1. Yes	51
2. No	49
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q39: Get Information From Radio
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	45	45%	106	53%	58	57%
No.....	55	55%	94	47%	44	43%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

40 TV

	%
1. Yes	80
2. No	20
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q40: Get Information From TV
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	82	82%	154	77%	80	78%
No.....	18	18%	46	23%	22	22%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

41 Community newsletters

	%
1. Yes	26
2. No	74
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q41: Get Information From Community Newsletters
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	29	29%	55	28%	23	23%
No.....	71	71%	145	73%	79	77%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

42. The Internet and social media such as FaceBook, Twitter, and so forth.

	%
1. Yes	40
2. No	60
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q42: Get Information From Internet and Social Media
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	40	40%	56	28%	41	40%
No.....	60	60%	144	72%	61	60%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

43. Local gathering places, such as stores, cafes, fire stations, churches, etc.

	%
1. Yes	51
2. No	49
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q43: Get Information From Local Gathering Places
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	54	54%	122	61%	49	48%
No.....	46	46%	78	39%	53	52%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

44. The Farm Bureau

	%
1. Yes	9
2. No	91
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q44: Get Information From The Farm Bureau
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	11	11%	43	22%	6	6%
No.....	89	89%	157	79%	96	94%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

45. The County Extension Service

	%
1. Yes	14
2. No	86
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q45: Get Information From The County Extension Service
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	17	17%	50	25%	10	10%
No.....	83	83%	150	75%	92	90%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

46. Local schools

	%
1. Yes	11
2. No	89
(DNR)9. Don't know	0

Q46: Get Information From Local Schools
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	10	10%	35	18%	13	13%
No.....	90	90%	165	83%	89	87%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

47. Do you have any other source of information?

- | | |
|-------------------------------|----|
| | % |
| 1. Yes (PROBE BELOW) | 12 |
| 2. No/don't know | 88 |

Q47: Get Information From Any Other Source
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SOURCE:						
Yes.....	13	13%	13	7%	11	11%
No/DK.....	87	87%	187	94%	91	89%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

47A1. (**IF YES**) What is that? **RECORD:**

Now we need just a few quick facts for purposes of analysis.

D1. Would you describe where you live as...

	%
1. A rural area	25
2. A community with a population under 1,000	9
3. Between 1,000 to 5,000	6
4. 5,000 to 10,000	5
5. 10,000 to 20,000	4
6. 20,000 to 50,000	14
7. Larger than 50,000	36
(DNR)9. Don't know/No Response	1

D1: Area Where Respondent Lives
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
AREA SIZE:						
Rural area..	34	34%	159	80%	12	12%
<1K.....	12	12%	16	8%	5	5%
1K-5K.....	8	8%	20	10%	3	3%
5K-10K.....	7	7%	1	1%	3	3%
10K-20K.....	5	5%	1	1%	3	3%
20K-50K.....	15	15%	0	0%	14	14%
>50K.....	19	19%	2	1%	59	58%
Don't know..	0	0%	1	1%	3	3%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

D2. Do you own or rent property that is next to water?

	%
1. Yes	36
2. No	64
(DNR)9. Don't know/Refused	0

D2: Respondent Owns or Rents Property Next to Water
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
NEXT TO WATER:						
Yes.....	39	39%	101	51%	32	31%
No.....	61	61%	99	50%	70	69%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

D3. Is your age group...

	%
1. 18 to 24	0
2. 25-34	3
3. 35-44	7
4. 45-54	14
5. 55-64	21
6. 65 or over	54
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1

D3: Respondent's Age Group
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
AGE:						
18-24.....	0	0%	3	2%	0	0%
25-35.....	1	1%	3	2%	5	5%
35-44.....	7	7%	10	5%	8	8%
45-54.....	12	12%	25	13%	17	17%
55-64.....	24	24%	63	32%	17	17%
65 Plus.....	55	55%	96	48%	54	53%
DK/Refused..	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

D4. Do you have school age children living in your home?

	%
1. Yes	15
2. No	65
(DNR)9. Don't know/Refused	0

D4: Respondent Has School Age Child in Home
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
CHILD IN HOME:						
Yes.....	15	15%	24	12%	16	16%
No.....	85	85%	176	88%	86	84%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

D5. Finally, just to make sure we have a representative sample, could you tell me your race or ethnicity? Would you describe yourself as...

	%
1. White or Caucasian	98
2. Black or African-American	
3. Hispanic or Latino	
4. Asian or Pacific Islander	
5. American Indian or Native American	
6. Other (2,3,4,5,6)	2
(DNR)9. Don't know/Refused	

D5: Respondent's Race
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
RACE:						
White.....	99	99%	195	98%	99	97%
African-American...	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%
Hispanic.....	0	0%	1	1%	2	2%
Native Am....	0	0%	2	1%	1	1%
Other.....	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR TIME, AND GOOD NIGHT!

OBSERVE AND CLASSIFY:

D6. Sex

	%
1. Male	52
2. Female	48

D6: Respondent's Sex
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence					
	Benton		Madison		Washington	
SEX:						
Male.....	48	48%	95	48%	57	56%
Female.....	52	52%	105	53%	45	44%
TOTAL.....	100	100%	200	100%	102	100%

FROM CALL SHEET:

ZIP CODE:

(Unweighted)

Value	Frequency	Percent
72701	35	8.7
72703	19	4.7
72704	14	3.5
72711	1	.2
72721	4	1.0
72727	11	2.7
72730	3	.7
72732	11	2.7
72738	10	2.5
72740	128	31.8
72742	13	3.2
72745	18	4.5
72752	4	1.0
72753	9	2.2
72756	43	10.7
72757	1	.2
72758	25	6.2
72760	3	.7
72764	22	5.5
72773	15	3.7
72774	2	.5
72776	10	2.5
72959	1	.2

Total	402	100.0

COUNTY CODE:

		Unweighted		Weighted	
		n	%	n	%
007	Benton County	100	25	203	51
087	Madison County	200	50	14	4
143	Washington County	102	25	185	46

**BENTON COUNTY, MADISON AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES
WEIGHTED AGGREGATE SAMPLE
CROSS-TABULATIONS**

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	36 35%	13 37%	7 30%	14 39%	23 42%	39 27%	132 33%
Somewhat....	25 24%	8 22%	5 20%	14 39%	13 24%	45 31%	109 28%
Not too.....	16 16%	6 18%	4 18%	6 17%	10 17%	42 29%	84 21%
Not at all..	17 17%	4 11%	4 17%	0 0%	5 10%	11 8%	41 10%
Don't know..	8 8%	4 11%	4 16%	2 6%	4 7%	8 5%	29 7%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	60 41%	73 28%	132 33%
Somewhat....	42 29%	67 26%	109 27%
Not too.....	22 15%	64 25%	86 21%
Not at all..	20 14%	25 10%	45 11%
Don't know..	0 0%	29 11%	29 7%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	6 51%	8 28%	16 28%	27 32%	71 33%	128 32%
Somewhat....	0 1%	17 59%	16 28%	21 25%	55 26%	109 27%
Not too.....	6 48%	4 13%	19 34%	18 22%	39 18%	86 22%
Not at all..	0 0%	0 0%	4 7%	14 16%	27 13%	45 11%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	4 5%	24 11%	29 7%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	20 33%	112 33%	132 33%
Somewhat....	20 32%	90 26%	109 27%
Not too.....	18 29%	68 20%	86 21%
Not at all..	2 3%	43 13%	45 11%
Don't know..	2 3%	28 8%	29 7%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	72 35%	60 31%	132 33%
Somewhat....	55 27%	54 28%	109 27%
Not too.....	47 23%	38 20%	86 21%
Not at all..	23 11%	22 11%	45 11%
Don't know..	10 5%	19 10%	29 7%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	25 24%	8 24%	3 11%	14 39%	12 21%	36 25%	97 25%
Somewhat....	26 25%	5 13%	3 11%	10 28%	19 34%	39 27%	101 25%
Not too.....	20 20%	6 18%	6 28%	6 17%	6 11%	26 18%	71 18%
Not at all..	15 15%	12 34%	6 25%	4 11%	9 17%	26 18%	72 18%
Don't know..	16 16%	4 11%	6 25%	2 5%	10 17%	19 13%	56 14%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	38 26%	59 23%	97 24%
Somewhat....	36 25%	65 25%	101 25%
Not too.....	29 20%	44 17%	72 18%
Not at all..	24 16%	50 19%	74 18%
Don't know..	18 12%	39 15%	58 14%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	4 35%	8 27%	8 14%	24 29%	49 23%	93 23%
Somewhat....	4 33%	10 34%	22 38%	13 16%	53 24%	101 25%
Not too.....	2 16%	6 20%	10 17%	12 15%	42 20%	72 18%
Not at all..	2 16%	2 6%	15 27%	16 19%	39 18%	74 19%
Don't know..	0 0%	4 12%	2 4%	18 21%	34 16%	58 14%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	18 29%	79 23%	97 24%
Somewhat....	16 26%	85 25%	101 25%
Not too.....	8 13%	64 19%	72 18%
Not at all..	15 25%	58 17%	74 18%
Don't know..	4 7%	53 16%	58 14%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	57 28%	40 20%	97 24%
Somewhat....	41 20%	60 31%	101 25%
Not too.....	44 21%	28 15%	72 18%
Not at all..	41 20%	33 17%	74 18%
Don't know..	24 11%	34 17%	58 14%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	23 23%	6 19%	0 2%	12 33%	4 6%	33 23%	79 20%
Somewhat....	33 32%	0 1%	7 29%	8 23%	26 46%	45 31%	119 30%
Not too.....	23 22%	14 41%	4 19%	12 33%	12 21%	30 20%	94 24%
Not at all..	16 15%	6 17%	4 17%	4 11%	11 20%	32 22%	73 18%
Don't know..	7 7%	8 22%	8 33%	0 0%	4 6%	6 4%	32 8%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	36 25%	42 16%	79 20%
Somewhat....	48 33%	70 27%	119 29%
Not too.....	31 22%	65 25%	96 24%
Not at all..	24 17%	50 20%	74 18%
Don't know..	4 3%	30 11%	34 8%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 1%	14 46%	17 30%	16 20%	29 14%	77 19%
Somewhat....	6 52%	0 1%	8 15%	31 37%	73 34%	119 30%
Not too.....	2 16%	12 40%	12 22%	20 24%	50 23%	96 24%
Not at all..	4 31%	4 13%	19 33%	12 14%	36 17%	74 19%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 5%	28 13%	32 8%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	21 34%	58 17%	79 20%
Somewhat....	7 11%	112 33%	119 29%
Not too.....	18 29%	78 23%	96 24%
Not at all..	15 25%	59 17%	74 18%
Don't know..	0 0%	34 10%	34 8%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	49 23%	30 15%	79 20%
Somewhat....	58 28%	60 31%	119 29%
Not too.....	40 19%	56 29%	96 24%
Not at all..	51 24%	24 12%	74 18%
Don't know..	10 5%	24 12%	34 8%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	36 35%	6 18%	1 2%	4 12%	12 21%	56 39%	115 29%
Somewhat....	27 26%	8 23%	6 27%	16 44%	16 28%	39 27%	111 28%
Not too.....	23 22%	10 30%	4 18%	10 27%	23 42%	26 18%	96 24%
Not at all..	12 12%	8 24%	6 26%	6 17%	4 6%	17 11%	53 13%
Don't know..	5 5%	2 5%	6 25%	0 0%	2 3%	8 5%	22 6%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	50 35%	65 25%	115 29%
Somewhat....	34 24%	77 30%	111 28%
Not too.....	39 27%	61 24%	100 25%
Not at all..	19 13%	36 14%	55 14%
Don't know..	2 1%	20 8%	22 5%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	2 18%	12 40%	16 27%	20 24%	63 29%	113 28%
Somewhat....	6 49%	12 40%	10 18%	27 32%	55 25%	109 27%
Not too.....	0 1%	6 20%	21 37%	24 29%	48 22%	100 25%
Not at all..	4 31%	0 0%	8 14%	10 12%	33 15%	55 14%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	2 4%	2 2%	18 8%	22 6%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	22 35%	93 27%	115 29%
Somewhat....	10 17%	101 30%	111 28%
Not too.....	23 38%	76 22%	100 25%
Not at all..	6 9%	49 14%	55 14%
Don't know..	0 0%	22 6%	22 5%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	61 29%	54 28%	115 29%
Somewhat....	64 31%	47 24%	111 28%
Not too.....	50 24%	50 26%	100 25%
Not at all..	28 14%	26 13%	55 14%
Don't know..	4 2%	18 9%	22 5%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	31 30%	10 30%	3 11%	4 11%	10 18%	40 27%	98 25%
Somewhat....	23 22%	2 6%	2 10%	8 22%	12 21%	26 18%	73 18%
Not too.....	22 21%	8 25%	4 18%	14 39%	15 28%	30 20%	94 24%
Not at all..	16 15%	8 24%	4 18%	6 17%	13 24%	33 23%	81 20%
Don't know..	11 11%	6 16%	10 41%	4 11%	5 10%	17 11%	52 13%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	50 34%	48 19%	98 24%
Somewhat....	27 19%	46 18%	73 18%
Not too.....	26 18%	73 28%	99 25%
Not at all..	30 21%	51 20%	81 20%
Don't know..	12 8%	40 16%	52 13%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	4 35%	8 28%	6 11%	25 29%	52 24%	96 24%
Somewhat....	4 33%	4 13%	6 11%	13 15%	46 21%	73 18%
Not too.....	0 1%	15 52%	21 38%	23 27%	39 18%	99 25%
Not at all..	2 16%	2 7%	19 33%	10 12%	48 22%	81 20%
Don't know..	2 16%	0 0%	4 7%	14 16%	31 14%	50 13%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	15 24%	83 24%	98 24%
Somewhat....	6 10%	67 20%	73 18%
Not too.....	27 45%	72 21%	99 25%
Not at all..	11 19%	69 20%	81 20%
Don't know..	2 3%	50 15%	52 13%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	51 25%	46 24%	98 24%
Somewhat....	46 22%	27 14%	73 18%
Not too.....	56 27%	43 22%	99 25%
Not at all..	36 17%	45 23%	81 20%
Don't know..	19 9%	33 17%	52 13%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	26 26%	10 29%	4 19%	8 22%	7 13%	43 29%	99 25%
Somewhat....	23 23%	2 6%	3 11%	10 27%	22 39%	37 26%	97 25%
Not too.....	24 23%	16 47%	4 18%	10 28%	17 31%	32 22%	103 26%
Not at all..	16 16%	2 7%	4 18%	4 11%	7 13%	26 18%	60 15%
Don't know..	13 12%	4 11%	8 33%	4 11%	2 3%	8 5%	38 9%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	48 33%	50 20%	99 25%
Somewhat....	38 27%	59 23%	97 24%
Not too.....	35 24%	72 28%	107 27%
Not at all..	17 12%	43 17%	60 15%
Don't know..	6 4%	33 13%	39 10%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	2 19%	18 61%	13 23%	23 27%	42 20%	99 25%
Somewhat....	6 48%	4 13%	14 25%	22 26%	52 24%	97 24%
Not too.....	2 17%	8 26%	16 28%	21 25%	59 27%	105 26%
Not at all..	2 16%	0 0%	10 17%	11 13%	38 18%	60 15%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	4 7%	8 9%	26 12%	38 9%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	24 39%	75 22%	99 25%
Somewhat....	12 19%	86 25%	97 24%
Not too.....	20 32%	87 25%	107 27%
Not at all..	4 6%	56 17%	60 15%
Don't know..	2 3%	38 11%	39 10%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	46 22%	52 27%	99 25%
Somewhat....	54 26%	44 23%	97 24%
Not too.....	54 26%	53 27%	107 27%
Not at all..	34 17%	26 13%	60 15%
Don't know..	19 9%	20 10%	39 10%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	14 14%	8 24%	0 1%	6 17%	15 28%	22 15%	66 17%
Somewhat....	28 27%	2 6%	5 21%	16 44%	22 39%	42 29%	114 29%
Not too.....	35 34%	14 41%	8 36%	10 27%	13 24%	41 28%	121 31%
Not at all..	19 18%	6 18%	4 17%	2 6%	4 6%	31 22%	66 17%
Don't know..	7 7%	4 11%	6 25%	2 6%	2 3%	9 6%	30 7%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	27 19%	39 15%	66 17%
Somewhat....	42 29%	72 28%	114 28%
Not too.....	42 29%	81 31%	123 31%
Not at all..	25 17%	44 17%	69 17%
Don't know..	8 5%	22 8%	30 7%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 2%	4 14%	13 23%	15 17%	30 14%	63 16%
Somewhat....	2 18%	12 40%	12 21%	33 39%	55 26%	114 29%
Not too.....	4 33%	13 45%	16 27%	20 23%	71 33%	123 31%
Not at all..	4 31%	0 0%	14 24%	17 20%	35 16%	69 17%
Don't know..	2 16%	0 0%	2 4%	0 0%	25 12%	30 7%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	10 17%	56 16%	66 17%
Somewhat....	22 36%	92 27%	114 28%
Not too.....	17 29%	105 31%	123 31%
Not at all..	10 16%	60 17%	69 17%
Don't know..	2 3%	28 8%	30 7%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	28 14%	38 20%	66 17%
Somewhat....	61 30%	53 27%	114 28%
Not too.....	71 34%	52 27%	123 31%
Not at all..	36 17%	33 17%	69 17%
Don't know..	12 6%	18 9%	30 7%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	19 18%	4 12%	2 10%	0 0%	0 0%	13 9%	38 10%
Somewhat....	24 23%	4 12%	3 11%	12 33%	24 43%	39 27%	105 26%
Not too.....	30 29%	12 36%	5 20%	14 38%	15 28%	41 28%	117 29%
Not at all..	19 19%	6 18%	6 26%	8 22%	11 20%	43 29%	93 24%
Don't know..	11 11%	8 22%	8 34%	2 6%	5 10%	10 7%	43 11%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	22 15%	16 6%	38 10%
Somewhat....	40 28%	65 25%	105 26%
Not too.....	45 31%	76 29%	120 30%
Not at all..	31 22%	64 25%	95 24%
Don't know..	6 4%	37 14%	43 11%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 1%	2 7%	2 4%	10 12%	20 9%	34 9%
Somewhat....	4 35%	8 27%	19 34%	17 20%	57 27%	105 26%
Not too.....	2 17%	13 46%	18 31%	32 38%	55 25%	120 30%
Not at all..	4 32%	4 14%	14 24%	21 25%	53 24%	95 24%
Don't know..	2 16%	2 6%	4 7%	4 5%	31 14%	43 11%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	4 6%	34 10%	38 10%
Somewhat....	12 20%	93 27%	105 26%
Not too.....	29 48%	91 27%	120 30%
Not at all..	12 20%	83 24%	95 24%
Don't know..	4 6%	39 12%	43 11%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	31 15%	7 4%	38 10%
Somewhat....	52 25%	53 27%	105 26%
Not too.....	56 27%	65 33%	120 30%
Not at all..	51 25%	44 23%	95 24%
Don't know..	17 8%	26 13%	43 11%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
MENTIONED:							
Yes.....	14 14%	6 18%	4 19%	8 23%	2 3%	15 10%	50 13%
No/DK.....	88 86%	28 82%	19 81%	27 77%	54 97%	131 90%	347 87%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	18 12%	32 12%	50 12%
No/DK.....	126 88%	226 88%	352 88%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
MENTIONED:						
Yes.....	0 0%	2 7%	12 22%	17 20%	18 8%	50 13%
No/DK.....	12 100	27 93%	45 78%	67 80%	198 92%	348 87%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	6 10%	44 13%	50 12%
No/DK.....	55 90%	297 87%	352 88%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	17 8%	33 17%	50 12%
No/DK.....	191 92%	162 83%	352 88%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	31 30%	12 36%	5 20%	12 34%	13 24%	43 30%	116 29%
Somewhat agree.....	25 25%	8 23%	5 20%	10 28%	27 48%	35 24%	110 28%
Somewhat disagree...	17 17%	10 30%	2 9%	12 33%	8 14%	17 11%	66 17%
Strongly disagree...	17 17%	2 5%	8 35%	2 6%	4 6%	39 27%	72 18%
Don't believe....	4 4%	2 5%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	6 1%
Don't know..	7 7%	0 0%	4 16%	0 0%	4 7%	11 8%	26 7%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	47 32%	71 28%	118 29%
Somewhat agree.....	27 19%	83 32%	110 27%
Somewhat disagree...	29 20%	39 15%	68 17%
Strongly disagree...	32 22%	42 16%	74 18%
Don't believe....	4 3%	2 1%	6 1%
Don't know..	6 4%	20 8%	26 7%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	2 17%	6 20%	16 27%	19 22%	72 33%	114 29%
Somewhat agree.....	2 18%	17 59%	19 34%	21 25%	50 23%	110 28%
Somewhat disagree...	4 33%	4 14%	8 15%	19 22%	33 15%	68 17%
Strongly disagree...	4 31%	2 7%	12 20%	17 21%	39 18%	74 19%
Don't believe....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	4 2%	6 1%
Don't know..	0 1%	0 0%	2 4%	6 7%	18 8%	26 7%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	14 23%	104 30%	118 29%
Somewhat agree.....	25 41%	85 25%	110 27%
Somewhat disagree...	16 26%	52 15%	68 17%
Strongly disagree...	2 3%	72 21%	74 18%
Don't believe....	0 0%	6 2%	6 1%
Don't know..	4 7%	22 7%	26 7%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	60 29%	58 30%	118 29%
Somewhat agree.....	59 28%	51 26%	110 27%
Somewhat disagree...	35 17%	33 17%	68 17%
Strongly disagree...	39 19%	35 18%	74 18%
Don't believe....	4 2%	2 1%	6 1%
Don't know..	11 5%	16 8%	26 7%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	27 27%	10 29%	8 34%	10 28%	17 31%	38 26%	111 28%
Somewhat agree.....	21 20%	6 18%	4 18%	4 11%	12 21%	22 15%	69 17%
Somewhat disagree...	15 15%	6 18%	4 18%	8 23%	16 28%	35 24%	85 21%
Strongly disagree...	34 33%	10 29%	5 20%	11 32%	7 13%	47 32%	114 29%
Don't know..	5 4%	2 5%	2 9%	2 6%	4 7%	4 2%	18 5%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	22 16%	88 34%	111 28%
Somewhat agree.....	27 19%	46 18%	73 18%
Somewhat disagree...	36 25%	48 19%	85 21%
Strongly disagree...	55 38%	59 23%	114 28%
Don't know..	4 3%	16 6%	20 5%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	2 17%	2 7%	8 14%	16 19%	83 38%	111 28%
Somewhat agree.....	6 49%	10 33%	6 10%	15 18%	37 17%	73 18%
Somewhat disagree...	2 17%	12 40%	23 40%	22 26%	24 11%	83 21%
Strongly disagree...	2 17%	6 20%	18 32%	27 32%	59 27%	112 28%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	2 4%	4 5%	14 6%	20 5%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	8 13%	103 30%	111 28%
Somewhat agree.....	18 29%	55 16%	73 18%
Somewhat disagree...	25 41%	59 17%	85 21%
Strongly disagree...	10 17%	104 30%	114 28%
Don't know..	0 0%	20 6%	20 5%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
 Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
 By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	59 28%	52 27%	111 28%
Somewhat agree.....	35 17%	38 20%	73 18%
Somewhat disagree...	48 23%	36 19%	85 21%
Strongly disagree...	54 26%	60 31%	114 28%
Don't know..	12 6%	8 4%	20 5%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	15 14%	0 1%	0 0%	4 12%	10 17%	28 19%	57 14%
Somewhat agree.....	18 18%	13 36%	4 19%	8 22%	8 15%	16 11%	67 17%
Somewhat disagree...	14 13%	2 6%	12 53%	10 27%	12 21%	15 10%	65 16%
Strongly disagree...	50 49%	14 40%	4 19%	10 28%	21 37%	73 50%	173 44%
Don't believe....	2 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 0%
Don't know..	3 3%	6 17%	2 8%	4 11%	6 10%	13 9%	33 8%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	20 14%	37 14%	57 14%
Somewhat agree.....	29 20%	39 15%	67 17%
Somewhat disagree...	19 13%	48 18%	66 17%
Strongly disagree...	67 46%	106 41%	173 43%
Don't believe....	2 1%	0 0%	2 0%
Don't know..	8 6%	29 11%	37 9%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	2 17%	4 13%	8 13%	10 12%	32 15%	55 14%
Somewhat agree.....	4 31%	10 34%	16 27%	11 13%	27 13%	67 17%
Somewhat disagree...	4 34%	4 13%	10 17%	18 22%	30 14%	66 17%
Strongly disagree...	2 18%	10 34%	18 32%	41 49%	100 46%	171 43%
Don't believe....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 1%	2 0%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 6%	6 10%	4 5%	25 12%	37 9%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	6 10%	51 15%	57 14%
Somewhat agree.....	22 35%	46 13%	67 17%
Somewhat disagree...	11 19%	55 16%	66 17%
Strongly disagree...	19 30%	154 45%	173 43%
Don't believe....	0 0%	2 1%	2 0%
Don't know..	4 6%	33 10%	37 9%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	41 20%	16 8%	57 14%
Somewhat agree.....	32 16%	35 18%	67 17%
Somewhat disagree...	31 15%	35 18%	66 17%
Strongly disagree...	88 42%	85 44%	173 43%
Don't believe....	0 0%	2 1%	2 0%
Don't know..	16 7%	21 11%	37 9%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	8 66%	26 87%	36 63%	66 78%	193 89%	327 82%
Somewhat agree.....	4 33%	4 13%	17 30%	16 19%	21 10%	62 16%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	0 0%	2 1%
Strongly disagree...	0 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 0%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	4 7%	0 0%	2 1%	6 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			
Strongly agree.....	126 87%	206 80%	331 82%
Somewhat agree.....	17 11%	45 18%	62 15%
Somewhat disagree...	2 1%	0 0%	2 1%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	1 0%
Don't know..	0 0%	6 2%	6 1%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Age

	Age						TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:							
Strongly agree.....	0 67%	7 66%	26 87%	36 63%	66 78%	193 89%	327 82%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	4 34%	4 13%	17 30%	16 19%	21 10%	62 16%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	0 0%	2 1%
Strongly disagree...	0 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 0%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 7%	0 0%	2 1%	6 1%
TOTAL.....	0 100	11 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			
Strongly agree.....	39 63%	293 86%	331 82%
Somewhat agree.....	19 30%	43 13%	62 15%
Somewhat disagree...	2 3%	0 0%	2 1%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	1 0%
Don't know..	2 3%	4 1%	6 1%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			
Strongly agree.....	172 83%	159 82%	331 82%
Somewhat agree.....	33 16%	29 15%	62 15%
Somewhat disagree...	2 1%	0 0%	2 1%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	1 0%
Don't know..	0 0%	6 3%	6 1%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL	
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K		
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	88 86%	24 70%	17 74%	31 89%	45 80%	121 83%	326	82%
Somewhat agree.....	10 9%	10 29%	4 18%	2 6%	11 20%	24 17%	62	16%
Somewhat disagree...	2 2%	0 0%	2 8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4	1%
Strongly disagree...	2 2%	0 0%	0 0%	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	4	1%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0	0%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396	100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL	
	Yes	No		
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	128 89%	202 78%	330	82%
Somewhat agree.....	12 9%	51 20%	63	16%
Somewhat disagree...	2 1%	2 1%	4	1%
Strongly disagree...	2 1%	2 1%	4	1%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0	0%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402	100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	8 68%	26 87%	39 69%	72 85%	182 84%	326 82%
Somewhat agree.....	4 31%	4 12%	15 27%	12 15%	29 13%	63 16%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 2%	4 1%
Strongly disagree...	0 1%	0 0%	2 4%	0 0%	2 1%	4 1%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			
Strongly agree.....	48 78%	282 83%	330 82%
Somewhat agree.....	13 21%	50 15%	63 16%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			
Strongly agree.....	174 84%	156 80%	330 82%
Somewhat agree.....	29 14%	34 18%	63 16%
Somewhat disagree...	2 1%	2 1%	4 1%
Strongly disagree...	2 1%	2 1%	4 1%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
USE LAKE FOR:							
Drinking water.....	61 60%	20 59%	15 66%	25 72%	44 79%	119 82%	286 72%
Irrigation..	18 18%	2 6%	2 10%	8 22%	6 10%	30 21%	66 17%
Fishing.....	74 73%	12 35%	9 38%	22 61%	31 55%	64 44%	211 53%
Boating, etc.....	65 63%	12 35%	9 38%	17 49%	19 34%	71 49%	192 49%
Swimming, scuba.....	41 40%	10 30%	7 29%	12 35%	23 42%	58 40%	151 38%
Camping.....	35 35%	10 29%	9 38%	12 34%	4 7%	50 35%	120 30%
Hiking.....	44 43%	10 29%	7 29%	14 39%	16 28%	54 37%	144 36%
Birding.....	32 31%	8 23%	6 28%	2 5%	6 11%	32 22%	85 22%
Watering livestock..	19 18%	4 12%	2 9%	0 0%	0 0%	2 1%	27 7%
Other purpose....	0 0%	0 0%	2 9%	2 6%	0 0%	6 4%	10 3%
No use mentioned..	10 10%	6 18%	6 25%	2 6%	4 7%	12 8%	39 10%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake

By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own		TOTAL
	Property Next to		
	Water		
	Yes	No	
USE LAKE			
FOR:			
Drinking			
water.....	106 74%	183 71%	290 72%
Irrigation..	26 18%	41 16%	66 16%
Fishing.....	98 68%	116 45%	213 53%
Boating,			
etc.....	96 66%	98 38%	194 48%
Swimming,			
scuba.....	79 54%	73 28%	151 38%
Camping.....	55 38%	65 25%	120 30%
Hiking.....	62 43%	82 32%	144 36%
Birding.....	35 24%	51 20%	85 21%
Watering			
livestock..	22 16%	4 2%	27 7%
Other			
purpose....	6 4%	4 2%	10 3%
No use			
mentioned..	11 7%	30 12%	41 10%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
USE LAKE FOR:						
Drinking water.....	9 82%	25 86%	41 71%	59 70%	152 70%	286 72%
Irrigation..	2 16%	10 33%	12 21%	19 23%	24 11%	66 17%
Fishing.....	8 66%	16 55%	33 59%	47 56%	107 50%	211 53%
Boating, etc.....	8 65%	18 60%	37 65%	58 69%	73 34%	192 48%
Swimming, scuba.....	9 82%	22 74%	36 63%	35 42%	50 23%	151 38%
Camping.....	4 35%	14 48%	15 26%	31 37%	55 25%	119 30%
Hiking.....	6 50%	12 40%	20 36%	36 43%	70 32%	144 36%
Birding.....	4 33%	10 33%	3 5%	25 29%	45 21%	85 21%
Watering livestock..	0 0%	2 6%	2 4%	15 17%	8 4%	27 7%
Other purpose.....	0 0%	0 0%	2 4%	4 5%	4 2%	10 3%
No use mentioned..	0 1%	0 0%	4 7%	5 5%	32 15%	41 10%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake

By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
USE LAKE FOR:			
Drinking water.....	45 74%	245 72%	290 72%
Irrigation..	12 20%	54 16%	66 16%
Fishing.....	34 56%	179 52%	213 53%
Boating, etc.....	40 65%	154 45%	194 48%
Swimming, scuba.....	42 69%	109 32%	151 38%
Camping.....	23 38%	97 29%	120 30%
Hiking.....	25 40%	119 35%	144 36%
Birding.....	12 20%	73 21%	85 21%
Watering livestock..	4 7%	22 7%	27 7%
Other purpose....	0 0%	10 3%	10 3%
No use mentioned..	2 3%	39 11%	41 10%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
USE LAKE			
FOR:			
Drinking			
water.....	155 74%	135 69%	290 72%
Irrigation..	32 16%	34 18%	66 16%
Fishing.....	132 63%	82 42%	213 53%
Boating,			
etc.....	119 57%	75 39%	194 48%
Swimming,			
scuba.....	85 41%	67 34%	151 38%
Camping.....	75 36%	46 23%	120 30%
Hiking.....	82 40%	62 32%	144 36%
Birding.....	48 23%	37 19%	85 21%
Watering			
livestock..	16 8%	10 5%	27 7%
Other			
purpose....	8 4%	2 1%	10 3%
No use			
mentioned..	15 7%	26 13%	41 10%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	21 21%	4 12%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	17 12%	44 11%
Not							
contacted..	66 64%	24 71%	21 90%	19 55%	37 66%	119 82%	286 72%
Not heard of	15 15%	6 17%	2 9%	16 45%	17 31%	9 6%	66 17%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	21 14%	24 9%	44 11%
Not			
contacted..	95 66%	196 76%	292 73%
Not heard of	28 19%	38 15%	66 16%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 1%	4 13%	10 18%	11 13%	20 9%	44 11%
Not contacted..	7 65%	18 60%	43 76%	66 78%	156 72%	290 73%
Not heard of	4 35%	8 27%	4 7%	7 9%	41 19%	64 16%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	10 17%	34 10%	44 11%
Not contacted..	43 70%	249 73%	292 73%
Not heard of	8 13%	58 17%	66 16%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	16 8%	28 15%	44 11%
Not contacted..	154 74%	138 71%	292 73%
Not heard of	38 18%	28 15%	66 16%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	25 24%	4 12%	2 9%	0 0%	5 10%	21 15%	58 15%
Not							
contacted..	73 71%	31 88%	19 82%	35 **%	47 83%	123 84%	327 82%
Not heard of	4 4%	0 0%	2 9%	0 0%	4 7%	2 1%	12 3%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	32 22%	26 10%	58 14%
Not			
contacted..	106 73%	226 88%	332 83%
Not heard of	6 4%	6 2%	12 3%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 1%	6 19%	8 13%	21 25%	23 11%	58 15%
Not contacted..	10 83%	24 81%	49 87%	61 72%	185 85%	328 82%
Not heard of	2 16%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	8 4%	12 3%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	8 13%	50 15%	58 14%
Not contacted..	53 87%	279 82%	332 83%
Not heard of	0 0%	12 4%	12 3%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
 The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
 By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	31 15%	26 14%	58 14%
Not contacted...	166 80%	166 85%	332 83%
Not heard of	10 5%	2 1%	12 3%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL	
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K		
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have								
contacted..	10 10%	0 0%	2 9%	0 0%	4 6%	15 11%	31	8%
Not								
contacted..	75 74%	32 93%	19 81%	23 65%	40 72%	123 84%	313	79%
Not heard of	14 14%	2 7%	2 10%	12 35%	10 18%	8 5%	48	12%
Don't know..	2 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 4%	0 0%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396	100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL	
	Yes	No		
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have				
contacted..	14 9%	18 7%	31	8%
Not				
contacted..	115 80%	201 78%	316	79%
Not heard of	15 11%	35 14%	50	13%
Don't know..	0 0%	4 2%	4	1%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402	100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	0 0%	2 6%	4 6%	4 5%	21 10%	31 8%
Not contacted...	11 99%	23 80%	45 79%	69 83%	163 75%	312 78%
Not heard of	0 1%	2 7%	8 15%	8 10%	32 15%	50 13%
Don't know...	0 0%	2 7%	0 0%	2 3%	0 0%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	4 6%	27 8%	31 8%
Not contacted...	51 83%	265 78%	316 79%
Not heard of	4 7%	46 13%	50 13%
Don't know...	2 3%	2 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	13 6%	18 9%	31 8%
Not contacted..	172 83%	144 74%	316 79%
Not heard of	22 10%	29 15%	50 13%
Don't know..	0 0%	4 2%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size										TOTAL			
	Rural area		<1K		1K-5K		5K-20K		20K-50K		>50K			
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have														
contacted..	5	5%	0	0%	2	9%	0	0%	2	3%	5	4%	14	4%
Not														
contacted..	54	53%	20	58%	10	45%	12	33%	33	59%	95	65%	224	57%
Not heard of	39	38%	15	42%	11	46%	22	61%	21	38%	45	31%	152	38%
Don't know..	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	102	100	35	100	23	100	35	100	56	100	146	100	396	100

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water				TOTAL	
	Yes		No			
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have						
contacted..	6	4%	8	3%	14	3%
Not						
contacted..	90	62%	136	53%	226	56%
Not heard of	46	32%	109	42%	155	39%
Don't know..	2	1%	4	2%	6	2%
TOTAL.....	144	100	258	100	402	100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	2 6%	0 0%	8 10%	4 2%	14 4%
Not contacted..	9 81%	18 60%	31 55%	41 49%	125 58%	224 56%
Not heard of	2 19%	10 34%	22 38%	33 39%	87 40%	153 39%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	4 7%	2 3%	0 0%	6 2%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	2 3%	12 4%	14 3%
Not contacted..	34 55%	193 57%	226 56%
Not heard of	24 39%	132 39%	155 39%
Don't know..	2 3%	4 1%	6 2%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	2 1%	12 6%	14 3%
Not contacted..	127 61%	99 51%	226 56%
Not heard of	76 37%	79 41%	155 39%
Don't know..	2 1%	4 2%	6 2%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	20 20%	4 11%	2 9%	0 0%	0 0%	15 10%	41 10%
Not							
contacted..	67 66%	31 88%	21 91%	29 83%	48 86%	119 82%	315 80%
Not heard of	15 14%	0 0%	0 0%	6 17%	8 14%	11 8%	40 10%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	28 19%	13 5%	41 10%
Not			
contacted..	100 69%	219 85%	319 79%
Not heard of	16 11%	26 10%	42 10%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	0 0%	4 13%	6 10%	17 20%	15 7%	41 10%
Not contacted...	6 51%	22 73%	49 86%	59 70%	180 83%	315 79%
Not heard of	6 49%	4 14%	2 4%	8 10%	22 10%	42 10%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	8 13%	33 10%	41 10%
Not contacted...	49 80%	270 79%	319 79%
Not heard of	4 7%	37 11%	42 10%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	27 13%	14 7%	41 10%
Not contacted...	147 71%	172 88%	319 79%
Not heard of	33 16%	8 4%	42 10%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	27 26%	6 17%	4 18%	0 0%	2 3%	14 10%	53 13%
Not							
contacted..	75 73%	28 82%	19 82%	35 100%	52 93%	130 89%	339 86%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 1%	0 0%	0 0%	2 4%	2 1%	5 1%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	31 21%	22 9%	53 13%
Not			
contacted..	112 77%	233 90%	345 86%
Not heard of	2 1%	3 1%	5 1%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	6 20%	6 11%	19 22%	22 10%	53 13%
Not contacted..	11 99%	24 80%	51 89%	65 77%	190 88%	341 86%
Not heard of	0 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 2%	5 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	10 17%	42 12%	53 13%
Not contacted..	51 83%	294 86%	345 86%
Not heard of	0 0%	5 1%	5 1%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	34 16%	19 10%	53 13%
Not contacted...	169 82%	175 90%	345 86%
Not heard of	4 2%	0 0%	5 1%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	4 4%	0 0%	2 9%	0 0%	2 3%	2 1%	10 3%
Not							
contacted..	30 29%	18 53%	10 44%	10 28%	21 37%	60 41%	149 38%
Not heard of	66 64%	16 47%	11 47%	25 72%	33 59%	81 56%	233 59%
Don't know..	2 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	6 4%	4 2%	10 2%
Not			
contacted..	63 43%	87 34%	149 37%
Not heard of	75 52%	163 63%	238 59%
Don't know..	0 0%	4 2%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 5%	6 3%	10 3%
Not contacted..	2 17%	8 26%	35 61%	25 30%	76 35%	146 37%
Not heard of	10 83%	22 74%	22 39%	53 63%	132 61%	238 60%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	2 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	0 0%	10 3%	10 2%
Not contacted..	23 38%	126 37%	149 37%
Not heard of	38 62%	200 59%	238 59%
Don't know..	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	4 2%	6 3%	10 2%
Not contacted..	88 43%	61 31%	149 37%
Not heard of	115 55%	123 63%	238 59%
Don't know..	0 0%	4 2%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	27 27%	14 41%	4 18%	4 10%	9 17%	37 25%	96 24%
Not							
contacted..	70 69%	20 58%	19 82%	30 84%	43 76%	107 73%	288 73%
Not heard of	2 2%	0 0%	0 0%	2 6%	4 7%	2 1%	10 3%
Don't know..	2 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	33 23%	66 26%	99 25%
Not			
contacted..	109 75%	182 70%	290 72%
Not heard of	0 0%	10 4%	10 3%
Don't know..	2 2%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	4 34%	8 26%	17 30%	23 27%	47 22%	99 25%
Not contacted...	8 65%	22 74%	39 69%	57 68%	161 74%	286 72%
Not heard of	0 1%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	8 4%	10 3%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	27 45%	72 21%	99 25%
Not contacted...	34 55%	256 75%	290 72%
Not heard of	0 0%	10 3%	10 3%
Don't know...	0 0%	2 1%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	47 23%	52 27%	99 25%
Not contacted...	150 72%	140 72%	290 72%
Not heard of	8 4%	2 1%	10 3%
Don't know...	2 1%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	22 22%	12 36%	4 19%	4 11%	9 17%	33 23%	85 21%
Not							
contacted..	75 74%	22 64%	19 81%	30 83%	47 83%	111 76%	303 76%
Not heard of	2 2%	0 0%	0 0%	2 6%	0 0%	2 1%	6 2%
Don't know..	2 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	33 23%	54 21%	87 22%
Not			
contacted..	109 76%	198 77%	307 76%
Not heard of	0 0%	6 2%	6 2%
Don't know..	2 2%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	2 16%	4 14%	25 44%	14 17%	41 19%	87 22%
Not						
contacted..	10 84%	25 86%	32 55%	65 78%	171 79%	303 76%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	4 2%	6 2%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	21 35%	65 19%	87 22%
Not			
contacted..	40 65%	267 78%	307 76%
Not heard of	0 0%	6 2%	6 2%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 1%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	45 22%	42 22%	87 22%
Not contacted..	157 75%	150 77%	307 76%
Not heard of	4 2%	2 1%	6 2%
Don't know..	2 1%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	21 21%	4 12%	4 18%	2 5%	2 4%	11 8%	45 11%
Not							
contacted..	81 79%	30 88%	19 82%	32 89%	54 96%	133 91%	348 88%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 6%	0 0%	2 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	23 16%	22 9%	45 11%
Not			
contacted..	120 83%	234 91%	353 88%
Not heard of	2 1%	2 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 1%	0 0%	14 24%	9 10%	22 10%	45 11%
Not						
contacted..	10 83%	29 **%	43 76%	75 90%	192 89%	349 88%
Not heard of	2 16%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	8 14%	36 11%	45 11%
Not			
contacted..	53 86%	301 88%	353 88%
Not heard of	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	24 11%	21 11%	45 11%
Not contacted..	182 88%	171 88%	353 88%
Not heard of	2 1%	2 1%	4 1%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	8 8%	0 0%	4 18%	0 0%	0 0%	8 5%	20 5%
Not							
contacted..	53 52%	22 63%	11 46%	14 39%	29 52%	81 56%	209 53%
Not heard of	39 38%	11 31%	8 36%	22 61%	25 44%	53 36%	157 40%
Don't know..	2 2%	2 6%	0 1%	0 0%	2 4%	4 2%	10 3%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	14 10%	6 2%	20 5%
Not			
contacted..	79 54%	132 51%	211 52%
Not heard of	51 36%	109 42%	161 40%
Don't know..	0 0%	10 4%	10 3%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	0 0%	2 6%	2 4%	4 5%	12 5%	20 5%
Not contacted...	4 33%	14 47%	27 47%	39 47%	123 57%	207 52%
Not heard of	8 67%	12 40%	22 39%	38 46%	81 37%	161 40%
Don't know...	0 0%	2 7%	6 10%	2 3%	0 0%	10 3%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	0 0%	20 6%	20 5%
Not contacted...	35 57%	176 52%	211 52%
Not heard of	22 36%	139 41%	161 40%
Don't know...	4 7%	6 2%	10 3%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	12 6%	8 4%	20 5%
Not contacted..	112 54%	99 51%	211 52%
Not heard of	81 39%	79 41%	161 40%
Don't know..	2 1%	8 4%	10 3%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:							
Yes.....	1 1%	2 5%	0 1%	0 0%	0 0%	5 4%	8 2%
No/DK.....	101 99%	33 95%	23 99%	35 **%	56 100%	140 96%	388 98%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:			
Yes.....	1 0%	7 3%	8 2%
No/DK.....	144 **%	250 97%	394 98%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:						
Yes.....	0 1%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	6 3%	8 2%
No/DK.....	11 99%	29 100%	57 **%	82 97%	211 97%	390 98%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source

By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:			
Yes.....	0 0%	8 2%	8 2%
No/DK.....	61 100	333 98%	394 98%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source

By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:			
Yes.....	4 2%	4 2%	8 2%
No/DK.....	203 98%	191 98%	394 98%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
SOURCE:							
Newspapers..	80 78%	28 82%	15 65%	29 83%	48 86%	116 80%	317 80%
Magazines...	13 13%	6 18%	4 18%	0 0%	6 10%	24 16%	53 13%
Radio.....	47 46%	20 59%	14 61%	16 44%	35 62%	68 47%	200 51%
TV.....	82 80%	27 77%	19 81%	26 72%	50 89%	118 81%	320 81%
Community newsletters	27 27%	4 12%	14 62%	8 23%	10 17%	41 28%	104 26%
Internet, social media.....	43 43%	6 18%	4 19%	18 50%	19 34%	69 47%	159 40%
Local gathering places.....	56 55%	20 59%	13 55%	14 40%	29 51%	75 52%	207 52%
Farm Bureau, County Extension Service....	22 22%	6 18%	2 9%	0 0%	0 0%	6 4%	36 9%
Local schools....	34 34%	6 18%	2 10%	0 0%	2 3%	11 8%	56 14%
Other source	12 11%	2 6%	0 2%	4 12%	7 13%	21 14%	46 12%
No source mentioned..	13 12%	2 5%	4 18%	2 6%	6 11%	21 14%	47 12%
	10 10%	6 18%	6 25%	2 6%	4 7%	12 8%	39 10%
TOTAL.....	102 100	35 100	23 100	35 100	56 100	146 100	396 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
 By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	110 76%	207 80%	317 79%
Magazines...	20 14%	33 13%	53 13%
Radio.....	73 51%	131 51%	204 51%
TV.....	112 77%	211 82%	322 80%
Community newsletters	41 28%	63 25%	104 26%
Internet, social media.....	57 39%	103 40%	159 40%
Local gathering places.....	71 49%	136 53%	207 52%
Farm Bureau. County Extension	29 20%	7 3%	36 9%
Service....	36 25%	21 8%	56 14%
Local schools....	25 17%	22 8%	46 12%
Other source	22 15%	25 10%	47 12%
No source mentioned..	11 7%	30 12%	41 10%
TOTAL.....	144 100	258 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community

By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
SOURCE:						
Newspapers...	6 50%	26 87%	47 82%	58 69%	179 83%	315 79%
Magazines...	2 18%	2 7%	8 14%	12 15%	29 13%	53 13%
Radio.....	4 36%	15 52%	33 57%	49 59%	101 47%	202 51%
TV.....	8 67%	24 81%	43 75%	63 76%	181 83%	318 80%
Community newsletters	2 16%	16 53%	19 34%	15 18%	52 24%	104 26%
Internet, social media.....	9 82%	25 86%	33 58%	41 48%	49 23%	158 40%
Local gathering places.....	6 48%	21 73%	30 53%	34 40%	116 54%	207 52%
Farm Bureau. County Extension Service....	0 1%	2 7%	8 15%	7 8%	19 9%	36 9%
Local schools....	2 17%	12 41%	14 24%	9 10%	10 5%	46 12%
Other source	2 16%	0 0%	4 7%	14 16%	28 13%	47 12%
No source mentioned..	0 1%	0 0%	4 7%	5 5%	32 15%	41 10%
TOTAL.....	12 100	29 100	57 100	84 100	216 100	398 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
 By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	55 90%	262 77%	317 79%
Magazines...	8 13%	45 13%	53 13%
Radio.....	41 67%	163 48%	204 51%
TV.....	45 74%	277 81%	322 80%
Community newsletters	19 31%	85 25%	104 26%
Internet, social media.....	43 70%	117 34%	159 40%
Local gathering places.....	39 64%	168 49%	207 52%
Farm Bureau County Extension Service....	8 13%	48 14%	56 14%
Local schools....	20 33%	26 8%	46 12%
Other source	0 0%	47 14%	47 12%
No source mentioned..	2 3%	39 11%	41 10%
TOTAL.....	61 100	341 100	402 100

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties, Weighted Aggregate Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	166 80%	151 78%	317 79%
Magazines...	35 17%	18 9%	53 13%
Radio.....	116 56%	87 45%	204 51%
TV.....	171 82%	152 78%	322 80%
Community			
newsletters	52 25%	53 27%	104 26%
Internet,			
social			
media.....	91 44%	68 35%	159 40%
Local			
gathering			
places.....	107 52%	100 51%	207 52%
Farm Bureau.	27 13%	9 5%	36 9%
County			
Extension			
Service....	33 16%	23 12%	56 14%
Local			
schools....	27 13%	19 10%	46 12%
Other source	29 14%	18 9%	47 12%
No source			
mentioned..	15 7%	26 13%	41 10%
TOTAL.....	207 100	195 100	402 100

**BENTON COUNTY, UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE
CROSS-TABULATIONS**

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	10 29%	6 50%	3 38%	4 33%	8 53%	4 21%	35 35%
Somewhat....	10 29%	1 8%	2 25%	5 42%	3 20%	8 42%	29 29%
Not too.....	6 18%	3 25%	2 25%	2 17%	3 20%	3 16%	19 19%
Not at all..	5 15%	1 8%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	2 11%	9 9%
Don't know..	3 9%	1 8%	0 0%	1 8%	1 7%	2 11%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	15 38%	20 33%	35 35%
Somewhat....	14 36%	15 25%	29 29%
Not too.....	6 15%	13 21%	19 19%
Not at all..	4 10%	5 8%	9 9%
Don't know..	0 0%	8 13%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 100	2 29%	4 33%	8 33%	19 35%	34 34%
Somewhat....	0 0%	4 57%	3 25%	8 33%	14 25%	29 29%
Not too.....	0 0%	1 14%	5 42%	4 17%	9 16%	19 19%
Not at all..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 13%	6 11%	9 9%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	7 13%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	HOW SERIOUS:		
Very.....	6 40%	29 34%	35 35%
Somewhat....	4 27%	25 29%	29 29%
Not too.....	5 33%	14 16%	19 19%
Not at all..	0 0%	9 11%	9 9%
Don't know..	0 0%	8 9%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	18 38%	17 33%	35 35%
Somewhat....	11 23%	18 35%	29 29%
Not too.....	12 25%	7 13%	19 19%
Not at all..	4 8%	5 10%	9 9%
Don't know..	3 6%	5 10%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	8 44%	10 24%	11 44%	6 38%	35 35%
Somewhat....	5 28%	16 39%	4 16%	4 25%	29 29%
Not too.....	5 28%	8 20%	4 16%	2 13%	19 19%
Not at all..	0 0%	3 7%	3 12%	3 19%	9 9%
Don't know..	0 0%	4 10%	3 12%	1 6%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 100	2 29%	1 8%	6 25%	15 27%	25 25%
Somewhat....	0 0%	3 43%	6 50%	5 21%	10 18%	24 24%
Not too.....	0 0%	2 29%	2 17%	4 17%	13 24%	21 21%
Not at all..	0 0%	0 0%	2 17%	4 17%	9 16%	15 15%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	5 21%	8 15%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	11 28%	15 25%	26 26%
Somewhat....	9 23%	15 25%	24 24%
Not too.....	10 26%	11 18%	21 21%
Not at all..	5 13%	10 16%	15 15%
Don't know..	4 10%	10 16%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 100	2 29%	1 8%	6 25%	15 27%	25 25%
Somewhat....	0 0%	3 43%	6 50%	5 21%	10 18%	24 24%
Not too.....	0 0%	2 29%	2 17%	4 17%	13 24%	21 21%
Not at all..	0 0%	0 0%	2 17%	4 17%	9 16%	15 15%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	5 21%	8 15%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	4 27%	22 26%	26 26%
Somewhat....	4 27%	20 24%	24 24%
Not too.....	3 20%	18 21%	21 21%
Not at all..	3 20%	12 14%	15 15%
Don't know..	1 7%	13 15%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	14 29%	12 23%	26 26%
Somewhat....	7 15%	17 33%	24 24%
Not too.....	13 27%	8 15%	21 21%
Not at all..	8 17%	7 13%	15 15%
Don't know..	6 13%	8 15%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	3 17%	9 22%	8 32%	6 38%	26 26%
Somewhat....	5 28%	11 27%	5 20%	3 19%	24 24%
Not too.....	7 39%	8 20%	4 16%	2 13%	21 21%
Not at all..	1 6%	4 10%	8 32%	2 13%	15 15%
Don't know..	2 11%	9 22%	0 0%	3 19%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 0%	3 43%	2 17%	4 17%	5 9%	14 14%
Somewhat....	1 100%	0 0%	3 25%	11 46%	22 40%	37 37%
Not too.....	0 0%	3 43%	5 42%	6 25%	12 22%	26 26%
Not at all..	0 0%	1 14%	2 17%	2 8%	9 16%	14 14%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	7 13%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	8 21%	7 11%	15 15%
Somewhat....	16 41%	21 34%	37 37%
Not too.....	10 26%	16 26%	26 26%
Not at all..	4 10%	10 16%	14 14%
Don't know..	1 3%	7 11%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 0%	3 43%	2 17%	4 17%	5 9%	14 14%
Somewhat....	1 100%	0 0%	3 25%	11 46%	22 40%	37 37%
Not too.....	0 0%	3 43%	5 42%	6 25%	12 22%	26 26%
Not at all..	0 0%	1 14%	2 17%	2 8%	9 16%	14 14%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	7 13%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	HOW SERIOUS:		
Very.....	4 27%	11 13%	15 15%
Somewhat....	2 13%	35 41%	37 37%
Not too.....	6 40%	20 24%	26 26%
Not at all..	3 20%	11 13%	14 14%
Don't know..	0 0%	8 9%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
	HOW SERIOUS:		
Very.....	10 21%	5 10%	15 15%
Somewhat....	18 38%	19 37%	37 37%
Not too.....	8 17%	18 35%	26 26%
Not at all..	10 21%	4 8%	14 14%
Don't know..	2 4%	6 12%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
	HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	2 11%	5 12%	5 20%	3 19%	15 15%
Somewhat....	5 28%	17 41%	9 36%	6 38%	37 37%
Not too.....	8 44%	11 27%	3 12%	4 25%	26 26%
Not at all..	2 11%	3 7%	7 28%	2 13%	14 14%
Don't know..	1 6%	5 12%	1 4%	1 6%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 100	3 43%	3 25%	6 25%	16 29%	29 29%
Somewhat....	0 0%	2 29%	3 25%	10 42%	12 22%	27 27%
Not too.....	0 0%	2 29%	4 33%	6 25%	13 24%	25 25%
Not at all..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	2 8%	10 18%	13 13%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	4 7%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	13 33%	16 26%	29 29%
Somewhat....	11 28%	17 28%	28 28%
Not too.....	10 26%	15 25%	25 25%
Not at all..	5 13%	8 13%	13 13%
Don't know..	0 0%	5 8%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 100	3 43%	3 25%	6 25%	16 29%	29 29%
Somewhat....	0 0%	2 29%	3 25%	10 42%	12 22%	27 27%
Not too.....	0 0%	2 29%	4 33%	6 25%	13 24%	25 25%
Not at all..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	2 8%	10 18%	13 13%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	4 7%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	HOW SERIOUS:		
Very.....	6 40%	23 27%	29 29%
Somewhat....	2 13%	26 31%	28 28%
Not too.....	6 40%	19 22%	25 25%
Not at all..	1 7%	12 14%	13 13%
Don't know..	0 0%	5 6%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	16 33%	13 25%	29 29%
Somewhat....	13 27%	15 29%	28 28%
Not too.....	12 25%	13 25%	25 25%
Not at all..	6 13%	7 13%	13 13%
Don't know..	1 2%	4 8%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	4 22%	15 37%	4 16%	6 38%	29 29%
Somewhat....	9 50%	10 24%	5 20%	4 25%	28 28%
Not too.....	2 11%	9 22%	10 40%	4 25%	25 25%
Not at all..	1 6%	4 10%	6 24%	2 13%	13 13%
Don't know..	2 11%	3 7%	0 0%	0 0%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	11 32%	4 33%	1 13%	1 8%	4 27%	9 47%	30 30%
Somewhat....	8 24%	0 0%	1 13%	2 17%	4 27%	3 16%	18 18%
Not too.....	7 21%	4 33%	2 25%	5 42%	4 27%	3 16%	25 25%
Not at all..	4 12%	4 33%	2 25%	3 25%	3 20%	3 16%	19 19%
Don't know..	4 12%	0 0%	2 25%	1 8%	0 0%	1 5%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	16 41%	14 23%	30 30%
Somewhat....	9 23%	9 15%	18 18%
Not too.....	7 18%	18 30%	25 25%
Not at all..	5 13%	14 23%	19 19%
Don't know..	2 5%	6 10%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 100	2 29%	2 17%	8 33%	16 29%	29 29%
Somewhat....	0 0%	0 0%	2 17%	3 13%	13 24%	18 18%
Not too.....	0 0%	4 57%	5 42%	7 29%	9 16%	25 25%
Not at all..	0 0%	1 14%	2 17%	2 8%	14 25%	19 19%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	4 17%	3 5%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	5 33%	25 29%	30 30%
Somewhat....	0 0%	18 21%	18 18%
Not too.....	8 53%	17 20%	25 25%
Not at all..	2 13%	17 20%	19 19%
Don't know..	0 0%	8 9%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	14 29%	16 31%	30 30%
Somewhat....	11 23%	7 13%	18 18%
Not too.....	13 27%	12 23%	25 25%
Not at all..	8 17%	11 21%	19 19%
Don't know..	2 4%	6 12%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	4 22%	13 32%	7 28%	6 38%	30 30%
Somewhat....	4 22%	8 20%	4 16%	2 13%	18 18%
Not too.....	4 22%	12 29%	4 16%	5 31%	25 25%
Not at all..	1 6%	6 15%	10 40%	2 13%	19 19%
Don't know..	5 28%	2 5%	0 0%	1 6%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	10 29%	2 17%	2 25%	2 17%	1 7%	4 21%	21 21%
Somewhat....	5 15%	1 8%	1 13%	3 25%	9 60%	5 26%	24 24%
Not too.....	10 29%	7 58%	2 25%	4 33%	4 27%	4 21%	31 31%
Not at all..	5 15%	1 8%	2 25%	2 17%	1 7%	4 21%	15 15%
Don't know..	4 12%	1 8%	1 13%	1 8%	0 0%	2 11%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	12 31%	9 15%	21 21%
Somewhat....	11 28%	13 21%	24 24%
Not too.....	11 28%	20 33%	31 31%
Not at all..	4 10%	11 18%	15 15%
Don't know..	1 3%	8 13%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 100	5 71%	1 8%	8 33%	6 11%	21 21%
Somewhat....	0 0%	1 14%	4 33%	6 25%	13 24%	24 24%
Not too.....	0 0%	1 14%	5 42%	6 25%	18 33%	30 30%
Not at all..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	3 13%	11 20%	15 15%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	1 4%	7 13%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	7 47%	14 16%	21 21%
Somewhat....	1 7%	23 27%	24 24%
Not too.....	6 40%	25 29%	31 31%
Not at all..	1 7%	14 16%	15 15%
Don't know..	0 0%	9 11%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	9 19%	12 23%	21 21%
Somewhat....	13 27%	11 21%	24 24%
Not too.....	13 27%	18 35%	31 31%
Not at all..	10 21%	5 10%	15 15%
Don't know..	3 6%	6 12%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	5 28%	8 20%	5 20%	3 19%	21 21%
Somewhat....	4 22%	14 34%	5 20%	1 6%	24 24%
Not too.....	5 28%	10 24%	9 36%	7 44%	31 31%
Not at all..	2 11%	4 10%	6 24%	3 19%	15 15%
Don't know..	2 11%	5 12%	0 0%	2 13%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	4 12%	3 25%	0 0%	3 25%	4 27%	1 5%	15 15%
Somewhat....	8 24%	1 8%	2 25%	5 42%	9 60%	8 42%	33 33%
Not too.....	14 41%	5 42%	4 50%	2 17%	2 13%	6 32%	33 33%
Not at all..	6 18%	2 17%	1 13%	1 8%	0 0%	3 16%	13 13%
Don't know..	2 6%	1 8%	1 13%	1 8%	0 0%	1 5%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	7 18%	8 13%	15 15%
Somewhat....	11 28%	22 36%	33 33%
Not too.....	14 36%	19 31%	33 33%
Not at all..	6 15%	7 11%	13 13%
Don't know..	1 3%	5 8%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 0%	1 14%	2 17%	4 17%	7 13%	14 14%
Somewhat....	1 100%	3 43%	3 25%	10 42%	16 29%	33 33%
Not too.....	0 0%	3 43%	3 25%	5 21%	22 40%	33 33%
Not at all..	0 0%	0 0%	3 25%	5 21%	5 9%	13 13%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	5 9%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	3 20%	12 14%	15 15%
Somewhat....	6 40%	27 32%	33 33%
Not too.....	4 27%	29 34%	33 33%
Not at all..	2 13%	11 13%	13 13%
Don't know..	0 0%	6 7%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	6 13%	9 17%	15 15%
Somewhat....	16 33%	17 33%	33 33%
Not too.....	17 35%	16 31%	33 33%
Not at all..	7 15%	6 12%	13 13%
Don't know..	2 4%	4 8%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	0 0%	2 5%	10 40%	3 19%	15 15%
Somewhat....	5 28%	20 49%	6 24%	2 13%	33 33%
Not too.....	9 50%	12 29%	6 24%	6 38%	33 33%
Not at all..	2 11%	4 10%	3 12%	4 25%	13 13%
Don't know..	2 11%	3 7%	0 0%	1 6%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	5 15%	2 17%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	1 5%	9 9%
Somewhat....	8 24%	1 8%	1 13%	4 33%	10 67%	5 26%	29 29%
Not too.....	12 35%	5 42%	2 25%	4 33%	4 27%	6 32%	33 33%
Not at all..	5 15%	3 25%	2 25%	3 25%	1 7%	5 26%	19 19%
Don't know..	4 12%	1 8%	2 25%	1 8%	0 0%	2 11%	10 10%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	5 13%	4 7%	9 9%
Somewhat....	13 33%	16 26%	29 29%
Not too.....	13 33%	20 33%	33 33%
Not at all..	7 18%	12 20%	19 19%
Don't know..	1 3%	9 15%	10 10%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 0%	1 14%	1 8%	2 8%	4 7%	8 8%
Somewhat....	1 100%	1 14%	4 33%	5 21%	18 33%	29 29%
Not too.....	0 0%	3 43%	4 33%	10 42%	16 29%	33 33%
Not at all..	0 0%	2 29%	1 8%	5 21%	11 20%	19 19%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	2 17%	2 8%	6 11%	10 10%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	1 7%	8 9%	9 9%
Somewhat....	4 27%	25 29%	29 29%
Not too.....	7 47%	26 31%	33 33%
Not at all..	3 20%	16 19%	19 19%
Don't know..	0 0%	10 12%	10 10%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	8 17%	1 2%	9 9%
Somewhat....	14 29%	15 29%	29 29%
Not too.....	12 25%	21 40%	33 33%
Not at all..	11 23%	8 15%	19 19%
Don't know..	3 6%	7 13%	10 10%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	0 0%	2 5%	3 12%	4 25%	9 9%
Somewhat....	5 28%	14 34%	6 24%	4 25%	29 29%
Not too.....	7 39%	13 32%	8 32%	5 31%	33 33%
Not at all..	3 17%	6 15%	8 32%	2 13%	19 19%
Don't know..	3 17%	6 15%	0 0%	1 6%	10 10%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
MENTIONED:							
Yes.....	5 15%	2 17%	2 25%	4 33%	0 0%	1 5%	14 14%
No/DK.....	29 85%	10 83%	6 75%	8 67%	15 100%	18 95%	86 86%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	5 13%	9 15%	14 14%
No/DK.....	34 87%	52 85%	86 86%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
MENTIONED:						
Yes.....	0 0%	0 0%	5 42%	5 21%	4 7%	14 14%
No/DK.....	1 100%	7 100%	7 58%	19 79%	51 93%	85 86%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	3 20%	11 13%	14 14%
No/DK.....	12 80%	74 87%	86 86%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	5 10%	9 17%	14 14%
No/DK.....	43 90%	43 83%	86 86%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
MENTIONED:					
Yes.....	2 11%	6 15%	3 12%	3 19%	14 14%
No/DK.....	16 89%	35 85%	22 88%	13 81%	86 86%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	9 26%	5 42%	2 25%	4 33%	3 20%	6 32%	29 29%
Somewhat agree.....	9 26%	2 17%	2 25%	4 33%	7 47%	3 16%	27 27%
Somewhat disagree...	7 21%	5 42%	1 13%	3 25%	3 20%	2 11%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	6 18%	0 0%	3 38%	1 8%	0 0%	6 32%	16 16%
Don't believe....	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 13%	2 11%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	10 26%	19 31%	29 29%
Somewhat agree.....	7 18%	20 33%	27 27%
Somewhat disagree...	11 28%	10 16%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	9 23%	7 11%	16 16%
Don't believe....	1 3%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	1 3%	5 8%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	0 0%	1 14%	2 17%	5 21%	20 36%	28 28%
Somewhat agree.....	1 100%	3 43%	3 25%	6 25%	14 25%	27 27%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	2 29%	4 33%	7 29%	8 15%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	1 14%	3 25%	3 13%	9 16%	16 16%
Don't believe....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	4 7%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	4 27%	25 29%	29 29%
Somewhat agree.....	3 20%	24 28%	27 27%
Somewhat disagree...	6 40%	15 18%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	1 7%	15 18%	16 16%
Don't believe....	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Don't know..	1 7%	5 6%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	15 31%	14 27%	29 29%
Somewhat agree.....	10 21%	17 33%	27 27%
Somewhat disagree...	8 17%	13 25%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	10 21%	6 12%	16 16%
Don't believe....	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	4 8%	2 4%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	2 11%	10 24%	9 36%	8 50%	29 29%
Somewhat agree.....	8 44%	11 27%	6 24%	2 13%	27 27%
Somewhat disagree...	2 11%	11 27%	4 16%	4 25%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	5 28%	4 10%	6 24%	1 6%	16 16%
Don't believe....	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	1 6%	4 10%	0 0%	1 6%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	6 18%	3 25%	1 13%	4 33%	4 27%	8 42%	26 26%
Somewhat agree.....	9 26%	3 25%	2 25%	2 17%	4 27%	2 11%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	5 15%	3 25%	2 25%	4 33%	5 33%	2 11%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	12 35%	3 25%	2 25%	1 8%	1 7%	7 37%	26 26%
Don't know...	2 6%	0 0%	1 13%	1 8%	1 7%	0 0%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	4 10%	22 36%	26 26%
Somewhat agree.....	10 26%	12 20%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	11 28%	10 16%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	13 33%	13 21%	26 26%
Don't know...	1 3%	4 7%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	0 0%	0 0%	2 17%	3 13%	21 38%	26 26%
Somewhat agree.....	1 100%	3 43%	0 0%	6 25%	12 22%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	4 57%	5 42%	6 25%	6 11%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	4 33%	8 33%	13 24%	25 25%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	1 4%	3 5%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	2 13%	24 28%	26 26%
Somewhat agree.....	4 27%	18 21%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	7 47%	14 16%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	2 13%	24 28%	26 26%
Don't know..	0 0%	5 6%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	14 29%	12 23%	26 26%
Somewhat agree.....	9 19%	13 25%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	9 19%	12 23%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	13 27%	13 25%	26 26%
Don't know..	3 6%	2 4%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	5 28%	10 24%	7 28%	4 25%	26 26%
Somewhat agree.....	5 28%	10 24%	4 16%	3 19%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	3 17%	11 27%	6 24%	1 6%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	4 22%	8 20%	8 32%	6 38%	26 26%
Don't know..	1 6%	2 5%	0 0%	2 13%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	4 12%	0 0%	0 0%	2 17%	3 20%	3 16%	12 12%
Somewhat agree.....	8 24%	6 50%	2 25%	2 17%	4 27%	0 0%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	4 12%	1 8%	6 75%	3 25%	3 20%	3 16%	20 20%
Strongly disagree...	17 50%	4 33%	0 0%	4 33%	4 27%	12 63%	41 41%
Don't know...	1 3%	1 8%	0 0%	1 8%	1 7%	1 5%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	5 13%	7 11%	12 12%
Somewhat agree.....	10 26%	12 20%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	6 15%	14 23%	20 20%
Strongly disagree...	18 46%	23 38%	41 41%
Don't know...	0 0%	5 8%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	0 0%	1 14%	1 8%	1 4%	9 16%	12 12%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	3 43%	4 33%	4 17%	11 20%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	1 100%	1 14%	1 8%	8 33%	9 16%	20 20%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	2 29%	5 42%	11 46%	22 40%	40 40%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	4 7%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	1 7%	11 13%	12 12%
Somewhat agree.....	7 47%	15 18%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	1 7%	19 22%	20 20%
Strongly disagree...	6 40%	35 41%	41 41%
Don't know..	0 0%	5 6%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	8 17%	4 8%	12 12%
Somewhat agree.....	8 17%	14 27%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	7 15%	13 25%	20 20%
Strongly disagree...	23 48%	18 35%	41 41%
Don't know...	2 4%	3 6%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	0 0%	4 10%	5 20%	3 19%	12 12%
Somewhat agree.....	5 28%	11 27%	1 4%	5 31%	22 22%
Somewhat disagree...	5 28%	7 17%	7 28%	1 6%	20 20%
Strongly disagree...	7 39%	15 37%	12 48%	7 44%	41 41%
Don't know...	1 6%	4 10%	0 0%	0 0%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	30 88%	8 67%	7 88%	9 75%	12 80%	17 89%	83 83%
Somewhat agree.....	4 12%	3 25%	1 13%	2 17%	3 20%	2 11%	15 15%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	33 85%	50 82%	83 83%
Somewhat agree.....	6 15%	9 15%	15 15%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 3%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	0 0%	7 100%	8 67%	19 79%	48 87%	82 83%
Somewhat agree.....	1 100%	0 0%	2 17%	5 21%	7 13%	15 15%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	13 87%	
Somewhat agree.....	1 7%	14 16%	15 15%
Don't know..	1 7%	1 1%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
	AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	43 90%	
Somewhat agree.....	5 10%	10 19%	15 15%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 4%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
	AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	15 83%	32 78%	22 88%	
Somewhat agree.....	2 11%	9 22%	3 12%	1 6%	15 15%
Don't know..	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	30 88%	8 67%	6 75%	10 83%	13 87%	16 84%	83 83%
Somewhat agree.....	4 12%	4 33%	2 25%	1 8%	2 13%	3 16%	16 16%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	36 92%	47 77%	83 83%
Somewhat agree.....	3 8%	13 21%	16 16%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	1 100	7 100	9 75%	21 88%	44 80%	82 83%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	0 0%	2 17%	3 13%	11 20%	16 16%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			
Strongly agree.....	14 93%	69 81%	83 83%
Somewhat agree.....	1 7%	15 18%	16 16%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	44 92%	39 75%	83 83%
Somewhat agree.....	4 8%	12 23%	16 16%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	13 72%	32 78%	22 88%	16 100	83 83%
Somewhat agree.....	4 22%	9 22%	3 12%	0 0%	16 16%
Strongly disagree...	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
USE LAKE FOR:							
Drinking water.....	19 56%	7 58%	6 75%	8 67%	12 80%	15 79%	67 67%
Irrigation..	7 21%	1 8%	1 13%	3 25%	1 7%	5 26%	18 18%
Fishing.....	24 71%	3 25%	3 38%	7 58%	9 60%	9 47%	55 55%
Boating, etc.....	22 65%	4 33%	3 38%	4 33%	3 20%	8 42%	44 44%
Swimming, scuba.....	14 41%	4 33%	3 38%	6 50%	8 53%	7 37%	42 42%
Camping.....	11 32%	3 25%	3 38%	4 33%	1 7%	7 37%	29 29%
Hiking.....	14 41%	3 25%	3 38%	5 42%	6 40%	6 32%	37 37%
Birding.....	12 35%	2 17%	3 38%	0 0%	2 13%	5 26%	24 24%
Watering livestock..	5 15%	1 8%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	7 7%
Other purpose....	0 0%	0 0%	1 13%	1 8%	0 0%	3 16%	5 5%
No use mentioned..	4 12%	2 17%	1 13%	1 8%	2 13%	3 16%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake

By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own		TOTAL
	Property Next to		
	Water		
	Yes	No	
USE LAKE			
FOR:			
Drinking			
water.....	26 67%	41 67%	67 67%
Irrigation..	10 26%	8 13%	18 18%
Fishing.....	29 74%	26 43%	55 55%
Boating,			
etc.....	28 72%	16 26%	44 44%
Swimming,			
scuba.....	24 62%	18 30%	42 42%
Camping.....	16 41%	13 21%	29 29%
Hiking.....	17 44%	20 33%	37 37%
Birding.....	11 28%	13 21%	24 24%
Watering			
livestock..	6 15%	1 2%	7 7%
Other			
purpose....	3 8%	2 3%	5 5%
No use			
mentioned..	4 10%	9 15%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
USE LAKE FOR:						
Drinking water.....	1 100	6 86%	7 58%	17 71%	35 64%	66 67%
Irrigation..	0 0%	2 29%	4 33%	8 33%	4 7%	18 18%
Fishing.....	0 0%	5 71%	7 58%	14 58%	29 53%	55 56%
Boating, etc.....	0 0%	4 57%	7 58%	16 67%	17 31%	44 44%
Swimming, scuba.....	1 100	6 86%	9 75%	12 50%	14 25%	42 42%
Camping.....	0 0%	4 57%	4 33%	9 38%	12 22%	29 29%
Hiking.....	1 100	3 43%	6 50%	11 46%	16 29%	37 37%
Birding.....	1 100	3 43%	1 8%	9 38%	10 18%	24 24%
Watering livestock..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	5 21%	2 4%	7 7%
Other purpose.....	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	2 8%	2 4%	5 5%
No use mentioned..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	2 8%	10 18%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake

By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
USE LAKE FOR:			
Drinking water.....	10 67%	57 67%	67 67%
Irrigation..	4 27%	14 16%	18 18%
Fishing.....	10 67%	45 53%	55 55%
Boating, etc.....	11 73%	33 39%	44 44%
Swimming, scuba.....	14 93%	28 33%	42 42%
Camping.....	9 60%	20 24%	29 29%
Hiking.....	9 60%	28 33%	37 37%
Birding.....	5 33%	19 22%	24 24%
Watering livestock..	2 13%	5 6%	7 7%
Other purpose....	0 0%	5 6%	5 5%
No use mentioned..	0 0%	13 15%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
USE LAKE			
FOR:			
Drinking			
water.....	34 71%	33 63%	67 67%
Irrigation..	8 17%	10 19%	18 18%
Fishing.....	31 65%	24 46%	55 55%
Boating,			
etc.....	27 56%	17 33%	44 44%
Swimming,			
scuba.....	23 48%	19 37%	42 42%
Camping.....	16 33%	13 25%	29 29%
Hiking.....	21 44%	16 31%	37 37%
Birding.....	12 25%	12 23%	24 24%
Watering			
livestock..	4 8%	3 6%	7 7%
Other			
purpose....	4 8%	1 2%	5 5%
No use			
mentioned..	5 10%	8 15%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
USE LAKE					
FOR:					
Drinking					
water.....	14 78%	29 71%	16 64%	8 50%	67 67%
Irrigation..	1 6%	8 20%	7 28%	2 13%	18 18%
Fishing.....	9 50%	23 56%	13 52%	10 63%	55 55%
Boating,					
etc.....	8 44%	18 44%	11 44%	7 44%	44 44%
Swimming,					
scuba.....	7 39%	17 41%	12 48%	6 38%	42 42%
Camping.....	7 39%	12 29%	5 20%	5 31%	29 29%
Hiking.....	6 33%	17 41%	6 24%	8 50%	37 37%
Birding.....	4 22%	10 24%	4 16%	6 38%	24 24%
Watering					
livestock..	2 11%	1 2%	1 4%	3 19%	7 7%
Other					
purpose....	0 0%	3 7%	2 8%	0 0%	5 5%
No use					
mentioned..	1 6%	8 20%	2 8%	2 13%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	8 24%	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 16%	13 13%
Not							
contacted..	20 59%	8 67%	7 88%	5 42%	10 67%	15 79%	65 65%
Not heard of	6 18%	2 17%	1 13%	7 58%	5 33%	1 5%	22 22%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	7 18%	6 10%	13 13%
Not			
contacted..	22 56%	43 70%	65 65%
Not heard of	10 26%	12 20%	22 22%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	1 14%	3 25%	5 21%	4 7%	13 13%
Not contacted..	0 0%	3 43%	8 67%	19 79%	35 64%	65 66%
Not heard of	1 100%	3 43%	1 8%	0 0%	16 29%	21 21%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	4 27%	9 11%	13 13%
Not contacted..	8 53%	57 67%	65 65%
Not heard of	3 20%	19 22%	22 22%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	4 8%	9 17%	13 13%
Not contacted..	32 67%	33 63%	65 65%
Not heard of	12 25%	10 19%	22 22%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	2 11%	7 17%	1 4%	3 19%	13 13%
Not contacted..	11 61%	30 73%	16 64%	8 50%	65 65%
Not heard of	5 28%	4 10%	8 32%	5 31%	22 22%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	8 24%	1 8%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	6 32%	16 16%
Not							
contacted..	24 71%	11 92%	6 75%	12 100%	14 93%	13 68%	80 80%
Not heard of	2 6%	0 0%	1 13%	0 0%	1 7%	0 0%	4 4%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	10 26%	6 10%	16 16%
Not			
contacted..	26 67%	54 89%	80 80%
Not heard of	3 8%	1 2%	4 4%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	1 14%	1 8%	10 42%	4 7%	16 16%
Not						
contacted..	1 100%	6 86%	11 92%	13 54%	48 87%	79 80%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	3 5%	4 4%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	3 20%	13 15%	16 16%
Not			
contacted..	12 80%	68 80%	80 80%
Not heard of	0 0%	4 5%	4 4%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	7 15%	9 17%	16 16%
Not contacted..	38 79%	42 81%	80 80%
Not heard of	3 6%	1 2%	4 4%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	2 11%	8 20%	4 16%	2 13%	16 16%
Not contacted..	16 89%	29 71%	21 84%	14 88%	80 80%
Not heard of	0 0%	4 10%	0 0%	0 0%	4 4%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted...	3 9%	0 0%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	4 21%	8 8%
Not contacted...	25 74%	11 92%	6 75%	6 50%	10 67%	14 74%	72 72%
Not heard of	5 15%	1 8%	1 13%	6 50%	4 27%	1 5%	18 18%
Don't know...	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	0 0%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted...	2 5%	6 10%	8 8%
Not contacted...	30 77%	42 69%	72 72%
Not heard of	7 18%	11 18%	18 18%
Don't know...	0 0%	2 3%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	6 11%	8 8%
Not						
contacted..	1 100%	5 71%	9 75%	20 83%	36 65%	71 72%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 14%	3 25%	1 4%	13 24%	18 18%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 14%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	1 7%	7 8%	8 8%
Not			
contacted..	11 73%	61 72%	72 72%
Not heard of	2 13%	16 19%	18 18%
Don't know..	1 7%	1 1%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	3 6%	5 10%	8 8%
Not contacted..	37 77%	35 67%	72 72%
Not heard of	8 17%	10 19%	18 18%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 4%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	1 6%	6 15%	0 0%	1 6%	8 8%
Not contacted..	13 72%	30 73%	16 64%	13 81%	72 72%
Not heard of	4 22%	4 10%	9 36%	1 6%	18 18%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	1 6%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL	
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K		
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have								
contacted..	2 6%	0 0%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 3%	
Not								
contacted..	15 44%	5 42%	3 38%	3 25%	9 60%	13 68%	48 48%	
Not heard of	16 47%	7 58%	4 50%	8 67%	6 40%	6 32%	47 47%	
Don't know..	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100	

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL	
	Yes	No		
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have				
contacted..	1 3%	2 3%	3 3%	
Not				
contacted..	21 54%	27 44%	48 48%	
Not heard of	17 44%	30 49%	47 47%	
Don't know..	0 0%	2 3%	2 2%	
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100	

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 13%	0 0%	3 3%
Not contacted..	0 0%	4 57%	6 50%	10 42%	28 51%	48 48%
Not heard of	1 100%	3 43%	5 42%	10 42%	27 49%	46 46%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	1 4%	0 0%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	0 0%	3 4%	3 3%
Not contacted..	9 60%	39 46%	48 48%
Not heard of	6 40%	41 48%	47 47%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 2%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	0 0%	3 6%	3 3%
Not contacted..	26 54%	22 42%	48 48%
Not heard of	22 46%	25 48%	47 47%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 4%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	1 6%	1 2%	0 0%	1 6%	3 3%
Not contacted..	7 39%	24 59%	11 44%	6 38%	48 48%
Not heard of	10 56%	15 37%	14 56%	8 50%	47 47%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	1 6%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	6 18%	1 8%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	3 16%	11 11%
Not							
contacted..	21 62%	11 92%	7 88%	9 75%	12 80%	15 79%	75 75%
Not heard of	7 21%	0 0%	0 0%	3 25%	3 20%	1 5%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	9 23%	2 3%	11 11%
Not			
contacted..	24 62%	51 84%	75 75%
Not heard of	6 15%	8 13%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	1 14%	1 8%	7 29%	2 4%	11 11%
Not contacted..	0 0%	4 57%	10 83%	13 54%	47 85%	74 75%
Not heard of	1 100%	2 29%	1 8%	4 17%	6 11%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	3 20%	8 9%	11 11%
Not contacted..	10 67%	65 76%	75 75%
Not heard of	2 13%	12 14%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	6 13%	5 10%	11 11%
Not contacted..	31 65%	44 85%	75 75%
Not heard of	11 23%	3 6%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	3 17%	5 12%	2 8%	1 6%	11 11%
Not contacted..	11 61%	30 73%	19 76%	15 94%	75 75%
Not heard of	4 22%	6 15%	4 16%	0 0%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	12 35%	2 17%	2 25%	0 0%	0 0%	6 32%	22 22%
Not							
contacted..	22 65%	10 83%	6 75%	12 100%	14 93%	13 68%	77 77%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	13 33%	9 15%	22 22%
Not			
contacted..	26 67%	51 84%	77 77%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	2 29%	3 25%	9 38%	8 15%	22 22%
Not contacted..	1 100%	5 71%	9 75%	15 63%	46 84%	76 77%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have contacted..	5 33%	17 20%	22 22%
Not contacted..	10 67%	67 79%	77 77%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	13 27%	9 17%	22 22%
Not contacted..	34 71%	43 83%	77 77%
Not heard of	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	5 28%	11 27%	3 12%	3 19%	22 22%
Not contacted..	13 72%	29 71%	22 88%	13 81%	77 77%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL	
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K		
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have								
contacted..	2 6%	0 0%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	1 5%	4 4%	
Not								
contacted..	8 24%	7 58%	3 38%	4 33%	4 27%	10 53%	36 36%	
Not heard of	23 68%	5 42%	4 50%	8 67%	11 73%	7 37%	58 58%	
Don't know..	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 5%	2 2%	
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100	

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL	
	Yes	No		
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have				
contacted..	3 8%	1 2%	4 4%	
Not				
contacted..	16 41%	20 33%	36 36%	
Not heard of	20 51%	38 62%	58 58%	
Don't know..	0 0%	2 3%	2 2%	
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100	

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	2 4%	4 4%
Not						
contacted..	0 0%	1 14%	7 58%	6 25%	21 38%	35 35%
Not heard of	1 100%	6 86%	5 42%	15 63%	31 56%	58 59%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	1 2%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	0 0%	4 5%	4 4%
Not			
contacted..	3 20%	33 39%	36 36%
Not heard of	12 80%	46 54%	58 58%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 2%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	1 2%	3 6%	4 4%
Not			
contacted..	20 42%	16 31%	36 36%
Not heard of	27 56%	31 60%	58 58%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 4%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have					
contacted..	0 0%	4 10%	0 0%	0 0%	4 4%
Not					
contacted..	5 28%	19 46%	8 32%	4 25%	36 36%
Not heard of	13 72%	17 41%	17 68%	11 69%	58 58%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	1 6%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	10 29%	6 50%	2 25%	0 0%	1 7%	4 21%	23 23%
Not							
contacted..	22 65%	6 50%	6 75%	11 92%	13 87%	15 79%	73 73%
Not heard of	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	1 7%	0 0%	3 3%
Don't know..	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	10 26%	13 21%	23 23%
Not			
contacted..	28 72%	45 74%	73 73%
Not heard of	0 0%	3 5%	3 3%
Don't know..	1 3%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	1 100	2 29%	2 17%	7 29%	11 20%	23 23%
Not						
contacted..	0 0%	5 71%	10 83%	15 63%	42 76%	72 73%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	2 4%	3 3%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	6 40%	17 20%	23 23%
Not			
contacted..	9 60%	64 75%	73 73%
Not heard of	0 0%	3 4%	3 3%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	9 19%	14 27%	23 23%
Not contacted..	36 75%	37 71%	73 73%
Not heard of	2 4%	1 2%	3 3%
Don't know..	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	4 22%	12 29%	4 16%	3 19%	23 23%
Not contacted..	13 72%	27 66%	20 80%	13 81%	73 73%
Not heard of	1 6%	1 2%	1 4%	0 0%	3 3%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted...	7 21%	5 42%	2 25%	1 8%	1 7%	2 11%	18 18%
Not							
contacted...	25 74%	7 58%	6 75%	10 83%	14 93%	17 89%	79 79%
Not heard of	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%
Don't know...	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted...	9 23%	9 15%	18 18%
Not			
contacted...	29 74%	50 82%	79 79%
Not heard of	0 0%	2 3%	2 2%
Don't know...	1 3%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	1 14%	5 42%	3 13%	9 16%	18 18%
Not						
contacted..	1 100%	6 86%	7 58%	19 79%	45 82%	78 79%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	1 2%	2 2%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	4 27%	14 16%	18 18%
Not			
contacted..	11 73%	68 80%	79 79%
Not heard of	0 0%	2 2%	2 2%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	8 17%	10 19%	18 18%
Not contacted..	38 79%	41 79%	79 79%
Not heard of	1 2%	1 2%	2 2%
Don't know..	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	0 0%	10 24%	4 16%	4 25%	18 18%
Not contacted..	17 94%	30 73%	20 80%	12 75%	79 79%
Not heard of	1 6%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	2 2%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	8 24%	2 17%	2 25%	0 0%	1 7%	1 5%	14 14%
Not							
contacted..	26 76%	10 83%	6 75%	11 92%	14 93%	18 95%	85 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	7 18%	7 11%	14 14%
Not			
contacted..	32 82%	53 87%	85 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	4 33%	3 13%	7 13%	14 14%
Not						
contacted..	1 100%	7 100%	8 67%	21 88%	47 85%	84 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	3 20%	11 13%	14 14%
Not			
contacted..	12 80%	73 86%	85 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	6 13%	8 15%	14 14%
Not contacted..	42 88%	43 83%	85 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	2 11%	5 12%	1 4%	6 38%	14 14%
Not contacted..	16 89%	36 88%	23 92%	10 63%	85 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	2 6%	0 0%	2 25%	0 0%	0 0%	3 16%	7 7%
Not							
contacted..	17 50%	6 50%	3 38%	4 33%	9 60%	7 37%	46 46%
Not heard of	14 41%	5 42%	3 38%	8 67%	5 33%	9 47%	44 44%
Don't know..	1 3%	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	0 0%	3 3%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	4 10%	3 5%	7 7%
Not			
contacted..	22 56%	24 39%	46 46%
Not heard of	13 33%	31 51%	44 44%
Don't know..	0 0%	3 5%	3 3%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	2 8%	4 7%	7 7%
Not contacted...	0 0%	3 43%	5 42%	9 38%	28 51%	45 45%
Not heard of	1 100%	3 43%	5 42%	12 50%	23 42%	44 44%
Don't know...	0 0%	1 14%	1 8%	1 4%	0 0%	3 3%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	0 0%	7 8%	7 7%
Not contacted...	8 53%	38 45%	46 46%
Not heard of	5 33%	39 46%	44 44%
Don't know...	2 13%	1 1%	3 3%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	5 10%	2 4%	7 7%
Not			
contacted..	24 50%	22 42%	46 46%
Not heard of	19 40%	25 48%	44 44%
Don't know..	0 0%	3 6%	3 3%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have					
contacted..	1 6%	4 10%	1 4%	1 6%	7 7%
Not					
contacted..	7 39%	22 54%	9 36%	8 50%	46 46%
Not heard of	10 56%	14 34%	15 60%	5 31%	44 44%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	2 13%	3 3%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:						
No/DK.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:			
No/DK.....	39 100	61 100	100 100
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:						
No/DK.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:			
No/DK.....	15 100	85 100	100 100
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:			
No/DK.....	48 100	52 100	100 100
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:					
No/DK.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
SOURCE:							
Newspapers...	25 74%	9 75%	5 63%	9 75%	13 87%	16 84%	77 77%
Magazines....	3 9%	3 25%	1 13%	0 0%	1 7%	2 11%	10 10%
Radio.....	15 44%	7 58%	4 50%	5 42%	10 67%	4 21%	45 45%
TV.....	28 82%	10 83%	6 75%	9 75%	13 87%	16 84%	82 82%
Community newsletters	10 29%	2 17%	5 63%	3 25%	2 13%	7 37%	29 29%
Internet, social media.....	19 56%	3 25%	1 13%	6 50%	4 27%	7 37%	40 40%
Local gathering places.....	20 59%	7 58%	3 38%	6 50%	6 40%	12 63%	54 54%
Farm Bureau, County Extension Service....	8 24%	1 8%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	1 5%	11 11%
Local schools....	12 35%	2 17%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	2 11%	17 17%
Other source	3 9%	1 8%	0 0%	2 17%	1 7%	3 16%	10 10%
No source mentioned..	4 12%	0 0%	2 25%	1 8%	3 20%	3 16%	13 13%
	4 12%	2 17%	1 13%	1 8%	2 13%	3 16%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	34 100	12 100	8 100	12 100	15 100	19 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	29 74%	48 79%	77 77%
Magazines...	4 10%	6 10%	10 10%
Radio.....	20 51%	25 41%	45 45%
TV.....	31 79%	51 84%	82 82%
Community newsletters	13 33%	16 26%	29 29%
Internet, social media.....	16 41%	24 39%	40 40%
Local gathering places.....	24 62%	30 49%	54 54%
Farm Bureau. County Extension	10 26%	1 2%	11 11%
Service....	11 28%	6 10%	17 17%
Local schools....	7 18%	3 5%	10 10%
Other source	7 18%	6 10%	13 13%
No source mentioned..	4 10%	9 15%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	39 100	61 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
SOURCE:						
Newspapers..	0 0%	6 86%	8 67%	16 67%	47 85%	77 78%
Magazines...	1 100	0 0%	2 17%	2 8%	5 9%	10 10%
Radio.....	1 100	3 43%	5 42%	14 58%	22 40%	45 45%
TV.....	1 100	6 86%	9 75%	17 71%	48 87%	81 82%
Community						
newsletters	0 0%	4 57%	4 33%	5 21%	16 29%	29 29%
Internet,						
social						
media.....	1 100	6 86%	7 58%	11 46%	15 27%	40 40%
Local						
gathering						
places.....	0 0%	5 71%	7 58%	10 42%	32 58%	54 55%
Farm Bureau.	0 0%	1 14%	3 25%	2 8%	5 9%	11 11%
County						
Extension						
Service....	0 0%	1 14%	3 25%	6 25%	7 13%	17 17%
Local						
schools....	0 0%	3 43%	2 17%	3 13%	2 4%	10 10%
Other source	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	4 17%	8 15%	13 13%
No source						
mentioned..	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	2 8%	10 18%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	1 100	7 100	12 100	24 100	55 100	99 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	13 87%	64 75%	77 77%
Magazines...	2 13%	8 9%	10 10%
Radio.....	9 60%	36 42%	45 45%
TV.....	11 73%	71 84%	82 82%
Community newsletters	4 27%	25 29%	29 29%
Internet, social media.....	11 73%	29 34%	40 40%
Local gathering places.....	9 60%	45 53%	54 54%
Farm Bureau, County Extension Service....	2 13%	9 11%	11 11%
Local schools....	3 20%	14 16%	17 17%
Other source	5 33%	5 6%	10 10%
No source mentioned..	0 0%	13 15%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	15 100	85 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	37 77%	40 77%	77 77%
Magazines...	8 17%	2 4%	10 10%
Radio.....	24 50%	21 40%	45 45%
TV.....	42 88%	40 77%	82 82%
Community			
newsletters	12 25%	17 33%	29 29%
Internet,			
social			
media.....	21 44%	19 37%	40 40%
Local			
gathering			
places.....	25 52%	29 56%	54 54%
Farm Bureau.	9 19%	2 4%	11 11%
County			
Extension			
Service....	10 21%	7 13%	17 17%
Local			
schools....	5 10%	5 10%	10 10%
Other source	8 17%	5 10%	13 13%
No source			
mentioned..	5 10%	8 15%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	48 100	52 100	100 100

Benton County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72745	72756	72758	Other	
SOURCE:					
Newspapers..	11 61%	35 85%	18 72%	13 81%	77 77%
Magazines...	3 17%	4 10%	2 8%	1 6%	10 10%
Radio.....	9 50%	20 49%	6 24%	10 63%	45 45%
TV.....	12 67%	33 80%	24 96%	13 81%	82 82%
Community					
newsletters	7 39%	12 29%	5 20%	5 31%	29 29%
Internet,					
social					
media.....	9 50%	13 32%	7 28%	11 69%	40 40%
Local					
gathering					
places.....	7 39%	24 59%	12 48%	11 69%	54 54%
Farm Bureau.	1 6%	3 7%	2 8%	5 31%	11 11%
County					
Extension					
Service....	3 17%	7 17%	1 4%	6 38%	17 17%
Local					
schools....	1 6%	4 10%	3 12%	2 13%	10 10%
Other source	1 6%	6 15%	5 20%	1 6%	13 13%
No source					
mentioned..	1 6%	8 20%	2 8%	2 13%	13 13%
TOTAL.....	18 100	41 100	25 100	16 100	100 100

**MADISON COUNTY, UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE
CROSS-TABULATIONS**

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	71 45%	10 63%	12 60%	2 100	0 0%	95 48%
Somewhat....	35 22%	4 25%	7 35%	0 0%	1 50%	47 24%
Not too.....	27 17%	1 6%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	29 15%
Not at all...	19 12%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	20 10%
Don't know..	7 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	8 4%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	54 53%	42 42%	96 48%
Somewhat....	17 17%	30 30%	47 24%
Not too.....	14 14%	15 15%	29 15%
Not at all...	14 14%	6 6%	20 10%
Don't know..	2 2%	6 6%	8 4%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	3 50%	7 70%	11 44%	28 44%	47 49%	96 48%
Somewhat....	1 17%	3 30%	8 32%	14 22%	21 22%	47 24%
Not too.....	2 33%	0 0%	2 8%	13 21%	12 13%	29 15%
Not at all...	0 0%	0 0%	3 12%	7 11%	10 10%	20 10%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	1 2%	6 6%	8 4%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home			TOTAL
	Yes	No		
HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	13 54%	83 47%		96 48%
Somewhat....	9 38%	38 22%		47 24%
Not too.....	2 8%	27 15%		29 15%
Not at all..	0 0%	20 11%		20 10%
Don't know..	0 0%	8 5%		8 4%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100		200 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex			TOTAL
	Male	Female		
HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	36 38%	60 57%		96 48%
Somewhat....	27 28%	20 19%		47 24%
Not too.....	19 20%	10 10%		29 15%
Not at all..	9 9%	11 10%		20 10%
Don't know..	4 4%	4 4%		8 4%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100		200 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other		
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	55 43%	8 53%	33 58%		96 48%
Somewhat....	33 26%	2 13%	12 21%		47 24%
Not too.....	21 16%	4 27%	4 7%		29 15%
Not at all..	14 11%	0 0%	6 11%		20 10%
Don't know..	5 4%	1 7%	2 4%		8 4%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100		200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	50 31%	4 25%	8 40%	2 100	0 0%	64 32%
Somewhat....	31 19%	7 44%	7 35%	0 0%	1 50%	46 23%
Not too.....	31 19%	3 19%	4 20%	0 0%	0 0%	38 19%
Not at all..	24 15%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	24 12%
Don't know..	23 14%	2 13%	1 5%	0 0%	1 50%	27 14%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	38 38%	26 26%	64 32%
Somewhat....	22 22%	25 25%	47 24%
Not too.....	16 16%	22 22%	38 19%
Not at all..	13 13%	11 11%	24 12%
Don't know..	12 12%	15 15%	27 14%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	2 33%	5 50%	7 28%	20 32%	30 31%	64 32%
Somewhat....	2 33%	2 20%	5 20%	17 27%	21 22%	47 24%
Not too.....	1 17%	2 20%	5 20%	9 14%	21 22%	38 19%
Not at all..	1 17%	1 10%	4 16%	8 13%	10 10%	24 12%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	4 16%	9 14%	14 15%	27 14%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	9 38%	55 31%	64 32%
Somewhat....	7 29%	40 23%	47 24%
Not too.....	2 8%	36 20%	38 19%
Not at all..	3 13%	21 12%	24 12%
Don't know..	3 13%	24 14%	27 14%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	26 27%	38 36%	64 32%
Somewhat....	24 25%	23 22%	47 24%
Not too.....	21 22%	17 16%	38 19%
Not at all..	16 17%	8 8%	24 12%
Don't know..	8 8%	19 18%	27 14%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	33 26%	6 40%	25 44%	64 32%
Somewhat....	32 25%	1 7%	14 25%	47 24%
Not too.....	32 25%	4 27%	2 4%	38 19%
Not at all..	17 13%	2 13%	5 9%	24 12%
Don't know..	14 11%	2 13%	11 19%	27 14%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	29 18%	5 31%	7 35%	1 50%	0 0%	42 21%
Somewhat....	37 23%	5 31%	7 35%	1 50%	0 0%	50 25%
Not too.....	42 26%	4 25%	4 20%	0 0%	1 50%	51 26%
Not at all..	31 19%	1 6%	2 10%	0 0%	0 0%	34 17%
Don't know..	20 13%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	22 11%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	30 30%	12 12%	42 21%
Somewhat....	18 18%	33 33%	51 26%
Not too.....	25 25%	26 26%	51 26%
Not at all..	19 19%	15 15%	34 17%
Don't know..	9 9%	13 13%	22 11%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 17%	3 30%	5 20%	16 25%	17 18%	42 21%
Somewhat....	4 67%	4 40%	7 28%	19 30%	17 18%	51 26%
Not too.....	1 17%	2 20%	5 20%	13 21%	30 31%	51 26%
Not at all..	0 0%	1 10%	4 16%	11 17%	18 19%	34 17%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	4 16%	4 6%	14 15%	22 11%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	4 17%	38 22%	42 21%
Somewhat....	12 50%	39 22%	51 26%
Not too.....	4 17%	47 27%	51 26%
Not at all..	2 8%	32 18%	34 17%
Don't know..	2 8%	20 11%	22 11%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	17 18%	25 24%	42 21%
Somewhat....	23 24%	28 27%	51 26%
Not too.....	29 31%	22 21%	51 26%
Not at all..	19 20%	15 14%	34 17%
Don't know..	7 7%	15 14%	22 11%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	27 21%	3 20%	12 21%	42 21%
Somewhat....	30 23%	3 20%	18 32%	51 26%
Not too.....	33 26%	6 40%	12 21%	51 26%
Not at all..	26 20%	1 7%	7 12%	34 17%
Don't know..	12 9%	2 13%	8 14%	22 11%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	33 21%	4 25%	8 40%	1 50%	0 0%	46 23%
Somewhat....	35 22%	5 31%	6 30%	1 50%	1 50%	48 24%
Not too.....	46 29%	4 25%	3 15%	0 0%	0 0%	53 27%
Not at all..	33 21%	3 19%	3 15%	0 0%	0 0%	39 20%
Don't know..	12 8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	13 7%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	27 27%	19 19%	46 23%
Somewhat....	17 17%	32 32%	49 25%
Not too.....	30 30%	23 23%	53 27%
Not at all..	22 22%	17 17%	39 20%
Don't know..	5 5%	8 8%	13 7%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 17%	2 20%	5 20%	15 24%	23 24%	46 23%
Somewhat....	3 50%	5 50%	8 32%	14 22%	19 20%	49 25%
Not too.....	2 33%	1 10%	6 24%	20 32%	24 25%	53 27%
Not at all..	0 0%	2 20%	4 16%	11 17%	22 23%	39 20%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	3 5%	8 8%	13 7%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	HOW SERIOUS:		
Very.....	6 25%	40 23%	46 23%
Somewhat....	11 46%	38 22%	49 25%
Not too.....	4 17%	49 28%	53 27%
Not at all..	2 8%	37 21%	39 20%
Don't know..	1 4%	12 7%	13 7%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
	HOW SERIOUS:		
Very.....	15 16%	31 30%	46 23%
Somewhat....	24 25%	25 24%	49 25%
Not too.....	27 28%	26 25%	53 27%
Not at all..	25 26%	14 13%	39 20%
Don't know..	4 4%	9 9%	13 7%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
	HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	27 21%	4 27%	15 26%	46 23%
Somewhat....	27 21%	6 40%	16 28%	49 25%
Not too.....	37 29%	4 27%	12 21%	53 27%
Not at all..	28 22%	1 7%	10 18%	39 20%
Don't know..	9 7%	0 0%	4 7%	13 7%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	43 27%	5 31%	8 40%	1 50%	0 0%	57 29%
Somewhat....	39 25%	3 19%	5 25%	1 50%	0 0%	48 24%
Not too.....	32 20%	5 31%	3 15%	0 0%	1 50%	41 21%
Not at all..	31 19%	1 6%	3 15%	0 0%	0 0%	35 18%
Don't know..	14 9%	2 13%	1 5%	0 0%	1 50%	18 9%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	37 37%	20 20%	57 29%
Somewhat....	20 20%	29 29%	49 25%
Not too.....	17 17%	24 24%	41 21%
Not at all..	20 20%	15 15%	35 18%
Don't know..	7 7%	11 11%	18 9%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	2 33%	6 60%	7 28%	17 27%	25 26%	57 29%
Somewhat....	2 33%	3 30%	7 28%	17 27%	20 21%	49 25%
Not too.....	1 17%	0 0%	6 24%	16 25%	18 19%	41 21%
Not at all..	1 17%	1 10%	4 16%	10 16%	19 20%	35 18%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	3 5%	14 15%	18 9%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	11 46%	46 26%	57 29%
Somewhat....	8 33%	41 23%	49 25%
Not too.....	3 13%	38 22%	41 21%
Not at all..	2 8%	33 19%	35 18%
Don't know..	0 0%	18 10%	18 9%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	16 17%	41 39%	57 29%
Somewhat....	26 27%	23 22%	49 25%
Not too.....	28 29%	13 12%	41 21%
Not at all..	20 21%	15 14%	35 18%
Don't know..	5 5%	13 12%	18 9%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	30 23%	5 33%	22 39%	57 29%
Somewhat....	24 19%	9 60%	16 28%	49 25%
Not too.....	34 27%	0 0%	7 12%	41 21%
Not at all..	26 20%	1 7%	8 14%	35 18%
Don't know..	14 11%	0 0%	4 7%	18 9%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	32 20%	7 44%	6 30%	2 100	0 0%	47 24%
Somewhat....	35 22%	3 19%	8 40%	0 0%	0 0%	46 23%
Not too.....	48 30%	1 6%	3 15%	0 0%	1 50%	53 27%
Not at all..	30 19%	4 25%	2 10%	0 0%	0 0%	36 18%
Don't know..	14 9%	1 6%	1 5%	0 0%	1 50%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	28 28%	19 19%	47 24%
Somewhat....	23 23%	24 24%	47 24%
Not too.....	22 22%	31 31%	53 27%
Not at all..	21 21%	15 15%	36 18%
Don't know..	7 7%	10 10%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	2 33%	6 60%	5 20%	17 27%	17 18%	47 24%
Somewhat....	2 33%	1 10%	9 36%	12 19%	23 24%	47 24%
Not too.....	2 33%	1 10%	4 16%	17 27%	29 30%	53 27%
Not at all..	0 0%	1 10%	4 16%	12 19%	19 20%	36 18%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 10%	3 12%	5 8%	8 8%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	10 42%	37 21%	47 24%
Somewhat....	9 38%	38 22%	47 24%
Not too.....	3 13%	50 28%	53 27%
Not at all..	1 4%	35 20%	36 18%
Don't know..	1 4%	16 9%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	14 15%	33 31%	47 24%
Somewhat....	25 26%	22 21%	47 24%
Not too.....	30 32%	23 22%	53 27%
Not at all..	21 22%	15 14%	36 18%
Don't know..	5 5%	12 11%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	25 20%	4 27%	18 32%	47 24%
Somewhat....	29 23%	5 33%	13 23%	47 24%
Not too.....	37 29%	4 27%	12 21%	53 27%
Not at all..	27 21%	2 13%	7 12%	36 18%
Don't know..	10 8%	0 0%	7 12%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	35 22%	7 44%	4 20%	1 50%	0 0%	47 24%
Somewhat....	33 21%	2 13%	12 60%	1 50%	0 0%	48 24%
Not too.....	39 25%	4 25%	3 15%	0 0%	1 50%	47 24%
Not at all..	39 25%	3 19%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	42 21%
Don't know..	13 8%	0 0%	1 5%	0 0%	1 50%	15 8%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	28 28%	19 19%	47 24%
Somewhat....	22 22%	27 27%	49 25%
Not too.....	18 18%	29 29%	47 24%
Not at all..	27 27%	15 15%	42 21%
Don't know..	6 6%	9 9%	15 8%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	3 50%	3 30%	3 12%	15 24%	23 24%	47 24%
Somewhat....	1 17%	5 50%	9 36%	20 32%	14 15%	49 25%
Not too.....	2 33%	0 0%	6 24%	6 10%	33 34%	47 24%
Not at all..	0 0%	2 20%	4 16%	19 30%	17 18%	42 21%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	3 12%	3 5%	9 9%	15 8%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	7 29%	40 23%	47 24%
Somewhat....	11 46%	38 22%	49 25%
Not too.....	4 17%	43 24%	47 24%
Not at all..	2 8%	40 23%	42 21%
Don't know..	0 0%	15 9%	15 8%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	19 20%	28 27%	47 24%
Somewhat....	22 23%	27 26%	49 25%
Not too.....	25 26%	22 21%	47 24%
Not at all..	24 25%	18 17%	42 21%
Don't know..	5 5%	10 10%	15 8%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	25 20%	6 40%	16 28%	47 24%
Somewhat....	33 26%	2 13%	14 25%	49 25%
Not too.....	30 23%	4 27%	13 23%	47 24%
Not at all..	31 24%	3 20%	8 14%	42 21%
Don't know..	9 7%	0 0%	6 11%	15 8%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	19 12%	3 19%	4 20%	1 50%	0 0%	27 14%
Somewhat....	28 18%	2 13%	7 35%	1 50%	0 0%	38 19%
Not too.....	50 31%	7 44%	7 35%	0 0%	0 0%	64 32%
Not at all...	51 32%	3 19%	1 5%	0 0%	1 50%	56 28%
Don't know...	11 7%	1 6%	1 5%	0 0%	1 50%	14 7%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	17 17%	10 10%	27 14%
Somewhat....	17 17%	22 22%	39 20%
Not too.....	26 26%	38 38%	64 32%
Not at all...	34 34%	22 22%	56 28%
Don't know...	7 7%	7 7%	14 7%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 17%	2 20%	2 8%	7 11%	15 16%	27 14%
Somewhat....	2 33%	5 50%	2 8%	16 25%	14 15%	39 20%
Not too.....	2 33%	1 10%	8 32%	15 24%	38 40%	64 32%
Not at all...	1 17%	2 20%	11 44%	22 35%	20 21%	56 28%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	3 5%	9 9%	14 7%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	1 4%	26 15%	27 14%
Somewhat....	6 25%	33 19%	39 20%
Not too.....	7 29%	57 32%	64 32%
Not at all..	10 42%	46 26%	56 28%
Don't know..	0 0%	14 8%	14 7%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	8 8%	19 18%	27 14%
Somewhat....	25 26%	14 13%	39 20%
Not too.....	34 36%	30 29%	64 32%
Not at all..	23 24%	33 31%	56 28%
Don't know..	5 5%	9 9%	14 7%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	17 13%	3 20%	7 12%	27 14%
Somewhat....	24 19%	4 27%	11 19%	39 20%
Not too.....	41 32%	5 33%	18 32%	64 32%
Not at all..	38 30%	3 20%	15 26%	56 28%
Don't know..	8 6%	0 0%	6 11%	14 7%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
MENTIONED:						
Yes.....	34 21%	5 31%	6 30%	0 0%	1 50%	46 23%
No/DK.....	125 79%	11 69%	14 70%	2 100	1 50%	153 77%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	34 34%	12 12%	46 23%
No/DK.....	67 66%	87 88%	154 77%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
MENTIONED:						
Yes.....	0 0%	3 30%	5 20%	23 37%	15 16%	46 23%
No/DK.....	6 100	7 70%	20 80%	40 63%	81 84%	154 77%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	2 8%	44 25%	46 23%
No/DK.....	22 92%	132 75%	154 77%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	18 19%	28 27%	46 23%
No/DK.....	77 81%	77 73%	154 77%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
MENTIONED:				
Yes.....	28 22%	3 20%	15 26%	46 23%
No/DK.....	100 78%	12 80%	42 74%	154 77%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	51 32%	6 38%	8 40%	2 100%	0 0%	67 34%
Somewhat agree.....	46 29%	5 31%	9 45%	0 0%	1 50%	61 31%
Somewhat disagree...	21 13%	4 25%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	26 13%
Strongly disagree...	20 13%	0 0%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	21 11%
Don't believe....	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	20 13%	1 6%	1 5%	0 0%	1 50%	23 12%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	40 40%	27 27%	67 34%
Somewhat agree.....	23 23%	39 39%	62 31%
Somewhat disagree...	16 16%	10 10%	26 13%
Strongly disagree...	11 11%	10 10%	21 11%
Don't believe....	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Don't know..	11 11%	12 12%	23 12%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	2 33%	2 20%	8 32%	21 33%	34 35%	67 34%
Somewhat agree.....	1 17%	6 60%	7 28%	20 32%	28 29%	62 31%
Somewhat disagree...	2 33%	0 0%	2 8%	10 16%	12 13%	26 13%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	2 20%	2 8%	6 10%	11 11%	21 11%
Don't believe....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Don't know..	1 17%	0 0%	6 24%	6 10%	10 10%	23 12%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	7 29%	60 34%	67 34%
Somewhat agree.....	10 42%	52 30%	62 31%
Somewhat disagree...	3 13%	23 13%	26 13%
Strongly disagree...	1 4%	20 11%	21 11%
Don't believe....	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Don't know..	3 13%	20 11%	23 12%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
	AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	28 29%	
Somewhat agree.....	32 34%	30 29%	62 31%
Somewhat disagree...	16 17%	10 10%	26 13%
Strongly disagree...	10 11%	11 10%	21 11%
Don't believe....	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Don't know..	9 9%	14 13%	23 12%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	36 28%	5 33%	26 46%	67 34%
Somewhat agree.....	42 33%	4 27%	16 28%	62 31%
Somewhat disagree...	19 15%	4 27%	3 5%	26 13%
Strongly disagree...	14 11%	1 7%	6 11%	21 11%
Don't believe....	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	16 13%	1 7%	6 11%	23 12%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	36 23%	6 38%	7 35%	1 50%	1 50%	51 26%
Somewhat agree.....	37 23%	1 6%	2 10%	0 0%	1 50%	41 21%
Somewhat disagree...	22 14%	4 25%	3 15%	0 0%	0 0%	29 15%
Strongly disagree...	57 36%	4 25%	7 35%	1 50%	0 0%	69 35%
Don't know..	7 4%	1 6%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	9 5%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	23 23%	28 28%	51 26%
Somewhat agree.....	17 17%	24 24%	41 21%
Somewhat disagree...	15 15%	14 14%	29 15%
Strongly disagree...	40 40%	30 30%	70 35%
Don't know..	6 6%	3 3%	9 5%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	2 33%	2 20%	3 12%	12 19%	32 33%	51 26%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	1 10%	5 20%	14 22%	21 22%	41 21%
Somewhat disagree...	2 33%	1 10%	2 8%	12 19%	12 13%	29 15%
Strongly disagree...	2 33%	6 60%	15 60%	20 32%	27 28%	70 35%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	5 8%	4 4%	9 5%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	4 17%	47 27%	51 26%
Somewhat agree.....	5 21%	36 20%	41 21%
Somewhat disagree...	3 13%	26 15%	29 15%
Strongly disagree...	11 46%	59 34%	70 35%
Don't know..	1 4%	8 5%	9 5%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	20 21%	31 30%	51 26%
Somewhat agree.....	25 26%	16 15%	41 21%
Somewhat disagree...	14 15%	15 14%	29 15%
Strongly disagree...	32 34%	38 36%	70 35%
Don't know..	4 4%	5 5%	9 5%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	31 24%	3 20%	17 30%	51 26%
Somewhat agree.....	26 20%	3 20%	12 21%	41 21%
Somewhat disagree...	24 19%	0 0%	5 9%	29 15%
Strongly disagree...	43 34%	8 53%	19 33%	70 35%
Don't know..	4 3%	1 7%	4 7%	9 5%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	17 11%	4 25%	1 5%	1 50%	0 0%	23 12%
Somewhat agree.....	29 18%	5 31%	6 30%	0 0%	0 0%	40 20%
Somewhat disagree...	26 16%	2 13%	2 10%	0 0%	1 50%	31 16%
Strongly disagree...	72 45%	4 25%	11 55%	1 50%	0 0%	88 44%
Don't know..	15 9%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	11 11%	12 12%	23 12%
Somewhat agree.....	18 18%	22 22%	40 20%
Somewhat disagree...	15 15%	16 16%	31 16%
Strongly disagree...	47 47%	42 42%	89 45%
Don't know..	10 10%	7 7%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	2 33%	1 10%	2 8%	6 10%	12 13%	23 12%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	2 20%	2 8%	16 25%	20 21%	40 20%
Somewhat disagree...	1 17%	0 0%	6 24%	6 10%	18 19%	31 16%
Strongly disagree...	3 50%	7 70%	12 48%	31 49%	36 38%	89 45%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	3 12%	4 6%	10 10%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	3 13%	20 11%	23 12%
Somewhat agree.....	2 8%	38 22%	40 20%
Somewhat disagree...	4 17%	27 15%	31 16%
Strongly disagree...	14 58%	75 43%	89 45%
Don't know..	1 4%	16 9%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	14 15%	9 9%	23 12%
Somewhat agree.....	23 24%	17 16%	40 20%
Somewhat disagree...	10 11%	21 20%	31 16%
Strongly disagree...	40 42%	49 47%	89 45%
Don't know..	8 8%	9 9%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	13 10%	1 7%	9 16%	23 12%
Somewhat agree.....	27 21%	2 13%	11 19%	40 20%
Somewhat disagree...	21 16%	2 13%	8 14%	31 16%
Strongly disagree...	54 42%	8 53%	27 47%	89 45%
Don't know..	13 10%	2 13%	2 4%	17 9%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	121 76%	11 69%	15 75%	1 50%	1 50%	149 75%
Somewhat agree.....	24 15%	4 25%	5 25%	0 0%	0 0%	33 17%
Somewhat disagree...	6 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	7 4%
Strongly disagree...	7 4%	1 6%	0 0%	1 50%	0 0%	9 5%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	85 84%	65 66%	150 75%
Somewhat agree.....	11 11%	22 22%	33 17%
Somewhat disagree...	2 2%	5 5%	7 4%
Strongly disagree...	2 2%	7 7%	9 5%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	5 83%	7 70%	18 72%	48 76%	72 75%	150 75%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	2 20%	6 24%	10 16%	15 16%	33 17%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 5%	4 4%	7 4%
Strongly disagree...	1 17%	1 10%	1 4%	2 3%	4 4%	9 5%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			
Strongly agree.....	18 75%	132 75%	150 75%
Somewhat agree.....	4 17%	29 16%	33 17%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	7 4%	7 4%
Strongly disagree...	2 8%	7 4%	9 5%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	70 74%	80 76%	150 75%
Somewhat agree.....	18 19%	15 14%	33 17%
Somewhat disagree...	2 2%	5 5%	7 4%
Strongly disagree...	4 4%	5 5%	9 5%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	89 70%	12 80%	49 86%	150 75%
Somewhat agree.....	26 20%	3 20%	4 7%	33 17%
Somewhat disagree...	6 5%	0 0%	1 2%	7 4%
Strongly disagree...	6 5%	0 0%	3 5%	9 5%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	5 83%	9 90%	18 72%	50 79%	75 78%	157 79%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	0 0%	4 16%	11 17%	12 13%	27 14%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	0 0%	4 4%	6 3%
Strongly disagree...	1 17%	0 0%	1 4%	1 2%	4 4%	7 4%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 10%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%	3 2%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			
Strongly agree.....	81 80%	76 77%	157 79%
Somewhat agree.....	12 12%	15 15%	27 14%
Somewhat disagree...	4 4%	2 2%	6 3%
Strongly disagree...	3 3%	4 4%	7 4%
Don't know..	1 1%	2 2%	3 2%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Age

	Age						TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:							
Strongly agree.....	2 67%	3 100	9 90%	18 72%	50 79%	75 78%	157 79%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 16%	11 17%	12 13%	27 14%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	0 0%	4 4%	6 3%
Strongly disagree...	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	1 2%	4 4%	7 4%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 10%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%	3 2%
TOTAL.....	3 100	3 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			
Strongly agree.....	20 83%	137 78%	157 79%
Somewhat agree.....	2 8%	25 14%	27 14%
Somewhat disagree...	1 4%	5 3%	6 3%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	7 4%	7 4%
Don't know..	1 4%	2 1%	3 2%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL	
	Male		Female			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	71	75%	86	82%	157	79%
Somewhat agree.....	14	15%	13	12%	27	14%
Somewhat disagree...	5	5%	1	1%	6	3%
Strongly disagree...	3	3%	4	4%	7	4%
Don't know..	2	2%	1	1%	3	2%
TOTAL.....	95	100	105	100	200	100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL		
	72740	72773	Other			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:						
Strongly agree.....	102	80%	12	80%	43	75%
Somewhat agree.....	21	16%	1	7%	5	9%
Somewhat disagree...	2	2%	1	7%	3	5%
Strongly disagree...	2	2%	1	7%	4	7%
Don't know..	1	1%	0	0%	2	4%
TOTAL.....	128	100	15	100	57	100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
USE LAKE FOR:						
Drinking water.....	2 33%	6 60%	14 56%	35 56%	64 67%	121 61%
Irrigation..	1 17%	1 10%	5 20%	12 19%	17 18%	36 18%
Fishing.....	5 83%	7 70%	15 60%	32 51%	44 46%	103 52%
Boating, etc.....	4 67%	8 80%	14 56%	21 33%	26 27%	73 37%
Swimming, scuba.....	3 50%	7 70%	15 60%	23 37%	17 18%	65 33%
Camping.....	5 83%	7 70%	14 56%	25 40%	24 25%	75 38%
Hiking.....	2 33%	3 30%	12 48%	17 27%	18 19%	52 26%
Birding.....	0 0%	1 10%	8 32%	14 22%	9 9%	32 16%
Watering livestock..	0 0%	1 10%	6 24%	13 21%	5 5%	25 13%
Other purpose.....	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	2 2%	3 2%
No use mentioned..	1 17%	1 10%	4 16%	7 11%	15 16%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake

By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own		TOTAL
	Property Next to		
	Water		
	Yes	No	
USE LAKE			
FOR:			
Drinking			
water.....	64 63%	57 58%	121 61%
Irrigation..	23 23%	13 13%	36 18%
Fishing.....	61 60%	42 42%	103 52%
Boating,			
etc.....	40 40%	33 33%	73 37%
Swimming,			
scuba.....	37 37%	28 28%	65 33%
Camping.....	43 43%	32 32%	75 38%
Hiking.....	35 35%	17 17%	52 26%
Birding.....	19 19%	13 13%	32 16%
Watering			
livestock..	16 16%	9 9%	25 13%
Other			
purpose....	3 3%	0 0%	3 2%
No use			
mentioned..	10 10%	18 18%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age

	Age						TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
USE LAKE FOR:							
Drinking water.....	0 0%	2 67%	6 60%	14 56%	35 56%	64 67%	121 61%
Irrigation..	0 0%	1 33%	1 10%	5 20%	12 19%	17 18%	36 18%
Fishing.....	2 67%	3 100	7 70%	15 60%	32 51%	44 46%	103 52%
Boating, etc.....	2 67%	2 67%	8 80%	14 56%	21 33%	26 27%	73 37%
Swimming, scuba.....	1 33%	2 67%	7 70%	15 60%	23 37%	17 18%	65 33%
Camping.....	2 67%	3 100	7 70%	14 56%	25 40%	24 25%	75 38%
Hiking.....	0 0%	2 67%	3 30%	12 48%	17 27%	18 19%	52 26%
Birding.....	0 0%	0 0%	1 10%	8 32%	14 22%	9 9%	32 16%
Watering livestock..	0 0%	0 0%	1 10%	6 24%	13 21%	5 5%	25 13%
Other purpose.....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	2 2%	3 2%
No use mentioned..	1 33%	0 0%	1 10%	4 16%	7 11%	15 16%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	3 100	3 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake

By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
USE LAKE FOR:			
Drinking water.....	17 71%	104 59%	121 61%
Irrigation..	9 38%	27 15%	36 18%
Fishing.....	18 75%	85 48%	103 52%
Boating, etc.....	15 63%	58 33%	73 37%
Swimming, scuba.....	17 71%	48 27%	65 33%
Camping.....	18 75%	57 32%	75 38%
Hiking.....	14 58%	38 22%	52 26%
Birding.....	7 29%	25 14%	32 16%
Watering livestock..	6 25%	19 11%	25 13%
Other purpose....	2 8%	1 1%	3 2%
No use mentioned..	2 8%	26 15%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
USE LAKE			
FOR:			
Drinking			
water.....	56 59%	65 62%	121 61%
Irrigation..	21 22%	15 14%	36 18%
Fishing.....	49 52%	54 51%	103 52%
Boating,			
etc.....	34 36%	39 37%	73 37%
Swimming,			
scuba.....	26 27%	39 37%	65 33%
Camping.....	34 36%	41 39%	75 38%
Hiking.....	19 20%	33 31%	52 26%
Birding.....	7 7%	25 24%	32 16%
Watering			
livestock..	15 16%	10 10%	25 13%
Other			
purpose....	0 0%	3 3%	3 2%
No use			
mentioned..	15 16%	13 12%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
USE LAKE FOR:				
Drinking water.....	84 66%	9 60%	28 49%	121 61%
Irrigation..	26 20%	3 20%	7 12%	36 18%
Fishing.....	67 52%	6 40%	30 53%	103 52%
Boating, etc.....	51 40%	3 20%	19 33%	73 37%
Swimming, scuba.....	43 34%	4 27%	18 32%	65 33%
Camping.....	46 36%	7 47%	22 39%	75 38%
Hiking.....	32 25%	5 33%	15 26%	52 26%
Birding.....	17 13%	3 20%	12 21%	32 16%
Watering livestock..	16 13%	4 27%	5 9%	25 13%
Other purpose....	1 1%	2 13%	0 0%	3 2%
No use mentioned..	15 12%	4 27%	9 16%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have						
contacted..	22 14%	1 6%	1 5%	1 50%	0 0%	25 13%
Not						
contacted..	124 78%	13 81%	17 85%	1 50%	1 50%	156 78%
Not heard of	13 8%	2 13%	2 10%	0 0%	1 50%	18 9%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	18 18%	7 7%	25 13%
Not			
contacted..	76 75%	80 81%	156 78%
Not heard of	7 7%	12 12%	19 10%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	1 17%	0 0%	4 16%	8 13%	12 13%	25 13%
Not contacted..	3 50%	9 90%	21 84%	52 83%	71 74%	156 78%
Not heard of	2 33%	1 10%	0 0%	3 5%	13 14%	19 10%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	4 17%	21 12%	25 13%
Not contacted..	20 83%	136 77%	156 78%
Not heard of	0 0%	19 11%	19 10%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	12 13%	13 12%	25 13%
Not contacted...	75 79%	81 77%	156 78%
Not heard of	8 8%	11 10%	19 10%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have contacted...	19 15%	3 20%	3 5%	25 13%
Not contacted...	97 76%	10 67%	49 86%	156 78%
Not heard of	12 9%	2 13%	5 9%	19 10%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have						
contacted..	21 13%	2 13%	2 10%	1 50%	0 0%	26 13%
Not						
contacted..	134 84%	14 88%	18 90%	1 50%	1 50%	168 84%
Not heard of	3 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 50%	4 2%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	13 13%	13 13%	26 13%
Not			
contacted..	85 84%	84 85%	169 85%
Not heard of	2 2%	2 2%	4 2%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted...	2 33%	0 0%	1 4%	11 17%	12 13%	26 13%
Not contacted...	4 67%	10 100%	24 96%	50 79%	81 84%	169 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	2 2%	4 2%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	2 8%	24 14%	26 13%
Not contacted...	22 92%	147 84%	169 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	4 2%	4 2%
Don't know...	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	13 14%	13 12%	26 13%
Not			
contacted..	82 86%	87 83%	169 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	4 4%	4 2%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have				
contacted..	18 14%	0 0%	8 14%	26 13%
Not				
contacted..	106 83%	15 100	48 84%	169 85%
Not heard of	3 2%	0 0%	1 2%	4 2%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	3 5%	3 3%	7 4%
Not						
contacted..	4 67%	9 90%	18 72%	50 79%	68 71%	149 75%
Not heard of	2 33%	1 10%	6 24%	8 13%	24 25%	41 21%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	1 1%	3 2%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	6 6%	1 1%	7 4%
Not			
contacted..	77 76%	72 73%	149 75%
Not heard of	15 15%	26 26%	41 21%
Don't know..	3 3%	0 0%	3 2%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Age

	Age						TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:							
Have							
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	3 5%	3 3%	7 4%
Not							
contacted..	2 67%	2 67%	9 90%	18 72%	50 79%	68 71%	149 75%
Not heard of	1 33%	1 33%	1 10%	6 24%	8 13%	24 25%	41 21%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	1 1%	3 2%
TOTAL.....	3 100	3 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	0 0%	7 4%	7 4%
Not			
contacted..	18 75%	131 74%	149 75%
Not heard of	6 25%	35 20%	41 21%
Don't know..	0 0%	3 2%	3 2%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted...	2 2%	5 5%	7 4%
Not			
contacted...	68 72%	81 77%	149 75%
Not heard of	24 25%	17 16%	41 21%
Don't know...	1 1%	2 2%	3 2%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have				
contacted...	6 5%	0 0%	1 2%	7 4%
Not				
contacted...	90 70%	15 100	44 77%	149 75%
Not heard of	29 23%	0 0%	12 21%	41 21%
Don't know...	3 2%	0 0%	0 0%	3 2%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have						
contacted..	8 5%	0 0%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	9 5%
Not						
contacted..	83 52%	11 69%	9 45%	1 50%	1 50%	105 53%
Not heard of	63 40%	5 31%	10 50%	1 50%	1 50%	80 40%
Don't know..	5 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	5 3%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	7 7%	2 2%	9 5%
Not			
contacted..	53 52%	52 53%	105 53%
Not heard of	37 37%	44 44%	81 41%
Don't know..	4 4%	1 1%	5 3%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	3 5%	4 4%	9 5%
Not contacted..	4 67%	5 50%	13 52%	38 60%	45 47%	105 53%
Not heard of	2 33%	5 50%	10 40%	20 32%	44 46%	81 41%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	3 3%	5 3%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	2 8%	7 4%	9 5%
Not contacted..	13 54%	92 52%	105 53%
Not heard of	9 38%	72 41%	81 41%
Don't know..	0 0%	5 3%	5 3%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL	
	Male	Female		
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have				
contacted...	4 4%	5 5%	9	5%
Not				
contacted...	52 55%	53 50%	105	53%
Not heard of	37 39%	44 42%	81	41%
Don't know...	2 2%	3 3%	5	3%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200	100

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL	
	72740	72773	Other		
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have					
contacted...	7 5%	1 7%	1 2%	9	5%
Not					
contacted...	70 55%	11 73%	24 42%	105	53%
Not heard of	46 36%	3 20%	32 56%	81	41%
Don't know...	5 4%	0 0%	0 0%	5	3%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200	100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have						
contacted..	10 6%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	11 6%
Not						
contacted..	142 89%	14 88%	20 100%	2 100%	2 100%	180 90%
Not heard of	6 4%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	7 4%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	159 100%	16 100%	20 100%	2 100%	2 100%	199 100%

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	11 11%	0 0%	11 6%
Not			
contacted..	85 84%	96 97%	181 91%
Not heard of	4 4%	3 3%	7 4%
Don't know..	1 1%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	101 100%	99 100%	200 100%

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	8 13%	2 2%	11 6%
Not						
contacted..	6 100%	10 100%	23 92%	53 84%	89 93%	181 91%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	2 3%	4 4%	7 4%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	2 8%	9 5%	11 6%
Not			
contacted..	21 88%	160 91%	181 91%
Not heard of	1 4%	6 3%	7 4%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL	
	Male	Female		
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have				
contacted...	4 4%	7 7%	11	6%
Not				
contacted...	87 92%	94 90%	181	91%
Not heard of	4 4%	3 3%	7	4%
Don't know...	0 0%	1 1%	1	1%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200	100

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL	
	72740	72773	Other		
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have					
contacted...	8 6%	1 7%	2 4%	11	6%
Not					
contacted...	114 89%	14 93%	53 93%	181	91%
Not heard of	5 4%	0 0%	2 4%	7	4%
Don't know...	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	1	1%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200	100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	6 10%	1 1%	9 5%
Not						
contacted..	5 83%	10 100%	21 84%	54 86%	90 94%	180 90%
Not heard of	1 17%	0 0%	2 8%	3 5%	5 5%	11 6%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	7 7%	2 2%	9 5%
Not			
contacted..	90 89%	90 91%	180 90%
Not heard of	4 4%	7 7%	11 6%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Age

	Age						TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:							
Have contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	6 10%	1 1%	9 5%
Not contacted..	2 67%	3 100%	10 100%	21 84%	54 86%	90 94%	180 90%
Not heard of	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	3 5%	5 5%	11 6%
TOTAL.....	3 100	3 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have contacted..	3 13%	6 3%	9 5%
Not contacted..	20 83%	160 91%	180 90%
Not heard of	1 4%	10 6%	11 6%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	3 3%	6 6%	9 5%
Not			
contacted..	87 92%	93 89%	180 90%
Not heard of	5 5%	6 6%	11 6%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have				
contacted..	6 5%	2 13%	1 2%	9 5%
Not				
contacted..	115 90%	13 87%	52 91%	180 90%
Not heard of	7 5%	0 0%	4 7%	11 6%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Not						
contacted..	2 33%	4 40%	6 24%	31 49%	37 39%	80 40%
Not heard of	4 67%	6 60%	17 68%	30 48%	56 58%	113 57%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	2 3%	2 2%	6 3%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Not			
contacted..	42 42%	38 38%	80 40%
Not heard of	54 53%	59 60%	113 57%
Don't know..	5 5%	1 1%	6 3%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Age

	Age						TOTAL	
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus		
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:								
Have								
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%	1	1%
Not								
contacted..	1 33%	1 33%	4 40%	6 24%	31 49%	37 39%	80	40%
Not heard of	2 67%	2 67%	6 60%	17 68%	30 48%	56 58%	113	57%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	2 3%	2 2%	6	3%
TOTAL.....	3 100	3 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200	100

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL	
	Yes	No		
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:				
Have				
contacted..	0 0%	1 1%	1	1%
Not				
contacted..	9 38%	71 40%	80	40%
Not heard of	14 58%	99 56%	113	57%
Don't know..	1 4%	5 3%	6	3%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200	100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL	
	Male	Female		
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have contacted...	0 0%	1 1%	1	1%
Not contacted...	36 38%	44 42%	80	40%
Not heard of	56 59%	57 54%	113	57%
Don't know...	3 3%	3 3%	6	3%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200	100

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL	
	72740	72773	Other		
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted...	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	1	1%
Not contacted...	56 44%	7 47%	17 30%	80	40%
Not heard of	66 52%	7 47%	40 70%	113	57%
Don't know...	5 4%	1 7%	0 0%	6	3%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200	100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have						
contacted...	45 28%	3 19%	2 10%	0 0%	0 0%	50 25%
Not						
contacted...	104 65%	11 69%	18 90%	2 100%	2 100%	137 69%
Not heard of	6 4%	2 13%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	8 4%
Don't know...	4 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted...	28 28%	22 22%	50 25%
Not			
contacted...	66 65%	71 72%	137 69%
Not heard of	4 4%	5 5%	9 5%
Don't know...	3 3%	1 1%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	1 17%	0 0%	8 32%	19 30%	22 23%	50 25%
Not						
contacted..	4 67%	10 100%	13 52%	40 63%	70 73%	137 69%
Not heard of	1 17%	0 0%	1 4%	4 6%	3 3%	9 5%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	3 12%	0 0%	1 1%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	8 33%	42 24%	50 25%
Not			
contacted..	16 67%	121 69%	137 69%
Not heard of	0 0%	9 5%	9 5%
Don't know..	0 0%	4 2%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	25 26%	25 24%	50 25%
Not contacted...	66 69%	71 68%	137 69%
Not heard of	3 3%	6 6%	9 5%
Don't know...	1 1%	3 3%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have contacted...	34 27%	4 27%	12 21%	50 25%
Not contacted...	88 69%	11 73%	38 67%	137 69%
Not heard of	4 3%	0 0%	5 9%	9 5%
Don't know...	2 2%	0 0%	2 4%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have						
contacted..	35 22%	5 31%	4 20%	0 0%	0 0%	44 22%
Not						
contacted..	117 74%	11 69%	16 80%	2 100	2 100	148 74%
Not heard of	3 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 2%
Don't know..	4 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	27 27%	17 17%	44 22%
Not			
contacted..	70 69%	79 80%	149 75%
Not heard of	1 1%	2 2%	3 2%
Don't know..	3 3%	1 1%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	1 17%	2 20%	6 24%	16 25%	19 20%	44 22%
Not						
contacted..	5 83%	8 80%	15 60%	46 73%	75 78%	149 75%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	1 2%	1 1%	3 2%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	3 12%	0 0%	1 1%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	7 29%	37 21%	44 22%
Not			
contacted..	17 71%	132 75%	149 75%
Not heard of	0 0%	3 2%	3 2%
Don't know..	0 0%	4 2%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	21 22%	23 22%	44 22%
Not contacted...	73 77%	76 72%	149 75%
Not heard of	0 0%	3 3%	3 2%
Don't know...	1 1%	3 3%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have contacted...	38 30%	2 13%	4 7%	44 22%
Not contacted...	86 67%	13 87%	50 88%	149 75%
Not heard of	2 2%	0 0%	1 2%	3 2%
Don't know...	2 2%	0 0%	2 4%	4 2%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have						
Have contacted..	21 13%	2 13%	3 15%	0 0%	0 0%	26 13%
Not contacted..	137 86%	14 88%	17 85%	2 100	2 100	172 86%
Not heard of	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
Have contacted..	18 18%	8 8%	26 13%
Not contacted..	82 81%	90 91%	172 86%
Not heard of	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	1 17%	2 20%	4 16%	9 14%	10 10%	26 13%
Not						
contacted..	4 67%	8 80%	20 80%	54 86%	86 90%	172 86%
Not heard of	1 17%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	7 29%	19 11%	26 13%
Not			
contacted..	17 71%	155 88%	172 86%
Not heard of	0 0%	2 1%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	8 8%	18 17%	26 13%
Not contacted..	86 91%	86 82%	172 86%
Not heard of	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have contacted..	22 17%	1 7%	3 5%	26 13%
Not contacted..	105 82%	14 93%	53 93%	172 86%
Not heard of	1 1%	0 0%	1 2%	2 1%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have						
contacted..	6 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	6 3%
Not						
contacted..	76 48%	6 38%	13 65%	1 50%	1 50%	97 49%
Not heard of	71 45%	8 50%	5 25%	1 50%	1 50%	86 43%
Don't know..	6 4%	2 13%	2 10%	0 0%	0 0%	10 5%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	6 6%	0 0%	6 3%
Not			
contacted..	44 44%	53 54%	97 49%
Not heard of	46 46%	41 41%	87 44%
Don't know..	5 5%	5 5%	10 5%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	1 10%	1 4%	2 3%	2 2%	6 3%
Not contacted..	3 50%	5 50%	8 32%	38 60%	43 45%	97 49%
Not heard of	3 50%	4 40%	14 56%	19 30%	47 49%	87 44%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	4 6%	4 4%	10 5%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	2 8%	4 2%	6 3%
Not contacted..	10 42%	87 49%	97 49%
Not heard of	11 46%	76 43%	87 44%
Don't know..	1 4%	9 5%	10 5%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL	
	Male	Female		
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:				
Have				
contacted...	1 1%	5 5%	6 3%	
Not				
contacted...	47 49%	50 48%	97 49%	
Not heard of	43 45%	44 42%	87 44%	
Don't know...	4 4%	6 6%	10 5%	
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100	

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL	
	72740	72773	Other		
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have					
contacted...	5 4%	1 7%	0 0%	6 3%	
Not					
contacted...	61 48%	8 53%	28 49%	97 49%	
Not heard of	52 41%	6 40%	29 51%	87 44%	
Don't know...	10 8%	0 0%	0 0%	10 5%	
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100	

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:						
Yes.....	1 17%	0 0%	1 4%	7 11%	3 3%	12 6%
No/DK.....	5 83%	10 100	24 96%	56 89%	93 97%	188 94%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:			
Yes.....	9 9%	3 3%	12 6%
No/DK.....	92 91%	96 97%	188 94%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Respondent's Age

	Age						TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:							
Yes.....	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	7 11%	3 3%	12 6%
No/DK.....	2 67%	3 100	10 100	24 96%	56 89%	93 97%	188 94%
TOTAL.....	3 100	3 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of Any Other Source
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL	
	Yes	No		
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:				
Yes.....	0 0%	12 7%	12	6%
No/DK.....	24 100	164 93%	188	94%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200	100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of Any Other Source
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL	
	Male	Female		
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:				
Yes.....	8 8%	4 4%	12	6%
No/DK.....	87 92%	101 96%	188	94%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200	100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of Any Other Source
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL	
	72740	72773	Other		
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:					
Yes.....	7 5%	0 0%	5 9%	12	6%
No/DK.....	121 95%	15 100	52 91%	188	94%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200	100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size					TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	>50K	
SOURCE:						
Newspapers...	132 83%	13 81%	19 95%	1 50%	2 100	167 84%
Magazines...	24 15%	3 19%	4 20%	0 0%	0 0%	31 16%
Radio.....	85 53%	9 56%	8 40%	2 100	1 50%	105 53%
TV.....	122 77%	12 75%	16 80%	1 50%	2 100	153 77%
Community						
newsletters	44 28%	3 19%	7 35%	1 50%	0 0%	55 28%
Internet,						
social						
media.....	43 27%	3 19%	8 40%	1 50%	1 50%	56 28%
Local						
gathering						
places.....	95 60%	11 69%	15 75%	1 50%	0 0%	122 61%
Farm Bureau.	35 22%	6 38%	2 10%	0 0%	0 0%	43 22%
County						
Extension						
Service....	38 24%	7 44%	5 25%	0 0%	0 0%	50 25%
Local						
schools....	28 18%	1 6%	5 25%	1 50%	0 0%	35 18%
Other source	11 7%	0 0%	2 10%	0 0%	0 0%	13 7%
No source						
mentioned..	24 15%	3 19%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	159 100	16 100	20 100	2 100	2 100	199 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	85 84%	83 84%	168 84%
Magazines...	19 19%	12 12%	31 16%
Radio.....	53 52%	53 54%	106 53%
TV.....	76 75%	78 79%	154 77%
Community newsletters	29 29%	26 26%	55 28%
Internet, social media.....	34 34%	22 22%	56 28%
Local gathering places.....	62 61%	60 61%	122 61%
Farm Bureau. County Extension Service....	25 25%	18 18%	43 22%
Local schools....	33 33%	17 17%	50 25%
Other source	19 19%	16 16%	35 18%
No source mentioned..	10 10%	3 3%	13 7%
	10 10%	18 18%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	101 100	99 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
SOURCE:						
Newspapers..	5 83%	10 100	24 96%	47 75%	82 85%	168 84%
Magazines...	0 0%	3 30%	3 12%	13 21%	12 13%	31 16%
Radio.....	5 83%	3 30%	10 40%	38 60%	50 52%	106 53%
TV.....	4 67%	9 90%	16 64%	51 81%	74 77%	154 77%
Community newsletters	1 17%	2 20%	7 28%	20 32%	25 26%	55 28%
Internet, social media.....	3 50%	4 40%	12 48%	27 43%	10 10%	56 28%
Local gathering places.....	2 33%	6 60%	17 68%	37 59%	60 63%	122 61%
Farm Bureau. County Extension Service....	1 17%	1 10%	7 28%	18 29%	16 17%	43 22%
Local schools....	1 17%	1 10%	9 36%	24 38%	15 16%	50 25%
Other source	2 33%	6 60%	9 36%	13 21%	5 5%	35 18%
No source mentioned..	0 0%	2 20%	1 4%	4 6%	6 6%	13 7%
	1 17%	1 10%	4 16%	7 11%	15 16%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	6 100	10 100	25 100	63 100	96 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	23 96%	145 82%	168 84%
Magazines...	3 13%	28 16%	31 16%
Radio.....	12 50%	94 53%	106 53%
TV.....	20 83%	134 76%	154 77%
Community newsletters	3 13%	52 30%	55 28%
Internet, social media.....	10 42%	46 26%	56 28%
Local gathering places.....	14 58%	108 61%	122 61%
Farm Bureau, County Extension Service....	5 21%	45 26%	50 25%
Local schools....	11 46%	24 14%	35 18%
Other source	3 13%	10 6%	13 7%
No source mentioned..	2 8%	26 15%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	24 100	176 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	74 78%	94 90%	168 84%
Magazines...	15 16%	16 15%	31 16%
Radio.....	61 64%	45 43%	106 53%
TV.....	80 84%	74 70%	154 77%
Community newsletters	26 27%	29 28%	55 28%
Internet, social media.....	24 25%	32 30%	56 28%
Local gathering places.....	56 59%	66 63%	122 61%
Farm Bureau. County Extension Service....	23 24%	20 19%	43 22%
Local schools....	27 28%	23 22%	50 25%
Other source	11 12%	24 23%	35 18%
No source mentioned..	3 3%	10 10%	13 7%
	15 16%	13 12%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	95 100	105 100	200 100

Madison County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code			TOTAL
	72740	72773	Other	
SOURCE:				
Newspapers..	111 87%	12 80%	45 79%	168 84%
Magazines...	20 16%	2 13%	9 16%	31 16%
Radio.....	70 55%	6 40%	30 53%	106 53%
TV.....	98 77%	12 80%	44 77%	154 77%
Community newsletters	41 32%	3 20%	11 19%	55 28%
Internet, social media.....	36 28%	3 20%	17 30%	56 28%
Local gathering places.....	79 62%	8 53%	35 61%	122 61%
Farm Bureau. County Extension Service....	25 20%	3 20%	15 26%	43 22%
Local schools....	33 26%	3 20%	14 25%	50 25%
Other source	21 16%	1 7%	13 23%	35 18%
No source mentioned..	8 6%	3 20%	2 4%	13 7%
	15 12%	4 27%	9 16%	28 14%
TOTAL.....	128 100	15 100	57 100	200 100

**WASHINGTON COUNTY, UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE
CROSS-TABULATIONS**

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	6 50%	0 0%	0 0%	3 50%	4 29%	17 29%	30 30%
Somewhat....	1 8%	3 60%	0 0%	2 33%	4 29%	16 27%	26 26%
Not too.....	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	1 17%	2 14%	20 34%	24 24%
Not at all..	3 25%	1 20%	1 33%	0 0%	3 21%	4 7%	12 12%
Don't know..	1 8%	1 20%	2 67%	0 0%	1 7%	2 3%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	14 44%	16 23%	30 29%
Somewhat....	7 22%	19 27%	26 25%
Not too.....	5 16%	20 29%	25 25%
Not at all..	6 19%	8 11%	14 14%
Don't know..	0 0%	7 10%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	2 40%	2 25%	4 24%	5 29%	16 30%	29 29%
Somewhat....	0 0%	5 63%	5 29%	2 12%	14 26%	26 26%
Not too.....	3 60%	1 13%	5 29%	5 29%	11 20%	25 25%
Not at all..	0 0%	0 0%	2 12%	4 24%	8 15%	14 14%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	1 6%	5 9%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	4 25%	26 30%	30 29%
Somewhat....	6 38%	20 23%	26 25%
Not too.....	4 25%	21 24%	25 25%
Not at all..	1 6%	13 15%	14 14%
Don't know..	1 6%	6 7%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	18 32%	12 27%	30 29%
Somewhat....	17 30%	9 20%	26 25%
Not too.....	12 21%	13 29%	25 25%
Not at all..	8 14%	6 13%	14 14%
Don't know..	2 4%	5 11%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q1: How Serious a Problem: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	9 26%	5 26%	4 22%	12 40%	30 29%
Somewhat....	7 20%	7 37%	3 17%	9 30%	26 25%
Not too.....	11 31%	4 21%	6 33%	4 13%	25 25%
Not at all..	6 17%	1 5%	3 17%	4 13%	14 14%
Don't know..	2 6%	2 11%	2 11%	1 3%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	4 33%	0 0%	0 0%	3 50%	2 14%	13 22%	22 22%
Somewhat....	4 33%	0 0%	0 0%	1 17%	5 36%	17 29%	27 27%
Not too.....	0 0%	1 20%	0 0%	1 17%	1 7%	11 19%	14 14%
Not at all..	4 33%	2 40%	1 33%	0 0%	4 29%	11 19%	22 22%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 40%	2 67%	1 17%	2 14%	7 12%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	7 22%	15 21%	22 22%
Somewhat....	9 28%	18 26%	27 26%
Not too.....	4 13%	11 16%	15 15%
Not at all..	7 22%	16 23%	23 23%
Don't know..	5 16%	10 14%	15 15%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 20%	2 25%	3 18%	6 35%	9 17%	21 21%
Somewhat....	2 40%	2 25%	5 29%	1 6%	17 31%	27 27%
Not too.....	1 20%	1 13%	3 18%	2 12%	8 15%	15 15%
Not at all..	1 20%	1 13%	6 35%	4 24%	11 20%	23 23%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 25%	0 0%	4 24%	9 17%	15 15%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	HOW SERIOUS:		
Very.....	5 31%	17 20%	22 22%
Somewhat....	4 25%	23 27%	27 26%
Not too.....	1 6%	14 16%	15 15%
Not at all..	5 31%	18 21%	23 23%
Don't know..	1 6%	14 16%	15 15%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
	HOW SERIOUS:		
Very.....	15 26%	7 16%	22 22%
Somewhat....	14 25%	13 29%	27 26%
Not too.....	9 16%	6 13%	15 15%
Not at all..	13 23%	10 22%	23 23%
Don't know..	6 11%	9 20%	15 15%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q2: How Serious a Problem: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
	HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	3 9%	4 21%	7 39%	8 27%	22 22%
Somewhat....	8 23%	6 32%	2 11%	11 37%	27 26%
Not too.....	8 23%	1 5%	2 11%	4 13%	15 15%
Not at all..	8 23%	5 26%	6 33%	4 13%	23 23%
Don't know..	8 23%	3 16%	1 6%	3 10%	15 15%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	5 42%	0 0%	0 0%	3 50%	2 14%	15 25%	25 25%
Somewhat....	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	1 17%	3 21%	16 27%	22 22%
Not too.....	2 17%	1 20%	0 0%	2 33%	3 21%	13 22%	21 21%
Not at all..	3 25%	2 40%	1 33%	0 0%	4 29%	13 22%	23 23%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 40%	2 67%	0 0%	2 14%	2 3%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	10 31%	15 21%	25 25%
Somewhat....	8 25%	14 20%	22 22%
Not too.....	5 16%	17 24%	22 22%
Not at all..	8 25%	16 23%	24 24%
Don't know..	1 3%	8 11%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 0%	4 50%	7 41%	4 24%	10 19%	25 25%
Somewhat....	2 40%	0 0%	1 6%	4 24%	15 28%	22 22%
Not too.....	1 20%	3 38%	1 6%	4 24%	13 24%	22 22%
Not at all..	2 40%	1 13%	8 47%	4 24%	9 17%	24 24%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	7 13%	8 8%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	7 44%	18 21%	25 25%
Somewhat....	1 6%	21 24%	22 22%
Not too.....	3 19%	19 22%	22 22%
Not at all..	5 31%	19 22%	24 24%
Don't know..	0 0%	9 10%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	15 26%	10 22%	25 25%
Somewhat....	11 19%	11 24%	22 22%
Not too.....	12 21%	10 22%	22 22%
Not at all..	16 28%	8 18%	24 24%
Don't know..	3 5%	6 13%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q3: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	3 9%	5 26%	5 28%	12 40%	25 25%
Somewhat....	11 31%	4 21%	3 17%	4 13%	22 22%
Not too.....	8 23%	5 26%	3 17%	6 20%	22 22%
Not at all..	10 29%	3 16%	5 28%	6 20%	24 24%
Don't know..	3 9%	2 11%	2 11%	2 7%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	5 42%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 21%	21 36%	29 29%
Somewhat....	1 8%	3 60%	1 33%	3 50%	3 21%	17 29%	28 28%
Not too.....	4 33%	1 20%	0 0%	2 33%	5 36%	11 19%	23 23%
Not at all..	2 17%	0 0%	1 33%	1 17%	2 14%	7 12%	13 13%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 20%	1 33%	0 0%	1 7%	3 5%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	12 38%	17 24%	29 28%
Somewhat....	6 19%	22 31%	28 27%
Not too.....	9 28%	16 23%	25 25%
Not at all..	4 13%	10 14%	14 14%
Don't know..	1 3%	5 7%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 0%	3 38%	5 29%	4 24%	16 30%	28 28%
Somewhat....	3 60%	4 50%	2 12%	3 18%	16 30%	28 28%
Not too.....	0 0%	1 13%	7 41%	6 35%	11 20%	25 25%
Not at all..	2 40%	0 0%	3 18%	3 18%	6 11%	14 14%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	5 9%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	5 31%	24 28%	29 28%
Somewhat....	3 19%	25 29%	28 27%
Not too.....	6 38%	19 22%	25 25%
Not at all..	2 13%	12 14%	14 14%
Don't know..	0 0%	6 7%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	15 26%	14 31%	29 28%
Somewhat....	20 35%	8 18%	28 27%
Not too.....	13 23%	12 27%	25 25%
Not at all..	8 14%	6 13%	14 14%
Don't know..	1 2%	5 11%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q4: How Serious a Problem: Agriculture and Livestock Run-off
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	8 23%	6 32%	5 28%	10 33%	29 28%
Somewhat....	13 37%	4 21%	5 28%	6 20%	28 27%
Not too.....	9 26%	5 26%	2 11%	9 30%	25 25%
Not at all..	4 11%	3 16%	4 22%	3 10%	14 14%
Don't know..	1 3%	1 5%	2 11%	2 7%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	3 25%	1 20%	0 0%	1 17%	1 7%	12 20%	18 18%
Somewhat....	2 17%	1 20%	0 0%	2 33%	2 14%	11 19%	18 18%
Not too.....	3 25%	0 0%	0 0%	2 33%	4 29%	13 22%	22 22%
Not at all..	3 25%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 29%	15 25%	22 22%
Don't know..	1 8%	3 60%	3 100%	1 17%	3 21%	8 14%	19 19%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	8 25%	10 14%	18 18%
Somewhat....	4 13%	14 20%	18 18%
Not too.....	6 19%	19 27%	25 25%
Not at all..	10 31%	12 17%	22 22%
Don't know..	4 13%	15 21%	19 19%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	1 20%	2 25%	1 6%	4 24%	10 19%	18 18%
Somewhat....	2 40%	2 25%	1 6%	3 18%	10 19%	18 18%
Not too.....	0 0%	4 50%	6 35%	4 24%	11 20%	25 25%
Not at all..	1 20%	0 0%	8 47%	3 18%	10 19%	22 22%
Don't know..	1 20%	0 0%	1 6%	3 18%	13 24%	18 18%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	2 13%	16 19%	18 18%
Somewhat....	3 19%	15 17%	18 18%
Not too.....	6 38%	19 22%	25 25%
Not at all..	4 25%	18 21%	22 22%
Don't know..	1 6%	18 21%	19 19%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	12 21%	6 13%	18 18%
Somewhat....	12 21%	6 13%	18 18%
Not too.....	15 26%	10 22%	25 25%
Not at all..	10 18%	12 27%	22 22%
Don't know..	8 14%	11 24%	19 19%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q5: How Serious a Problem: Poorly Maintained Septic Tanks
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	4 11%	6 32%	4 22%	4 13%	18 18%
Somewhat....	6 17%	3 16%	4 22%	5 17%	18 18%
Not too.....	9 26%	2 11%	0 0%	14 47%	25 25%
Not at all..	6 17%	6 32%	5 28%	5 17%	22 22%
Don't know..	10 29%	2 11%	5 28%	2 7%	19 19%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	2 17%	3 60%	0 0%	2 33%	3 21%	19 32%	29 29%
Somewhat....	6 50%	0 0%	0 0%	2 33%	2 14%	15 25%	25 25%
Not too.....	0 0%	1 20%	0 0%	1 17%	5 36%	13 22%	20 20%
Not at all..	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 21%	10 17%	15 15%
Don't know..	2 17%	1 20%	3 100	1 17%	1 7%	2 3%	10 10%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	12 38%	17 24%	29 28%
Somewhat....	8 25%	17 24%	25 25%
Not too.....	6 19%	16 23%	22 22%
Not at all..	4 13%	11 16%	15 15%
Don't know..	2 6%	9 13%	11 11%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 0%	4 50%	6 35%	3 18%	16 30%	29 29%
Somewhat....	3 60%	1 13%	3 18%	5 29%	13 24%	25 25%
Not too.....	1 20%	3 38%	3 18%	4 24%	11 20%	22 22%
Not at all..	1 20%	0 0%	4 24%	2 12%	8 15%	15 15%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	3 18%	6 11%	10 10%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
	HOW SERIOUS:		
Very.....	5 31%	24 28%	29 28%
Somewhat....	5 31%	20 23%	25 25%
Not too.....	4 25%	18 21%	22 22%
Not at all..	1 6%	14 16%	15 15%
Don't know..	1 6%	10 12%	11 11%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
	HOW SERIOUS:		
Very.....	15 26%	14 31%	29 28%
Somewhat....	14 25%	11 24%	25 25%
Not too.....	14 25%	8 18%	22 22%
Not at all..	7 12%	8 18%	15 15%
Don't know..	7 12%	4 9%	11 11%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q6: How Serious a Problem: Preserving/Restoring Stream Bank Vegetation
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
	HOW SERIOUS:				
Very.....	6 17%	10 53%	3 17%	10 33%	29 28%
Somewhat....	8 23%	4 21%	4 22%	9 30%	25 25%
Not too.....	10 29%	2 11%	5 28%	5 17%	22 22%
Not at all..	8 23%	2 11%	3 17%	2 7%	15 15%
Don't know..	3 9%	1 5%	3 17%	4 13%	11 11%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	2 17%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	4 29%	11 19%	18 18%
Somewhat....	5 42%	0 0%	0 0%	3 50%	2 14%	14 24%	24 24%
Not too.....	2 17%	2 40%	0 0%	3 50%	5 36%	16 27%	28 28%
Not at all..	2 17%	1 20%	1 33%	0 0%	2 14%	14 24%	20 20%
Don't know..	1 8%	1 20%	2 67%	0 0%	1 7%	4 7%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	6 19%	12 17%	18 18%
Somewhat....	10 31%	14 20%	24 24%
Not too.....	7 22%	22 31%	29 28%
Not at all..	6 19%	16 23%	22 22%
Don't know..	3 9%	6 9%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 0%	1 13%	5 29%	3 18%	8 15%	17 17%
Somewhat....	0 0%	3 38%	3 18%	6 35%	12 22%	24 24%
Not too.....	2 40%	4 50%	5 29%	5 29%	13 24%	29 29%
Not at all..	2 40%	0 0%	4 24%	3 18%	13 24%	22 22%
Don't know..	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	8 15%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	2 13%	16 19%	18 18%
Somewhat....	5 31%	19 22%	24 24%
Not too.....	5 31%	24 28%	29 28%
Not at all..	3 19%	19 22%	22 22%
Don't know..	1 6%	8 9%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	8 14%	10 22%	18 18%
Somewhat....	15 26%	9 20%	24 24%
Not too.....	19 33%	10 22%	29 28%
Not at all..	11 19%	11 24%	22 22%
Don't know..	4 7%	5 11%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q7: How Serious a Problem: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	2 6%	4 21%	4 22%	8 27%	18 18%
Somewhat....	6 17%	6 32%	3 17%	9 30%	24 24%
Not too.....	16 46%	3 16%	3 17%	7 23%	29 28%
Not at all..	8 23%	4 21%	5 28%	5 17%	22 22%
Don't know..	3 9%	2 11%	3 17%	1 3%	9 9%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
HOW SERIOUS:							
Very.....	4 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	6 10%	10 10%
Somewhat....	3 25%	1 20%	0 0%	2 33%	2 14%	16 27%	24 24%
Not too.....	1 8%	1 20%	0 0%	3 50%	4 29%	16 27%	25 25%
Not at all..	3 25%	0 0%	1 33%	1 17%	5 36%	18 31%	28 28%
Don't know..	1 8%	3 60%	2 67%	0 0%	3 21%	3 5%	12 12%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	6 19%	4 6%	10 10%
Somewhat....	7 22%	17 24%	24 24%
Not too.....	9 28%	18 26%	27 26%
Not at all..	8 25%	21 30%	29 28%
Don't know..	2 6%	10 14%	12 12%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
HOW SERIOUS:						
Very.....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 18%	6 11%	9 9%
Somewhat....	1 20%	3 38%	6 35%	3 18%	11 20%	24 24%
Not too.....	1 20%	4 50%	5 29%	6 35%	11 20%	27 27%
Not at all..	2 40%	0 0%	6 35%	5 29%	16 30%	29 29%
Don't know..	1 20%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	10 19%	12 12%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	1 6%	9 10%	10 10%
Somewhat....	2 13%	22 26%	24 24%
Not too.....	8 50%	19 22%	27 26%
Not at all..	3 19%	26 30%	29 28%
Don't know..	2 13%	10 12%	12 12%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
HOW SERIOUS:			
Very.....	8 14%	2 4%	10 10%
Somewhat....	12 21%	12 27%	24 24%
Not too.....	16 28%	11 24%	27 26%
Not at all..	15 26%	14 31%	29 28%
Don't know..	6 11%	6 13%	12 12%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q8: How Serious a Problem: Storm Water Run-off From Unpaved Roads
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
HOW SERIOUS:					
Very.....	3 9%	2 11%	1 6%	4 13%	10 10%
Somewhat....	6 17%	5 26%	5 28%	8 27%	24 24%
Not too.....	11 31%	5 26%	2 11%	9 30%	27 26%
Not at all..	11 31%	4 21%	7 39%	7 23%	29 28%
Don't know..	4 11%	3 16%	3 17%	2 7%	12 12%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
MENTIONED:							
Yes.....	1 8%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	7 12%	10 10%
No/DK.....	11 92%	4 80%	3 100%	6 100%	13 93%	52 88%	89 90%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	3 9%	7 10%	10 10%
No/DK.....	29 91%	63 90%	92 90%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
MENTIONED:						
Yes.....	0 0%	1 13%	1 6%	3 18%	5 9%	10 10%
No/DK.....	5 100%	7 88%	16 94%	14 82%	49 91%	91 90%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	0 0%	10 12%	10 10%
No/DK.....	16 100	76 88%	92 90%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
MENTIONED:			
Yes.....	3 5%	7 16%	10 10%
No/DK.....	54 95%	38 84%	92 90%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q9: How Serious a Problem: Other Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
MENTIONED:					
Yes.....	2 6%	2 11%	2 11%	4 13%	10 10%
No/DK.....	33 94%	17 89%	16 89%	26 87%	92 90%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	5 42%	1 20%	0 0%	2 33%	4 29%	17 29%	29 29%
Somewhat agree.....	2 17%	2 40%	0 0%	1 17%	7 50%	16 27%	28 28%
Somewhat disagree...	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	3 50%	1 7%	7 12%	12 12%
Strongly disagree...	2 17%	1 20%	1 33%	0 0%	2 14%	15 25%	21 21%
Don't believe....	1 8%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%
Don't know..	1 8%	0 0%	2 67%	0 0%	0 0%	4 7%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	13 41%	17 24%	30 29%
Somewhat agree.....	6 19%	22 31%	28 27%
Somewhat disagree...	3 9%	10 14%	13 13%
Strongly disagree...	7 22%	15 21%	22 22%
Don't believe....	1 3%	1 1%	2 2%
Don't know..	2 6%	5 7%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	1 20%	2 25%	6 35%	4 24%	16 30%	29 29%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	6 75%	7 41%	4 24%	11 20%	28 28%
Somewhat disagree...	2 40%	0 0%	0 0%	2 12%	9 17%	13 13%
Strongly disagree...	2 40%	0 0%	3 18%	6 35%	11 20%	22 22%
Don't believe....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 4%	2 2%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	1 6%	5 9%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	3 19%	27 31%	30 29%
Somewhat agree.....	10 63%	18 21%	28 27%
Somewhat disagree...	2 13%	11 13%	13 13%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	22 26%	22 22%
Don't believe....	0 0%	2 2%	2 2%
Don't know..	1 6%	6 7%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	15 26%	15 33%	30 29%
Somewhat agree.....	20 35%	8 18%	28 27%
Somewhat disagree...	10 18%	3 7%	13 13%
Strongly disagree...	10 18%	12 27%	22 22%
Don't believe....	1 2%	1 2%	2 2%
Don't know..	1 2%	6 13%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q10: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	10 29%	7 37%	4 22%	9 30%	30 29%
Somewhat agree.....	9 26%	3 16%	4 22%	12 40%	28 27%
Somewhat disagree...	5 14%	4 21%	0 0%	4 13%	13 13%
Strongly disagree...	9 26%	3 16%	6 33%	4 13%	22 22%
Don't believe....	1 3%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	2 2%
Don't know..	1 3%	2 11%	3 17%	1 3%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	7 58%	2 40%	3 100%	1 17%	5 36%	12 20%	30 30%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 14%	10 17%	12 12%
Somewhat disagree...	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 21%	17 29%	22 22%
Strongly disagree...	3 25%	2 40%	0 0%	5 83%	3 21%	18 31%	31 31%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	2 3%	4 4%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	7 22%	23 33%	30 29%
Somewhat agree.....	3 9%	11 16%	14 14%
Somewhat disagree...	7 22%	15 21%	22 22%
Strongly disagree...	14 44%	17 24%	31 30%
Don't know..	1 3%	4 6%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	1 20%	1 13%	2 12%	5 29%	21 39%	30 30%
Somewhat agree.....	2 40%	2 25%	3 18%	1 6%	6 11%	14 14%
Somewhat disagree...	1 20%	2 25%	7 41%	5 29%	6 11%	21 21%
Strongly disagree...	1 20%	3 38%	5 29%	5 29%	17 31%	31 31%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	4 7%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	2 13%	28 33%	30 29%
Somewhat agree.....	5 31%	9 10%	14 14%
Somewhat disagree...	6 38%	16 19%	22 22%
Strongly disagree...	3 19%	28 33%	31 30%
Don't know..	0 0%	5 6%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex				TOTAL
	Male		Female		
	AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	16	28%	14	
Somewhat agree.....	8	14%	6	13%	14 14%
Somewhat disagree...	16	28%	6	13%	22 22%
Strongly disagree...	14	25%	17	38%	31 30%
Don't know..	3	5%	2	4%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	57	100	45	100	102 100

Q11: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL					
	72701	72703	72764	Other						
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	10	29%	4	21%	8	44%	8	27%	30	29%
Somewhat agree.....	6	17%	1	5%	1	6%	6	20%	14	14%
Somewhat disagree...	8	23%	3	16%	4	22%	7	23%	22	22%
Strongly disagree...	9	26%	10	53%	4	22%	8	27%	31	30%
Don't know..	2	6%	1	5%	1	6%	1	3%	5	5%
TOTAL.....	35	100	19	100	18	100	30	100	102	100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	3 25%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 14%	12 20%	17 17%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 33%	0 0%	9 15%	11 11%
Somewhat disagree...	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	2 33%	3 21%	5 8%	12 12%
Strongly disagree...	6 50%	3 60%	2 67%	1 17%	7 50%	27 46%	46 46%
Don't believe....	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 40%	1 33%	1 17%	2 14%	6 10%	12 12%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	5 16%	12 17%	17 17%
Somewhat agree.....	4 13%	7 10%	11 11%
Somewhat disagree...	3 9%	10 14%	13 13%
Strongly disagree...	15 47%	31 44%	46 45%
Don't believe....	1 3%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	4 13%	10 14%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	1 20%	1 13%	3 18%	4 24%	7 13%	16 16%
Somewhat agree.....	2 40%	2 25%	4 24%	1 6%	2 4%	11 11%
Somewhat disagree...	1 20%	1 13%	4 24%	1 6%	6 11%	13 13%
Strongly disagree...	1 20%	3 38%	4 24%	9 53%	29 54%	46 46%
Don't believe....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 13%	2 12%	2 12%	9 17%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	2 13%	15 17%	17 17%
Somewhat agree.....	4 25%	7 8%	11 11%
Somewhat disagree...	5 31%	8 9%	13 13%
Strongly disagree...	3 19%	43 50%	46 45%
Don't believe....	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Don't know..	2 13%	12 14%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	13 23%	4 9%	17 17%
Somewhat agree.....	8 14%	3 7%	11 11%
Somewhat disagree...	9 16%	4 9%	13 13%
Strongly disagree...	21 37%	25 56%	46 45%
Don't believe....	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
Don't know..	6 11%	8 18%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q12: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	4 11%	4 21%	1 6%	8 27%	17 17%
Somewhat agree.....	3 9%	2 11%	3 17%	3 10%	11 11%
Somewhat disagree...	6 17%	1 5%	1 6%	5 17%	13 13%
Strongly disagree...	17 49%	10 53%	9 50%	10 33%	46 45%
Don't believe....	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	5 14%	2 11%	3 17%	4 13%	14 14%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	12 100	4 80%	3 100	5 83%	9 64%	49 83%	82 83%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	5 36%	10 17%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 17%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	29 91%	55 79%	84 82%
Somewhat agree.....	2 6%	14 20%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	1 3%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	4 80%	6 75%	10 59%	13 76%	50 93%	83 82%
Somewhat agree.....	1 20%	2 25%	7 41%	3 18%	3 6%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	6 38%	78 91%	84 82%
Somewhat agree.....	9 56%	7 8%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	1 6%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	44 77%	40 89%	84 82%
Somewhat agree.....	12 21%	4 9%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q13: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	28 80%	15 79%	17 94%	24 80%	84 82%
Somewhat agree.....	7 20%	3 16%	1 6%	5 17%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	10 83%	4 80%	2 67%	6 100%	10 71%	49 83%	81 82%
Somewhat agree.....	0 0%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	4 29%	10 17%	15 15%
Somewhat disagree...	1 8%	0 0%	1 33%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%
Strongly disagree...	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	27 84%	56 80%	83 81%
Somewhat agree.....	3 9%	13 19%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	1 3%	1 1%	2 2%
Strongly disagree...	1 3%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	3 60%	6 75%	11 65%	14 82%	48 89%	82 81%
Somewhat agree.....	2 40%	2 25%	6 35%	3 18%	3 6%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 4%	2 2%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	10 63%	73 85%	83 81%
Somewhat agree.....	6 38%	10 12%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	2 2%	2 2%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	44 77%	39 87%	83 81%
Somewhat agree.....	11 19%	5 11%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	1 2%	1 2%	2 2%
Strongly disagree...	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q14: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree.....	25 71%	18 95%	18 100%	22 73%	83 81%
Somewhat agree.....	10 29%	1 5%	0 0%	5 17%	16 16%
Somewhat disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 7%	2 2%
Strongly disagree...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
USE LAKE FOR:							
Drinking water.....	9 75%	3 60%	1 33%	5 83%	11 79%	49 83%	78 79%
Irrigation..	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	1 17%	2 14%	11 19%	15 15%
Fishing.....	11 92%	3 60%	1 33%	4 67%	7 50%	25 42%	51 52%
Boating, etc.....	9 75%	2 40%	1 33%	5 83%	7 50%	30 51%	54 55%
Swimming, scuba.....	5 42%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	4 29%	24 41%	34 34%
Camping.....	5 42%	2 40%	1 33%	2 33%	1 7%	20 34%	31 31%
Hiking.....	7 58%	2 40%	0 0%	2 33%	2 14%	23 39%	36 36%
Birding.....	3 25%	2 40%	0 0%	1 17%	1 7%	12 20%	19 19%
Watering livestock..	4 33%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	6 6%
Other purpose....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
No use mentioned..	0 0%	1 20%	2 67%	0 0%	0 0%	3 5%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake

By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own		TOTAL
	Property Next to		
	Water		
	Yes	No	
USE LAKE			
FOR:			
Drinking			
water.....	27 84%	53 76%	80 78%
Irrigation..	2 6%	13 19%	15 15%
Fishing.....	19 59%	33 47%	52 51%
Boating,			
etc.....	20 63%	35 50%	55 54%
Swimming,			
scuba.....	15 47%	19 27%	34 33%
Camping.....	11 34%	20 29%	31 30%
Hiking.....	14 44%	22 31%	36 35%
Birding.....	6 19%	13 19%	19 19%
Watering			
livestock..	5 16%	1 1%	6 6%
Other			
purpose....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
No use			
mentioned..	1 3%	6 9%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
USE LAKE FOR:						
Drinking water.....	4 80%	7 88%	14 82%	12 71%	42 78%	79 78%
Irrigation..	1 20%	3 38%	2 12%	1 6%	8 15%	15 15%
Fishing.....	4 80%	3 38%	10 59%	9 53%	25 46%	51 50%
Boating, etc.....	4 80%	5 63%	12 71%	13 76%	20 37%	54 53%
Swimming, scuba.....	4 80%	5 63%	9 53%	5 29%	11 20%	34 34%
Camping.....	2 40%	3 38%	3 18%	6 35%	16 30%	30 30%
Hiking.....	2 40%	3 38%	4 24%	7 41%	20 37%	36 36%
Birding.....	1 20%	2 25%	0 0%	3 18%	13 24%	19 19%
Watering livestock..	0 0%	1 13%	1 6%	2 12%	2 4%	6 6%
Other purpose.....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
No use mentioned..	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	6 11%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake

By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
USE LAKE FOR:			
Drinking water.....	13 81%	67 78%	80 78%
Irrigation..	2 13%	13 15%	15 15%
Fishing.....	7 44%	45 52%	52 51%
Boating, etc.....	9 56%	46 53%	55 54%
Swimming, scuba.....	7 44%	27 31%	34 33%
Camping.....	2 13%	29 34%	31 30%
Hiking.....	3 19%	33 38%	36 35%
Birding.....	1 6%	18 21%	19 19%
Watering livestock..	0 0%	6 7%	6 6%
Other purpose....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
No use mentioned..	1 6%	6 7%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
USE LAKE			
FOR:			
Drinking			
water.....	45 79%	35 78%	80 78%
Irrigation..	8 14%	7 16%	15 15%
Fishing.....	36 63%	16 36%	52 51%
Boating,			
etc.....	34 60%	21 47%	55 54%
Swimming,			
scuba.....	20 35%	14 31%	34 33%
Camping.....	22 39%	9 20%	31 30%
Hiking.....	21 37%	15 33%	36 35%
Birding.....	13 23%	6 13%	19 19%
Watering			
livestock..	4 7%	2 4%	6 6%
Other			
purpose....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
No use			
mentioned..	2 4%	5 11%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q15-Q24: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
USE LAKE FOR:					
Drinking water.....	28 80%	12 63%	15 83%	25 83%	80 78%
Irrigation..	6 17%	1 5%	3 17%	5 17%	15 15%
Fishing.....	15 43%	9 47%	10 56%	18 60%	52 51%
Boating, etc.....	14 40%	12 63%	12 67%	17 57%	55 54%
Swimming, scuba.....	7 20%	9 47%	5 28%	13 43%	34 33%
Camping.....	12 34%	6 32%	6 33%	7 23%	31 30%
Hiking.....	10 29%	9 47%	10 56%	7 23%	36 35%
Birding.....	7 20%	3 16%	5 28%	4 13%	19 19%
Watering livestock..	0 0%	1 5%	2 11%	3 10%	6 6%
Other purpose....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
No use mentioned..	2 6%	2 11%	1 6%	2 7%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	6 10%	9 9%
Not							
contacted..	9 75%	4 80%	3 100%	5 83%	9 64%	49 83%	79 80%
Not heard of	1 8%	1 20%	0 0%	1 17%	4 29%	4 7%	11 11%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	3 9%	6 9%	9 9%
Not			
contacted..	25 78%	57 81%	82 80%
Not heard of	4 13%	7 10%	11 11%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	1 13%	2 12%	0 0%	6 11%	9 9%
Not						
contacted..	4 80%	6 75%	14 82%	13 76%	44 81%	81 80%
Not heard of	1 20%	1 13%	1 6%	4 24%	4 7%	11 11%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	1 6%	8 9%	9 9%
Not			
contacted..	14 88%	68 79%	82 80%
Not heard of	1 6%	10 12%	11 11%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	4 7%	5 11%	9 9%
Not contacted..	46 81%	36 80%	82 80%
Not heard of	7 12%	4 9%	11 11%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q25: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County Extension Service
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	2 6%	2 11%	1 6%	4 13%	9 9%
Not contacted..	31 89%	12 63%	17 94%	22 73%	82 80%
Not heard of	2 6%	5 26%	0 0%	4 13%	11 11%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	4 33%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	3 21%	5 8%	13 13%
Not							
contacted..	8 67%	4 80%	3 100%	6 100%	10 71%	53 90%	84 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	1 2%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	6 19%	7 10%	13 13%
Not			
contacted..	26 81%	61 87%	87 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	2 3%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	2 25%	3 18%	0 0%	8 15%	13 13%
Not						
contacted..	4 80%	6 75%	14 82%	17 100%	45 83%	86 85%
Not heard of	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	1 6%	12 14%	13 13%
Not			
contacted..	15 94%	72 84%	87 85%
Not heard of	0 0%	2 2%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	9 16%	4 9%	13 13%
Not contacted..	46 81%	41 91%	87 85%
Not heard of	2 4%	0 0%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q26: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Game and Fish Commission
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	2 6%	0 0%	1 6%	10 33%	13 13%
Not contacted..	32 91%	18 95%	17 94%	20 67%	87 85%
Not heard of	1 3%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 14%	4 7%	8 8%
Not							
contacted..	9 75%	5 100%	3 100%	6 100%	11 79%	52 88%	86 87%
Not heard of	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	3 5%	5 5%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	5 16%	3 4%	8 8%
Not			
contacted..	27 84%	61 87%	88 86%
Not heard of	0 0%	6 9%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	1 13%	2 12%	0 0%	5 9%	8 8%
Not						
contacted..	5 100%	7 88%	14 82%	14 82%	47 87%	87 86%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	3 18%	2 4%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	1 6%	7 8%	8 8%
Not			
contacted..	15 94%	73 85%	88 86%
Not heard of	0 0%	6 7%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	4 7%	4 9%	8 8%
Not contacted..	51 89%	37 82%	88 86%
Not heard of	2 4%	4 9%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q27: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Audubon Society
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	1 3%	2 11%	1 6%	4 13%	8 8%
Not contacted..	33 94%	15 79%	16 89%	24 80%	88 86%
Not heard of	1 3%	2 11%	1 6%	2 7%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	3 5%	4 4%
Not							
contacted...	10 83%	5 100%	2 67%	3 50%	8 57%	38 64%	66 67%
Not heard of	1 8%	0 0%	1 33%	3 50%	5 36%	18 31%	28 28%
Don't know...	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted...	2 6%	2 3%	4 4%
Not			
contacted...	24 75%	43 61%	67 66%
Not heard of	5 16%	25 36%	30 29%
Don't know...	1 3%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	1 13%	0 0%	1 6%	2 4%	4 4%
Not contacted..	5 100%	5 63%	10 59%	10 59%	36 67%	66 65%
Not heard of	0 0%	2 25%	6 35%	6 35%	16 30%	30 30%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	1 6%	3 3%	4 4%
Not contacted..	8 50%	59 69%	67 66%
Not heard of	6 38%	24 28%	30 29%
Don't know..	1 6%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	1 2%	3 7%	4 4%
Not contacted...	39 68%	28 62%	67 66%
Not heard of	16 28%	14 31%	30 29%
Don't know...	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q28: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted...	1 3%	1 5%	1 6%	1 3%	4 4%
Not contacted...	25 71%	11 58%	11 61%	20 67%	67 66%
Not heard of	9 26%	7 37%	5 28%	9 30%	30 29%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	4 33%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	5 8%	10 10%
Not							
contacted..	8 67%	4 80%	3 100%	6 100%	13 93%	49 83%	83 84%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	5 8%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	5 16%	5 7%	10 10%
Not			
contacted..	25 78%	60 86%	85 83%
Not heard of	2 6%	5 7%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	0 0%	1 13%	2 12%	1 6%	6 11%	10 10%
Not contacted..	3 60%	7 88%	15 88%	16 94%	43 80%	84 83%
Not heard of	2 40%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	5 9%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	1 6%	9 10%	10 10%
Not contacted..	15 94%	70 81%	85 83%
Not heard of	0 0%	7 8%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	8 14%	2 4%	10 10%
Not contacted..	43 75%	42 93%	85 83%
Not heard of	6 11%	1 2%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q29: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Arkansas Forestry Commission
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	2 6%	0 0%	1 6%	7 23%	10 10%
Not contacted..	31 89%	17 89%	15 83%	22 73%	85 83%
Not heard of	2 6%	2 11%	2 11%	1 3%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	1 8%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	1 2%	4 4%
Not							
contacted..	11 92%	4 80%	3 100%	6 100%	13 93%	57 97%	94 95%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	2 6%	2 3%	4 4%
Not			
contacted..	29 91%	68 97%	97 95%
Not heard of	1 3%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	3 6%	4 4%
Not						
contacted..	5 100%	7 88%	17 100%	17 100%	50 93%	96 95%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	0 0%	4 5%	4 4%
Not			
contacted..	16 100%	81 94%	97 95%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	4 7%	0 0%	4 4%
Not contacted...	52 91%	45 100%	97 95%
Not heard of	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q30: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted...	1 3%	2 11%	0 0%	1 3%	4 4%
Not contacted...	34 97%	17 89%	17 94%	29 97%	97 95%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	0 0%	1 1%
Not							
contacted..	5 42%	2 40%	2 67%	1 17%	7 50%	22 37%	39 39%
Not heard of	7 58%	3 60%	1 33%	5 83%	6 43%	37 63%	59 60%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Not			
contacted..	15 47%	24 34%	39 38%
Not heard of	17 53%	45 64%	62 61%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
Not						
contacted..	1 20%	3 38%	11 65%	6 35%	17 31%	38 38%
Not heard of	4 80%	5 63%	6 35%	11 65%	36 67%	62 61%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have			
contacted..	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Not			
contacted..	9 56%	30 35%	39 38%
Not heard of	7 44%	55 64%	62 61%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
Not contacted..	25 44%	14 31%	39 38%
Not heard of	31 54%	31 69%	62 61%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q31: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Association for Beaver Lake Environment
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	0 0%	1 5%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
Not contacted..	15 43%	5 26%	7 39%	12 40%	39 38%
Not heard of	20 57%	13 68%	11 61%	18 60%	62 61%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	2 17%	1 20%	0 0%	2 33%	4 29%	16 27%	25 25%
Not							
contacted..	10 83%	4 80%	3 100%	4 67%	9 64%	42 71%	72 73%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	1 2%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	6 19%	21 30%	27 26%
Not			
contacted..	26 81%	47 67%	73 72%
Not heard of	0 0%	2 3%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:						
Have contacted..	1 20%	2 25%	7 41%	4 24%	13 24%	27 27%
Not contacted..	4 80%	6 75%	10 59%	13 76%	39 72%	72 71%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 4%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	8 50%	19 22%	27 26%
Not contacted..	8 50%	65 76%	73 72%
Not heard of	0 0%	2 2%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	15 26%	12 27%	27 26%
Not contacted..	40 70%	33 73%	73 72%
Not heard of	2 4%	0 0%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q32: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your Water District or Water Provider
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	11 31%	3 16%	4 22%	9 30%	27 26%
Not contacted..	22 63%	16 84%	14 78%	21 70%	73 72%
Not heard of	2 6%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	3 25%	1 20%	0 0%	1 17%	4 29%	16 27%	25 25%
Not							
contacted..	9 75%	4 80%	3 100%	5 83%	10 71%	42 71%	73 74%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	7 22%	19 27%	26 25%
Not			
contacted..	25 78%	50 71%	75 74%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	1 20%	1 13%	8 47%	4 24%	12 22%	26 26%
Not						
contacted..	4 80%	7 88%	9 53%	13 76%	41 76%	74 73%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	7 44%	19 22%	26 25%
Not			
contacted..	9 56%	66 77%	75 74%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted..	15 26%	11 24%	26 25%
Not contacted..	41 72%	34 76%	75 74%
Not heard of	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q33: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Your City, Town or County
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted..	8 23%	4 21%	5 28%	9 30%	26 25%
Not contacted..	26 74%	15 79%	13 72%	21 70%	75 74%
Not heard of	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have							
contacted..	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	1 17%	0 0%	5 8%	8 8%
Not							
contacted..	10 83%	5 100%	3 100%	5 83%	14 100%	53 90%	90 91%
Not heard of	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have			
contacted..	4 13%	4 6%	8 8%
Not			
contacted..	27 84%	66 94%	93 91%
Not heard of	1 3%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	0 0%	3 18%	1 6%	4 7%	8 8%
Not						
contacted..	4 80%	8 100%	14 82%	16 94%	50 93%	92 91%
Not heard of	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	1 6%	7 8%	8 8%
Not			
contacted..	15 94%	78 91%	93 91%
Not heard of	0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	6 11%	2 4%	8 8%
Not contacted...	50 88%	43 96%	93 91%
Not heard of	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q34: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The County or State Health Department
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted...	3 9%	2 11%	0 0%	3 10%	8 8%
Not contacted...	31 89%	17 89%	18 100%	27 90%	93 91%
Not heard of	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL	
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K		
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have								
contacted..	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	3 3%	
Not								
contacted..	7 58%	5 100%	2 67%	3 50%	6 43%	37 63%	60 61%	
Not heard of	3 25%	0 0%	1 33%	3 50%	8 57%	19 32%	34 34%	
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	2 2%	
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100	

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL	
	Yes	No		
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT: Have				
contacted..	3 9%	0 0%	3 3%	
Not				
contacted..	17 53%	44 63%	61 60%	
Not heard of	12 38%	24 34%	36 35%	
Don't know..	0 0%	2 3%	2 2%	
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100	

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:						
Have						
contacted..	0 0%	1 13%	0 0%	0 0%	2 4%	3 3%
Not						
contacted..	2 40%	4 50%	9 53%	10 59%	35 65%	60 59%
Not heard of	3 60%	3 38%	6 35%	7 41%	17 31%	36 36%
Don't know..	0 0%	0 0%	2 12%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
LEVEL OF INVOLVE- MENT:			
Have			
contacted..	0 0%	3 3%	3 3%
Not			
contacted..	10 63%	51 59%	61 60%
Not heard of	6 38%	30 35%	36 35%
Don't know..	0 0%	2 2%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:			
Have contacted...	1 2%	2 4%	3 3%
Not contacted...	33 58%	28 62%	61 60%
Not heard of	22 39%	14 31%	36 35%
Don't know...	1 2%	1 2%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Q35: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
The Illinois River Watershed Partnership
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
LEVEL OF INVOLVEMENT:					
Have contacted...	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	2 7%	3 3%
Not contacted...	24 69%	12 63%	13 72%	12 40%	61 60%
Not heard of	11 31%	7 37%	4 22%	14 47%	36 35%
Don't know...	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 7%	2 2%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:							
Yes.....	0 0%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 5%	4 4%
No/DK.....	12 100	4 80%	3 100	6 100	14 100	56 95%	95 96%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:			
Yes.....	0 0%	4 6%	4 4%
No/DK.....	32 100	66 94%	98 96%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of
Any Other Source
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:						
Yes.....	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	3 6%	4 4%
No/DK.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	16 94%	51 94%	97 96%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of Any Other Source
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL	
	Yes	No		
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:				
Yes.....	0 0%	4 5%	4	4%
No/DK.....	16 100%	82 95%	98	96%
TOTAL.....	16 100%	86 100%	102	100%

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of Any Other Source
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL	
	Male	Female		
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:				
Yes.....	2 4%	2 4%	4	4%
No/DK.....	55 96%	43 96%	98	96%
TOTAL.....	57 100%	45 100%	102	100%

Q36: For Help On Water Issues Have Contacted or Heard of Any Other Source
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL	
	72701	72703	72764	Other		
CONTACTED OR HEARD OF:						
Yes.....	1 3%	1 5%	1 6%	1 3%	4	4%
No/DK.....	34 97%	18 95%	17 94%	29 97%	98	96%
TOTAL.....	35 100%	19 100%	18 100%	30 100%	102	100%

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Area of Residence Size

	Area of Residence Size						TOTAL
	Rural area	<1K	1K-5K	5K-20K	20K-50K	>50K	
SOURCE:							
Newspapers...	11 92%	5 100	2 67%	6 100	12 86%	46 78%	82 83%
Magazines...	3 25%	0 0%	1 33%	0 0%	2 14%	11 19%	17 17%
Radio.....	6 50%	3 60%	3 100	3 50%	8 57%	33 56%	56 57%
TV.....	9 75%	3 60%	3 100	4 67%	13 93%	47 80%	79 80%
Community newsletters	2 17%	0 0%	2 67%	1 17%	3 21%	15 25%	23 23%
Internet, social media.....	1 8%	0 0%	1 33%	3 50%	6 43%	30 51%	41 41%
Local gathering places.....	5 42%	3 60%	3 100	1 17%	9 64%	28 47%	49 49%
Farm Bureau, County Extension Service....	2 17%	2 40%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	6 6%
Local schools....	4 33%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	1 7%	4 7%	10 10%
Other source	2 17%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 21%	8 14%	13 13%
No source mentioned..	2 17%	1 20%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	8 14%	11 11%
	0 0%	1 20%	2 67%	0 0%	0 0%	3 5%	6 6%
TOTAL.....	12 100	5 100	3 100	6 100	14 100	59 100	99 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	25 78%	57 81%	82 80%
Magazines...	6 19%	11 16%	17 17%
Radio.....	16 50%	42 60%	58 57%
TV.....	24 75%	56 80%	80 78%
Community newsletters	7 22%	16 23%	23 23%
Internet, social media.....	12 38%	29 41%	41 40%
Local gathering places.....	10 31%	39 56%	49 48%
Farm Bureau. County Extension	4 13%	2 3%	6 6%
Service....	6 19%	4 6%	10 10%
Local schools....	5 16%	8 11%	13 13%
Other source	4 13%	7 10%	11 11%
No source mentioned..	1 3%	6 9%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	32 100	70 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Respondent's Age

	Age					TOTAL
	18-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65 Plus	
SOURCE:						
Newspapers..	3 60%	7 88%	16 94%	12 71%	43 80%	81 80%
Magazines...	0 0%	1 13%	2 12%	4 24%	10 19%	17 17%
Radio.....	1 20%	5 63%	12 71%	10 59%	29 54%	57 56%
TV.....	3 60%	6 75%	13 76%	14 82%	43 80%	79 78%
Community						
newsletters	1 20%	4 50%	6 35%	2 12%	10 19%	23 23%
Internet,						
social						
media.....	4 80%	7 88%	10 59%	9 53%	10 19%	40 40%
Local						
gathering						
places.....	3 60%	6 75%	8 47%	6 35%	26 48%	49 49%
Farm Bureau.	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	1 6%	4 7%	6 6%
County						
Extension						
Service....	0 0%	0 0%	3 18%	1 6%	6 11%	10 10%
Local						
schools....	1 20%	3 38%	5 29%	1 6%	3 6%	13 13%
Other source	1 20%	0 0%	1 6%	3 18%	6 11%	11 11%
No source						
mentioned..	0 0%	0 0%	1 6%	0 0%	6 11%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	5 100	8 100	17 100	17 100	54 100	101 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

	School Age Child in Home		TOTAL
	Yes	No	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	15 94%	67 78%	82 80%
Magazines...	2 13%	15 17%	17 17%
Radio.....	12 75%	46 53%	58 57%
TV.....	12 75%	68 79%	80 78%
Community newsletters	6 38%	17 20%	23 23%
Internet, social media.....	11 69%	30 35%	41 40%
Local gathering places.....	11 69%	38 44%	49 48%
Farm Bureau County Extension Service....	1 6%	9 10%	10 10%
Local schools....	5 31%	8 9%	13 13%
Other source	0 0%	11 13%	11 11%
No source mentioned..	1 6%	6 7%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	16 100	86 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Respondent's Sex

	Sex		TOTAL
	Male	Female	
SOURCE:			
Newspapers..	47 82%	35 78%	82 80%
Magazines...	10 18%	7 16%	17 17%
Radio.....	35 61%	23 51%	58 57%
TV.....	44 77%	36 80%	80 78%
Community			
newsletters	14 25%	9 20%	23 23%
Internet,			
social			
media.....	26 46%	15 33%	41 40%
Local			
gathering			
places.....	29 51%	20 44%	49 48%
Farm Bureau.	4 7%	2 4%	6 6%
County			
Extension			
Service....	6 11%	4 9%	10 10%
Local			
schools....	9 16%	4 9%	13 13%
Other source	7 12%	4 9%	11 11%
No source			
mentioned..	2 4%	5 11%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	57 100	45 100	102 100

Washington County, Unweighted Sample

Q37-Q47: Source of Information About Community
By Respondent's Zip Code

	Zip Code				TOTAL
	72701	72703	72764	Other	
SOURCE:					
Newspapers...	29 83%	16 84%	14 78%	23 77%	82 80%
Magazines...	8 23%	0 0%	6 33%	3 10%	17 17%
Radio.....	21 60%	8 42%	11 61%	18 60%	58 57%
TV.....	27 77%	13 68%	15 83%	25 83%	80 78%
Community newsletters	10 29%	0 0%	4 22%	9 30%	23 23%
Internet, social media.....	15 43%	7 37%	6 33%	13 43%	41 40%
Local gathering places.....	24 69%	3 16%	11 61%	11 37%	49 48%
Farm Bureau. County Extension Service....	2 6%	2 11%	1 6%	5 17%	10 10%
Local schools....	2 6%	0 0%	4 22%	7 23%	13 13%
Other source No source mentioned..	7 20%	1 5%	0 0%	3 10%	11 11%
	2 6%	2 11%	1 6%	2 7%	7 7%
TOTAL.....	35 100	19 100	18 100	30 100	102 100

VERBATIM RESPONSES

9. Is there another water problem you would like to see addressed?

9A1. (IF YES) What is it? RECORD

Benton County

*Run-off from poultry houses. Watershed partnership has a 3 month study being done about the chemicals put in the water.

*No trash from development in water.

*Lake is getting low.

*Water level low. Possibly from excessive demand.

*Water pressure needs to come up. Northwest Arkansas is growing faster than they can keep up with.

*Improving taste of the water.

*Water makes us sick every time we drink it.

*Fluoride in the water.

*Take fluoride out of the water.

*Excessive applications of fertilizers for the lawns. Treatments put on too often.

*Water purification system needs to be upgraded.

*Check the chlorine used in the water.

*Would like to get rid of well and get on Beaver Lake. Live ½ mile from lake.

Madison County

*People putting chicken litter and it runs into the water.

*Agricultural hog farming by the Buffalo River by ADQ, and run-off from chicken houses.

*Chicken waste going into streams – very serious.

*Would like to see trash, chicken feces and other problems with Beaver Lake addressed.

*Plants waste into streams.

*Landfills running off into lake.

*Detergent in the water – pollutants run into the lake – chicken feces in the lake.

*The dirt road run-off.

*They will not clean the erosion from the water bank in the dry seasons.

*Forest service is building roads and run-off destroys roads. Four wheelers are a big problem for erosion.

*Too much land clearing causing run-off to quick and lots of damage.

*Gravel out of creeks and rivers.

*Chemicals and pesticides running in the lake. Fracking under ground to release gas.

*Developers in Benton County wanted to build 15 story condos and bulldozed all vegetation. But they could not use the land for what they wanted and left it. Sends run-off. Sewer system would not go out there. Septic system was supposed to be self-contained.

*Dredging the lake and clearing the vegetation.

*People change their oil and flush unused drugs into the lakes and streams.

*Chemical spray used by power companies to clear easements around utility poles and so forth.

*Clean up.

*Keep trash out of the water.

*Getting more water in. The Corps of Engineers drain it too much. Along with the drought.

*Need more rain.

*Lack of water.

*Need a bigger watershed feeding into Beaver Lake.

*Drought.

*Too much fluoride in the water.

*Fluoride in the water, water pressure.

*Don't put fluoride in it.

*The amount of fluoride in the water.

*Metallic smell and taste.

*Water quality, water tastes awful.

*Treatment used has a bad odor, smells like formaldehyde.

*Would like to have city water.

*More availability of water for people in rural areas. (People in rural areas would like city water but it's unavailable due the amount of people in the rural areas or off country roads.)

*Too much chlorine.

*The amount of chlorine used.

*Improved filtration for the drinking water.

*Testing more often.

- *Weakened laws regulating minerals going into the water.
- *Conservation.
- *Water bill is too high.
- *Water is getting algae because of low levels.
- *Hydraulic fracking is an extremely serious problem, number one issue, extremely widespread.
- *Not much chlorine in the water.
- *Don't dam up every creek and stream.
- *State parks and camping grounds.

Washington County

- *The increase in population will affect the availability of well water.
- *Water shortage should be addressed.
- *Take too much water from the lake. Let the lake get back to normal.
- *Let's not be selling when our area should run short and need it.
- *More conservation, water saving devices.

- * Stream bank erosions.
- *Factory and farm run-off and new development near water sources.
- *Pig farm run-off.

- *Bad taste.
- *Tastes awful.

24. Do you use Beaver Lake for any other purpose?

24A1. (IF YES) What purpose is that? RECORD

Benton County

*Economic growth

*For visitors and from visitors needs, more of an expression on the lake to show it's a Natural State beauty.

*Walk the dog.

*Look at it for its ecstatic beauty.

*Live on it, have a house on the lake.

Madison County

*Painting of scenery and photos.

*New buyers.

36. Have you contacted or heard of any other source regarding water issues?

36A1. (IF YES) What source is that? RECORD

Madison County

*Sierra Club

*Mount Olive Water Association, Madison County Water Association.

*The power company and Dow regarding chemicals found in my spring from overspray.

*The plant that is off the river erodes.

*Can't take gravel out of the river.

*A J.P. who was part of Kings River being designated as a water resource.

*Kings River Watershed Partnership.

*Have contacted NRCS.

*Contacted a lawyer in Huntsville.

*Stream beds need cleaning out so water will flow.

Washington County

*Ozark Society

*Washington Water Authority

*EPA

47. Do you have any other source of information?

47A1. (IF YES) What is that? RECORD

Benton County

- *Friends. (2)
- *Hearsay.
- *Word of mouth.
- *Neighbors.
- *Co-workers and family.
- *From family members in the school district.
- *City and county government acquaintances.

- *Property owners association.
- *Illinois River Watershed Partnership.
- *Benton County Community Coalition.
- *Board meetings once a month.

- *Mail.

Madison County

- *Neighbors. (3)
- *Family and friends.
- *Gossip.
- *Telephone calls from neighbors, friends and family.
- *Wife.
- *My wife is a walking newspaper.
- *My husband is on the city council.

- *Legislature and other counties.

Washington County

*Word of mouth. (2)

*Relatives.

*Gym.

*Friends and family members.

*Daughter.

*Ozark Society, Sierra Club

*At the University of Arkansas, city hall and Fayetteville.

*City administrators' office.

*Monthly magazine of the rural electric cooperative.