Opinion Research Associates, Inc.



1922 N. Monroe St. Little Rock, AR 72207 Tel: 501-663-2414 Cell: 501-944-5772 Fax: 501-664-0259 oakleafs@aol.com

A Survey of

Benton, Madison and Washington Counties

Presented to: Beaver Watershed Alliance

November 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY5
MASTER QUESTIONNAIRE
BENTON COUNTY, MADISON AND WASHINGTON COUNTIESWEIGHTED AGGREGATE SAMPLE CROSS-TABULATIONS38
BENTON COUNTY UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE CROSS-TABULATIONS75
MADISON COUNTY UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE CROSS-TABULATIONS112
WASHINGTON COUNTY UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE CROSS-TABULATIONS 149
UNWEIGHTED AGGREGATE SAMPLE BY ZIP CODE CROSS-TABULATIONS186
VERBATIM RESPONSES

INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

Opinion Research Associates, Inc., interviewed by telephone 400 heads of household in Benton, Madison, and Washington Counties in Arkansas, closely following the methodology of a previous survey in the same area by ORA in 2013. As in the previous survey Benton and Washington Counties were represented by Zip Codes provided by the client as follows:

72631 **Benton** 72632 Benton

72711 Benton

72732 Benton 72738

Benton

72745 **Benton**

72756 Benton

72758 **Benton**

72764 **Benton**

72701 Washington

72703 Washington

72704 Washington

72727 Washington

72730 Washington 72753 Washington

72756 Washington

72764 Washington

72774 Washington

72959 Washington

Telephone numbers were selected at random from lists of registered voters and respondents were screened so as to speak with a household head. Registered voters were sampled because voter files contain cell phone numbers voluntarily submitted by voters. Interviews were conducted October 23 – November 6, 2017. The sample was drawn from the three counties as follows: Benton County, 100 respondents; Madison County 200 respondents; and Washington County 100 respondents. The total three-county area was represented by a sample of 400 respondents weighted so that each county was represented by the appropriate proportion of the sample according to its population. In the weighted sample Benton County amounts to 51% of the sample, Madison County to 3%, and Washington County to 46% of the sample. The margin of error for the aggregate weighted sample of 400 cases is approximately ±5 percentage points at the 95% level of confidence. The two county samples of 100 respondents each have margins of error of approximately ± 10 percentage points, and the county sample of 200 respondents has a margin of error of approximately ± 7 percentage points, also at the 95% level of confidence. Findings are rounded to the nearest percentage point and may not add up 100% owing to rounding error.

The Master Questionnaire included below contains findings from both the 2013 and 2017 surveys to allow comparisons of similar items.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- The most common use of Beaver Lake is for drinking water, indicated by 87% of respondents. In Washington 90% said they use it for drinking water, in Benton County 84% said they use it for drinking water, and in Madison County 75% use it for drinking water.
- 54% of respondents said they fish in Beaver Lake (55%, Benton County; 53%, Washington County; 47%, Madison County.
- 48% of respondents said they use the lake for boating, etc. (Benton County 55%; Madison County 49%; and Washington County 41%).
- Camping is close behind at 46%, 51% for residents of Washington County, 43% for Madison County residents, and 42% for Benton County residents.
- Hiking was mentioned by 40% of respondents (Washington County 44%; Benton County 37%; and Madison County 34%).
- 23% of respondents said they go bird-watching at Beaver Lake. Madison County leads bird-watching at 29%, followed by Benton County at 27%, with Washington County at 19%.
- Finally, 14% of respondents use the lake for farming and ranching; 21% of Madison County residents followed by 14% and 13% of Madison and Benton Counties respectively.
- When asked to rate the importance of 8 issues for Beaver Lake, the issue rated most important was trash in lakes and streams. 95% rated trash important (63% very important and 32% important). Overall importance of this issue ranged from 95% to 98% across the three counties.

- The second most important issue in the area is poorly maintained septic systems. 91% of respondents area-wide rated this issue as important (52% very important; 39% important). In Benton County 94% rated it important overall, in Washington County 88% rated it important overall, and in Madison County 87% rated the issue as important overall.
- Wastewater treatment plant discharge to streams is important to 89% of respondents area-wide (very important to 62%). In Washington County and Madison County 66% rated the issue as very important, while in in Benton County 58% rated this issue very important.
- Run-off from new developments also was rated important by 89% of respondents area-wide. The issue was rated very important to 43%. Run-off was rated very important/important by 90% in Benton County, 83% in Madison County, and 89% in Washington County.
- Agricultural run-off was the fifth most important issue with 82% of respondents rating it very important/important, with opinion equally divided between the two response categories. Ratings of very important/important is 80% in Benton County, 82% in Madison County, and 85% in Washington County.
- 79% of respondents area-wide rated pollution from recreational activities as either very important (28%) or important (51%), sixth overall. This issue was rated as very important/important by 82% in Benton County, 77% in Madison County, and 75% in Washington County.
- Land use changes rated seventh in overall importance at 71% (54% important, 17% very important). By county, overall importance rated 68% in Benton County, 72% in Madison County, and 73% in Washington County.

- Finally, area-wide, the least important issue of those tested was seen to be stream bank erosion. Nevertheless, A strong majority of respondents, 68%, rated this issue as either important (48%) or very important (20%). 64% of respondents in Benton and Madison Counties rated the issue as very important/important, as did 74% of Washington County respondents.
- 17% of respondents said there is a water problem they would like to see addressed.
- 57% of respondents agreed with the statement that water quality in Beaver Lake is endangered, 11% strongly agree and 46% otherwise agreed. In Madison County 63% of respondents agreed while in Washington County 60% agreed. In Benton County 53% agreed with the statement.
- 53% of respondents disagreed with the statement "There is nothing I can do that affects the cost of treated Water from Beaver Lake." 7% strongly disagreed and 46% otherwise disagreed. 42% of respondents agreed with the statement (3% strongly and 38% otherwise. Disagreement with the statement was heaviest in Washington where 58% disagreed and 35% agreed. In Madison County 55% disagreed and 42% agreed while in Benton County 47% disagreed and 48% agreed.
- 75% of respondents disagreed that "Stream bank erosion has no impact on recreational use of Beaver Lake." 11% disagreed strongly and 64% disagreed otherwise. 17% agreed with the statement. Majorities in each county disagreed with the statement: 77% to 16% in Washington County, 75% to 19% in Madison County, and 74% to 16% in Benton County.
- Respondents were nearly unanimous agreement that "We need to protect habitat for wildlife and fish," 49% agreed strongly and 48% otherwise agree (97% total agreement). In Benton County there was 99%, in Madison County there was 98% agreement, and in Washington county there was 97% agreement.
- 73% of respondents agreed that preventing pollution is less than the cost of treating
 polluted water, 23% agreed strongly and 50% otherwise agreed. 15% disagreed. In
 Madison County there was 78% agreement, in Washington County 75%, and in Benton
 County 69%.

- There was 99% agreement that "Beaver Lake is important to me and my family," 52% agreed strongly and 47% otherwise agreed. In Benton and Washington Counties 99% of respondents agreed with the statement, and in Madison County 96% agreed.
- 48% of respondents said they had heard of the Beaver Watershed Alliance. Recognition was highest in Benton County (71%), followed by Madison (45%) and Washington Counties (22%).
- Of the 190 respondents who had heard of BWA, 37% said they been in contact with the Alliance (18% of the area-wide sample). Contact was highest in Washington County (45%), followed by Madison County (36%) and Benton County (35%).

MASTER QUESTIONNAIRE

NORTHWEST ARKANSAS SURVEY 2017

(Aggregate Area Findings Are Weighted According To Each County's Population Proportion)

Hello. My name is _____ with Opinion Research Associates in Little Rock. We don't sell or attempt to sell anything – we are a professional research firm. Tonight we are doing a brief survey about people's opinions on issues related to water quality in northwest Arkansas. May I please speak with a head of household

First of all, how do you and your family use Beaver Lake, its water and its tributaries, such as the White River system? Please answer yes or no to each of the following:

1. Yes 2. No (*DNR*) 9. Don't know

Do you use Beaver Lake for...

(ROTATE Q1 - Q7)

1. Drinking water

	2013	2017
	%	%
1. Yes	72	87
2. No	26	13
(DNR) 9. Don't know	2	

Q1: Use Beaver Lake for Drinking Water
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence		
		Madison 	Washington +
USE LAKE	84 84%	 150 75%	 90 90%
TOTAL	100 100%	200 100%	100 100%

2. Farming and Ranching (2013: Irrigation)

	2013	2017
	%	%
1. Yes	16	14
2. No	82	86
(DNR) 9. Don't know	2	

Q2: Use Beaver Lake for Farming and Ranching
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence		
	Benton	•	Washington
USE LAKE FOR:	 		
Yes	•	42 21% 158 79%	14 14% 86 86%
 TOTAL	l	200 100%	100 100%

3. Fishing

	2013	2017
	%	%
. Yes	53	54
2. No	47	46
(DNR) 9. Don't know		

Q3: Use Beaver Lake for Fishing
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence		
	Benton	Madison	Washington
USE LAKE	56 56%	 93 47%	 53 53%
TOTAL	100 100%	200 100%	100 100% +

4. Boating/sailing/canoeing/kayaking/swimming

2013 2017 % % 1. Yes 48 48 2. No 52 52

(DNR) 9. Don't know

Q4: Use Beaver Lake for Boating, etc.
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

+	County of Residence		
	Benton	•	Washington
USE LAKE FOR: Yes No/DK	55 55%	 97 49%	
TOTAL	100 100%	200 100% 	100 100%

5. Camping

2013 2017 % % 1. Yes 30 46 2. No 70 54

(DNR) 9. Don't know

Q5: Use Beaver Lake for Camping
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence		
	Benton	Madison	Washington
USE LAKE FOR:		i I	
Yes No/DK			
TOTAL 	100 100%	 200 100% -+	 100 100% +

6. Hiking

2013 2017 % % 1. Yes 36 40 2. No 64 60 (DNR) 9. Don't know

> Q6: Use Beaver Lake for Hiking By Respondent's County (Unweighted Sample)

+	County of Residence		
	Benton		Washington
USE LAKE FOR: Yes No/DK	63 63%	68 34% 132 66% 200 100%	56 56%

7. Bird-watching

2013 2017 % % 1. Yes 21 23 2. No 79 77 (DNR) 9. Don't know

Q7: Use Beaver Lake for Bird-watching
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

+	County of Residence		
	Benton	'	Washington
USE LAKE FOR: Yes	27 27%	 57 29%	
TOTAL	100 100%	200 100%	100 100%

8. Do you use Beaver Lake for any other purpose?

(DNR) 9. Don't know

Q8: Use Beaver Lake For Other Purpose
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence		
	Benton		Washington
USE LAKE FOR:	j		İ

8A1. (IF YES) What is that? (RECORD:)

No responses offered.

What are your views on major issues affecting drinking water that comes from Beaver Lake. Please tell me how important you think the following issues are. Is each issue very important, important, not too important, or not at all important?

Here's the first one. How important is each of the following issues for Beaver Lake?*

*In 2013 respondents were asked to rate issues as on seriousness of problem: very serious, somewhat seriousness, not too serious, not at all serious.

CODE Q9-Q16:

- 1. Very important
- 2. Important
- 3. Not too important
- 4. Not at all important

(DNR)5. Don't know/No response

(ROTATE Q9-Q16)

9. Trash in lakes and streams

		2013	2017
		%	%
1.	Very important	33	63
2.	Important	27	32
3.	Not too important	21	2
4.	Not at all important	11	1
5.	Don't know/No response	7	1

Q9: How Important an Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams

By Respondent's County

(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence										
	Bent	con		Mad:	ison	•					
HOW						1					
IMPORTANT:											
Very	57	57%		140	70%	70	70%				
Important	38	38%		55	28%	26	26%				
Not too	1	1%		3	2%	4	4%				
Not at all	2	2%		1	1%	0	0%				
Don't know	2	2%		1	1%	0	0%				
TOTAL	100	100%		200	100%	100	100%				

10. Wastewater treatment plant discharge to streams

		2013	2017
		%	%
1.	Very important	24	62
2.	Important	25	26
3.	Not too important	18	9
4.	Not at all important	18	1
5.	Don't know/No response	14	3

Q10: How Important an Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge to Streams
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

++	County of Residence									
+	Bent	on	- + · - 4 -	Mad	ison	Washi	ngton			
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too	58 33 6 0	58% 33% 6% 0%	-+- 	131 46 13 2	66% 23% 7% 1%	 66 19 12				
Don't know	3	3%	 	8	4%	2	2% 			
TOTAL	100	100%	 -+-	200	100%	100	100% 			

11. Run-off from new developments

		2013	2017
		%	%
1.	Very important	20	46
2.	Important	30	43
3.	Not too important	24	6
4.	Not at all important	18	2
5.	Don't know/No response	8	3

Q11: How Important an Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Respondent's County (Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence									
	Benton			Mad		Washington				
HOW										
IMPORTANT:										
Very	44	44%		72	36%	49	49%			
Important	46	46%		93	47%	40	40%			
Not too	8	8%		19	10%	3	3%			
Not at all	0	0%		5	3%	4	4%			
Don't know	2	2%		11	6%	4	4%			
i i			Ĺ							
TOTAL	100	100%	Í - ± -	200	100%	100	100%			

12. Agricultural (2013: and livestock) run-off

		2013	2017
		%	%
1.	Very important	29	41
2.	Important	29	41
3.	Not too important	25	13
4.	Not at all important	14	3
5.	Don't know/No response	5	1

Q12: How Important an Issue: Agriculture Run-off
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

		County of Residence									
	Bent	con		Mad							
HOW						 					
IMPORTANT: Very	41	41%		80	40%		42	42%	ļ		
Important Not too		39% 15%		84 26	42% 13%	'	43 11	43% 11%			
Not at all				4	2%		4	4%			
Don't know	2	2%		6	3%	1	0	0%			
TOTAL 	100	100%		200	100%	 1 +	00	100%	 		

13. Poorly-maintained septic systems

		2013	2017
		%	%
1.	Very important	24	52
2.	Important	18	39
3.	Not too important	24	6
4.	Not at all important	20	1
5.	Don't know/No response	12	3

Q13: How Important an Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

+											
į		County of Residence									
	Bent	con	Washi	ngton							
+			-+ 			+					
IMPORTANT:			i			İ					
Very	54	54%		104	52%	49	49%				
Important	40	40%		69	35%	39	39%				
Not too	4	4%		18	9%	7	7%				
Not at all	0	0%		2	1%	1	1%				
Don't know	2	2%		7	4%	4	4%				
TOTAL	100	100%		200	100%	100	100%				

14. Stream bank erosion*

*2013 item was: Preserving and restoring stream bank vegetation.

		2013	2017
		%	%
1.	Very important	25	20
2.	Important	24	48
3.	Not too important	27	22
4.	Not at all important	15	1
5.	Don't know/No response	10	8

Q14: How Important an Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

+	County of Residence									
	Benton			Madison		Washington				
HOW										
IMPORTANT: Very	14	14%	1	38	19%	1 27	27%			
Important	50	50%		85	43%	47	47%			
Not too	25	25%		57	29%	19	19%			
Not at all	2	2%		7	4%	0	0%			
Don't know	9	9%		13	7%	7	7%			
	100	100%		200	100%	100	100%			

15. Pollution from recreational activities

	2013	2017
	%	%
1. Very important	16	28
2. Important	28	51
3. Not too important	31	16
4. Not at all important	17	5
5. Don't know/No response	7	1

Q15: How Important an Issue: Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence									
	Benton			Mad	ison	Washington				
HOW										
IMPORTANT: Very	27	27%		52	26%	1 29				
Important Not too	55 13	55% 13%		102 38	51% 19%	46				
Not at all	4		İ	6	3%	6				
Don't know	1	1%	1	2	1%	(0%			
TOTAL	100	100%		200	100%	100	100%			

16. Land use changes

		2013	2017
		%	%
1.	Very important	NA	17
2.	Important	NA	54
3.	Not too important	NA	16
4.	Not at all important	NA	4
5.	Don't know/No response	NA	10

Q16: How Important an Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence								
Benton Madison					Washi	ngton			
		- + 							
	16%	į	33	17%	1 17	17%			
17	17%		28	14%	1 15	15%			
	6% 9%		2 27	1% 14%	1 10	2% 10%			
100	100%	1	200	100%	1 100	100%			
	16 52 17 6	Benton 16 16% 52 52% 17 17% 6 6% 9 9%	Benton	Benton Madi	Benton Madison	Benton Madison Washi:			

17. Is there a water problem you would like to see addressed?

2013 2017 % % 1. Yes (*PROBE BELOW*) 12 17 2. No/don't know 88 83

Q17: Other Water Problem Would Like to See Addressed
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence								
	Benton	Washington							
HOW IMPORTANT: Yes No/DK	19 19% 81 81%	 27 14% 173 87%							

17A1. (IF YES) What is it? (RECORD:)

1

Now I am going to read some statements people make as reasons to address water quality issues. As I read each one, please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each statement. Just tell me if you...

- 1. Strongly agree
- 2. Agree
- 3. Disagree
- 4. Strongly disagree
- (DNR) 5. Don't believe statement
- (DNR) 9. Don't know/No response

Here's the first one, do you agree or disagree that...

(ROTATE Q18-Q23)

18. Water quality in Beaver Lake is endangered.

2	013	2017
	%	%
1. Strongly agree	29	11
2. Agree	27	46
3. Disagree	17	30
4. Strongly disagree	18	2
(DNR) 5. Don't believe statement	1	0
(DNR) 9. Don't know/No response	7	12

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

+	County of Residence								
 	Bent	on	Mad	ison	' Washington +				
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			 		 				
agree		12% 41%	19 105		1 10 1 50	10% 50%			
Disagree Strongly	34	34%	52 	26%	25 	25%			
disagree Don't	1	1%	5 	3%	2 	2%			
believe Don't know	-	0% 12%	1	1 0	0 13	0% 13%			
 TOTAL +	100	100%	 200 +	100%	100	100%			

19. There is nothing I can do that affects the cost of treated water from Beaver Lake.

2	013	2017
	%	%
1. Strongly agree	28	3
2. Agree	18	39
3. Disagree	21	46
4. Strongly disagree	28	7
(DNR) 5. Don't believe statement	0	1
(DNR) 9. Don't know/No response	5	5

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking

By Respondent's County

(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence								
į į	Benton			Mad	ison	Washington			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:									
Strongly agree Agree	4 4 4	4% 44%	 	0 83	0% 42%	 1 34	1% 34%		
Disagree Strongly	43	43%	 	97	49%	48			
disagree Don't	4	4%	 	11	6%	10 	10%		
believe Don't know	1 4	1% 4%		1	1% 4%	0 7	0왕 7왕		
TOTAL 	100	100%	 +-:	200	100%	 100 +	100% 		

20. Stream bank erosion has no impact on recreational use of Beaver Lake.

		2013	2017
		%	%
1. S	Strongly agree	14	1
2. A	Agree	17	16
3. I	Disagree	17	64
4. S	Strongly disagree	43	11
(DNR) 5.	Don't believe statement	1	1
(DNR) 9.]	Don't know/No respons	se 9	7

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's County (Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence									
	Bent	M	lad:	ison	Washington					
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly			+ 			+ 	 			
agree	0 16	0% 16%		2 35	1% 18%	1 1 15	1% 15%			
Disagree Strongly disagree	65 9	65% 9%	İ	30	60% 15%	64 13	64% 13%			
Don't believe	2	2%	 	0	0%	0	0%			
Don't know TOTAL	100	8%	 2	13	7% 100%	7 100	7% 100%			
1101771	100	1000	1 Z		1000	1 100	T O O O			

21. We need to protect habitat for wildlife and fish.

	2013	2017
	%	%
1. Strongly agree	82	49
2. Agree	15	48
3. Disagree	1	1
4. Strongly disagree	0	0
(DNR) 5. Don't believe statement	0	0
(DNR) 9. Don't know/No respons	se 2	1

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Respondent's County (Unweighted Sample)

(onweighted bampie)									
	County of Residence								
	Benton Madison Washingto						-	 -	
AGREE/ IDISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Disagree	54 45 0	54%	 	108 88 2	54% 44%	 	44 53 1	44% 53% 1% 2%	
TOTAL	_	100%		_	100%	 -+-	_	100%	

22. The cost of preventing pollution is less than the cost of treating polluted water.

	2013	2017
	%	%
1. Strongly agree	NA	23
2. Agree	NA	50
3. Disagree	NA	14
4. Strongly disagree	NA	1
(DNR) 5. Don't believe statement	NA	1
(DNR) 9. Don't know/No respons	e NA	12

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

++	County of Residence								
+	+++ Benton Madison Washington								
++	вепт		 -+-			W -		19 COII 	- 4
AGREE/			Ì			İ			į
DISAGREE:									ļ
Strongly									ļ
agree	20	20%		56	28%		25	25%	
Agree	49	49%		100	50%		50	50%	-
Disagree	17	17%		21	11%		10	10%	
Strongly									-
disagree	0	0%		1	1%		1	1%	-
Don't									-
believe	1	1%		1	1%		2	2%	ļ
Don't know	13	13%		21	11%		12	12%	ļ
									ļ
TOTAL	100	100%		200	100%		100	100%	
++			-+-			+-			- 4

23. Beaver Lake is important to me and my family.

	2013	2017
	%	%
1. Strongly agree	82	52
2. Agree	16	47
3. Disagree	1	1
4. Strongly disagree	1	0
(DNR) 5. Don't believe statemer	nt 0	0
(DNR) 9. Don't know/No respon	ise 0	0

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

			_						
		County of Residence							
	Bent	Benton Madison Was							
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree	45 1	54% 45% 1% 0%		95 96 6 3	48% 48% 3% 2%	 51 49 0	49%		
TOTAL	100	100%		200	100%	100	100%		

24. The Beaver Watershed Alliance is a group involved in voluntary watershed protection. Have you heard of the Beaver Watershed Alliance?

		2017
		%
1.	Yes (PROBE BELOW)	48
2.	No/don't know	52

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

+	County of Residence							
	Benton Madison Washington							
HEARD OF	71 71%	 90 45%						
TOTAL	100 100%	200 100%	100 100% +					

24A1. (IF YES n=190) Have you been in contact with them?

		2017
		%
1.	Yes	37
2.	No/don't know	63

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

+	+		+					
	County of Residence							
	Benton Madison Washington							
CONTACTED	 		 					
Yes No/DK			10 45% 12 55%					
TOTAL	71 100%	। 90 100% +	 22 100% +					

Now we need just a few quick facts for purposes of analysis.

D1. In what city, town, community, or subdivision do you live? (*RECORD RESPONSE*)

D2. Do you own or rent property that is next to water?

		2013	2017
		%	%
1.	Yes	36	27
2.	No	64	73

(DNR)9. Don't know/Refused

D2: Respondent Owns or Rents Property Next to Water
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	County of Residence							
	Benton Madison Washington							
NEXT TO WATER: Yes		 87 44% 113 57%						
TOTAL	100 100%	200 100%	100 100% +					

D3. What is the primary occupation of the adults in your household? (*RECORD RESPONSE*)

D4. In what year were you born? (RECORD RESPONSE)

Age Group:

	2013	2017
	%	%
1. 18 to 24	0	5
2. 25-34	3	17
3. 35-44	7	14
4. 45-54	14	9
5. 55-64	21	22
6. 65 or over	54	27
(DNR)9. Don't know/No response	1	6

D4: Respondent's Age Group By Respondent's County

+							
	County of Residence						
	Benton			Madison		1	
AGE:			-+-				
18-24	4	4%	i	13	7%	6	6%
25-35	4	4%		20	10%	31	31%
35-44	3	3%		23	12%	26	26%
45-54	11	11%		38	19%	7	7%
55-64	36	36%		41	21%	7	7%
65+	39	39%		57	29%	13	13%
DK/Refused	3	3%		8	4%	10	10%
TOTAL	100	100%		200	100%	100	100%

D5. Do you have school age children living in your home?

2013 2017 % % 1. Yes 15 20 2. No 85 80

(DNR)9. Don't know/Refused

D5: Respondent Has School Age Child in Home By Respondent's County (Unweighted Sample)

4	\					
	County of Residence					
	•	Madison	•			
SCHOOL AGE CHILD IN HOME: Yes		 26 13% 174 87%				
TOTAL	100 100%	200 100%	100 100%			

D6. Finally, just to make sure we have a representative sample, could you tell me your race or ethnicity? Would you describe yourself as...

	2013	2017
	%	%
1. White or Caucasian	98	91
2. Black or African-American		1
3. Hispanic or Latino		1
4. Asian or Pacific Islander		2
5. American Indian or Native American		1
6. Other	2	0
(DNR)9. Don't know/Refused		4

D6: Respondent's Race
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

	County of Residence						
	Benton			Madison		Washington	
RACE:			i			İ	
White	92	92%		185	93%	89	89%
African-							
American	0	0%		2	1%	1	1%
Hispanic	2	2%		3	2%	0	0%
Asian	2	2%		1	1%	1	1%
Native Am	2	2%		2	1%	2	2%
Other	0	0%		0	0%	1	1%
DK/Refused	2	2%		7	4%	6	6%
1							
TOTAL	100	100%		200	100%	100	100%
++			-+-			+	+

THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR TIME, AND GOOD NIGHT!

OBSERVE AND CLASSIFY:

D7. Sex

	2013	2017
	%	%
1. Male	52	49
2. Female	48	51

D7: Respondent's Sex
By Respondent's County
(Unweighted Sample)

+		nty of Resid	
		'	Washington
SEX: Male Female			
TOTAL	100 100%	200 100%	100 100%

COUNTY CODE:

		Unwei	ghted	Weighted			
		n	%	n	%		
007	Benton County	100	25	203	51		
087	Madison County	200	50	13	3		
3143	Washington County	100	25	184	46		

BENTON, MADISON, AND WASHINGTON COUNTIES WEIGHTED AGGREGATE SAMPLE CROSSTABULATIONS

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

++					+	+
		erty	or Own Next ter		TO: 	FAL
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Ye	s	No	o	, +	+
USE LAKE					İ	i
FOR:			1			- 1
Drinking			1			1
water	88	83%	258	888	346	86%
Farm, ranch.	17	16%	38	13%	55	14%
Fishing	72	68%	146	50%	217	54%
Boating,			1			1
etc	68	64%	126	43%	193	48%
Camping	43	41%	141	48%	185	46%
Hiking	43	40%	118	40%	160	40%
Birding	26	24%	68	23%	93	23%
Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No use			1			1
mentioned	2	2%	2	1%	5	1%
			I			i
TOTAL	106	100	294	100	400	100
++			+		+	+

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Age (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			A(GE			TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
USE LAKE FOR: Drinking water	18 90%	 55 83%	 52 93%	 34 89%	 73 83%	 91 86%	
Farm, ranch. Fishing Boating,		15 23% 36 54%	6 11% 28 51%	9 23% 21 56%	10 12% 53 60%	9 8% 55 51%	53 14% 208 55%
etc	18 88%	29 44% 29 43% 31 46%	19 35% 28 51% 24 44%	27 71% 23 61% 20 54%	46 52% 37 42% 31 35%	45 42% 35 33% 33 30%	 180 48% 170 45% 151 40%
Hiking Birding Other No use	10 49%	4 6%	11 21%	9 23%	29 32%	25 23%	88 23% 0 0%
mentioned		0 0%	 0 0% 	 0 0% 	0 0% 0 0%	 2 2% 	3 1% 3 1%
TOTAL	20 100 	66 100 -+	55 100 +	38 100 +	89 100 +	107 100 +	375 100 ++

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

						ъ.			_
	Schoo 	l Age		Chil	ld ir	1	TOT	ΓAL	
	Ye	:s	 	No) 	į			
USE LAKE FOR: Drinking	 		 						111
water	64	80%	2	282	888		346	86%	
Farm, ranch.	12	15%		43	13%		55	14%	
Fishing	52	66%	1	165	51%		217	54%	1
Boating,	I		l			1			ı
etc	41	52%		152	47%	Ĺ	193	48%	İ
Camping	1 40	51%		144	45%	Ĺ	185	46%	i
Hiking		56%	1	116	36%	i	160	40%	i
Birding		12%	İ	84	26%	i	93	23%	i
Other	1 0	0%	ĺ	0	0%	Ĺ	0	0%	ĺ
No use	İ		İ			i			i
mentioned	2 	3%	 	3	1%	į	5	1%	İ
TOTAL	, 79 +	100	:	321	100		400	100	 -

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			Se:	x		+	TOT	ΓAL	-+
	Mai	le		Fema	ale				
USE LAKE FOR: Drinking			1 1 1			1			
water	169	87%		177	86%		346	86%	
Farm, ranch.	24	13%		31	15%		55	14%	
Fishing	118	61%		99	48%		217	54%	
Boating,									
etc	107	55%		87	42%		193	48%	
Camping	88	45%		97	47%		185	46%	
Hiking	74	38%		87	42%	1	160	40%	
Birding		21%		53	26%	1	93	23%	
Other	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	1
No use			-			1			
mentioned	2	1%	1	2	1%		5	1%	1
TOTAL	194	100	 -	206	100	-	400	100	 -+

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams

By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water

(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

1				-		٦,			- 1
	Prop	Rent perty Wa	t	Next er	to		ТО	ΓAL	
1	Υe	 ∋s	İ	No)	İ			
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	57 45 2 0	54% 42% 2% 0%		196	67% 28% 3% 1%		254 129	32% 2% 1%	
TOTAL	106	100	 -	294	100	 -	400	100	 -+

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

								A	AGE								I TO	TAL
	18-	-24			-35	-+- 					54			-64	, -	5+	+	
HOW						-+- 												
IMPORTANT:																	1	
Very	16	80%		53	80%		34	62%	2	3	61%		52	58%	64	60%	242	65%
Important	4	20%		11	17%		19	35%	1	5	39%		35	39%	33	30%	117	31%
Not too	0	0%		2	3%		2	3%	1	0	0%		0	0%	4	4%	8	2%
Not at all	0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	1	0	0%		0	0%	4	4%	4	1%
Don't know	0	0%		0	0%		0	0%		0	0%		2	2%	2	2%	4	1%
																	1	
TOTAL	20	100		66	100		55	100	3	8	100		89	100	107	100	375	100

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

I	Schoo	TO	ľAL						
		es	İ	No)	İ			
HOW			-+-			-+ 			
<pre>IMPORTANT: </pre>									
Very	54	68%		199	62%		254	63%	
<pre>Important </pre>	23	29%		105	33%		129	32%	
Not too	2	2%		8	2%		10	2%	
Not at all	0	0%		4	1%		4	1%	
Don't know	0	0%		4	1%		4	1%	
TOTAL	79	100		321	100	1	400	100	

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		S	_	x 	+		TO	ral	+
	Mal	le	İ	Fema	ale	İ			
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	102 82 4	53% 42% 2% 1%		151 47 6	73%	 	254 129 10 4		
TOTAL	194	100	İ	206	100	į	400	100	į

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

 	Prop	Rent perty Wa	/ l	Next er	to		TO!	ΓAL
	Υe	No	No					
HOW						-+-		
<pre>IMPORTANT: </pre>								
Very	67	63%		181	61%		248	62%
<pre>Important </pre>	17	16%		88	30%		105	26%
Not too	12	11%		23	8%		35	9%
Not at all	2	2%		0	0%		2	0%
Don't know	8	8%	1	2	1%	1	10	3%
						1		
TOTAL	106	100		294	100		400	100

Q10: How Important Issue: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams By Respondent's Age (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		<u> </u>	AC	ЭЕ 			TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
HOW		 	 				
IMPORTANT:	16 79%	 48 72%	 32 58%	 23 61%	 59 67%	54 51%	 233 62%
Very Important	4 20%	1 9 14%	32 306 14 25%	8 22%	39 676 27 308		97 26%
Not too	0 0%	9 14%	9 17%	4 11%	2 3%	10 10%	35 9%
Not at all	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	2 1%
Don't know	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 5%	0 0%	6 6%	8 2%
	20 100	 66 100	55 100	38 100	 89 100	107 100	375 100

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home

I	Schoo 	Но	TO:	ΓAL				
 -		es	1					
+ HOW	 							- 1
IMPORTANT:								
Very	49	62%		199	62%	248	62%	
Important	12	15%		93	29%	105	26%	
Not too	15	19%		20	6%	35	9%	
Not at all	2	2%		0	0%	2	0%	
Don't know	2	3%		8	3%	10	3%	
		100		001	100		100	
TOTAL	1 79	T 0 0	1	321	T00	400	100	

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

+----+

		_	le:				TO	ΓAL	
	Mai			Fema					
HOW IMPORTANT:									
Very	127	65%		121	59%		248	62%	
Important	46	24%		59	29%		105	26%	
Not too	17	9%		18	9%		35	9%	
Not at all	0	0%		2	1%		2	0%	
Don't know	4	2%		6	3%		10	3%	
TOTAL	194	100	1	206	100		400	100	

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	Prop	Rent perty Wa	Ne ter	xt	to		TOT	ΓAL
		es 		No				
HOW			+ 					
IMPORTANT:								
Very	49	47%	1	35	46%		184	46%
Important	44	41%	1	29	44%		173	43%
Not too	9	8%		14	5%		23	6%
Not at all	0	0%		7	3%		8	2%
Don't know	4	4%	 	8	3%		12	3%
TOTAL	106	100	2	94	100	Ì	400	100

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		AGE											l TO	TAL			
Ţ	18-	-24			-35					5-54		55-			5+		
HOW																	
IMPORTANT:						-					-						
Very	10	49%		34	52%		24	44%	20	54%		41	46%	43	41%	173	46%
<pre>Important </pre>	6	31%		25	37%		23	42%	15	5 40%		33	37%	57	53%	159	43%
Not too	2	11%		2	3%		4	7%	2	2 6%		13	14%	0	0%	23	6%
Not at all	0	0%		4	6%		4	7%	(0%		0	0%	0	0%	8	2%
Don't know	2	10%		2	3%		0	0%	(0%	1	2	2%	1 6	6%	12	3%
i			i			i			İ		i			İ		İ	
TOTAL	20	100	i	66	100	i	55	100	38	3 100	i	89	100	107	100	375	100

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			ъ.						
	Schoo	ol Aç Ho		TO	ΓAL				
		es							
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	 40 25 6	51% 32% 7% 7%		144 148	45% 46% 5% 1%		184 173 23 8 12	43% 6% 2%	
TOTAL	79 +	100	 -	321	100	 -+-	400	100	 -+

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			Se:			-+·	TO	ral	-+
	Ма	le	İ	Fema	ale	İ			 -
HOW IMPORTANT:									
Very	96	49%	Ì	89	43%	Ì	184	46%	ĺ
Important	75	38%		98	48%		173	43%	-
Not too	16	8%		7	3%		23	6%	
Not at all	6	3%		2	1%		8	2%	
Don't know	2	1%		10	5%		12	3%	
1									
TOTAL	194	100	1	206	100	1	400	100	-

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

4						<u>.</u>			
	Re Prope	Wat	N ce	ext r	to		ТОТ	ΓAL	
	Yes	3		No					 -
HOW IMPORTANT: Very	44 4	41%	 	122	41%			41%	
Important Not too Not at all	12 1	12% 11% 6%	 	40	41% 14% 3%	i	14	13%	1
Don't know TOTAL			 	4 294		1	400		 -
			-			Γ.			т

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		AGE										l TO	TOTAL				
T	18-	-24			00				45	-54		55-			5+		
HOW						-+- 					-+- 					+	
IMPORTANT:														1			
Very	8	40%		23	35%		24	44%	22	59%		33	37%	44	41%	154	41%
Important	10	50%		36	54%		23	42%	9	23%		39	44%	39	37%	156	42%
Not too	2	10%		6	9%		4	7%	5	12%		11	12%	20	19%	47	12%
Not at all	0	0%		2	3%		4	7%	2	6%	1	4	5%	2	2%	14	4%
Don't know	0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	1 0	0%	1	2	2%	2	2%	4	1%
			1						1		1			1		1	
TOTAL	20	100		66	100	ĺ	55	100	38	100	1	89	100	107	100	375	100

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	School	ol Aç Ho	Ì	TO	ΓAL				
	•	es	İ						
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	28 36 10	35% 46% 13% 7%		138 128 42 8	43%	 	166 164 52 14		
 TOTAL +	 79 +	100	 -+-	321	100	 - -	400	100	 -+

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		-	Se:	_			TO	ΓAL	-
į	Ма	le	İ	Fema		İ			į
HOW IMPORTANT:									-+
Very	82	42%	i	84	41%	i	166	41%	i
Important	68	35%	1	95	46%	1	164	41%	
Not too	34	18%		18	9%		52	13%	
Not at all	10	5%		4	2%		14	3%	
Don't know	0	0%		4	2%		4	1%	
1									
TOTAL	194	100		206	100	 -	400	100	 -+

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	Prop	perty Wa	y l ate	r Own Next er	to	+ +	ТО		-+
		es							į
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	43 4 0	0%	i		1%		207 157 22 2	39% 6% 0%	-+
TOTAL	106	100	 -+-	294	100	 - -	400	100	 -+

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

1		AGE															l TO	TOTAL	
-	18-	-24		25-				-44 -4		45-			55-	-64	6	5+	<u> </u>		
HOW			+ 			-+- 													
IMPORTANT:									1										
Very	16	80%		31	46%		21	37%	1	25	67%		57	64%	46	43%	195	52%	
<pre>Important </pre>	4	20%		25	37%		25	45%	1	12	33%		25	28%	55	51%	146	39%	
Not too	0	0%		4	6%		10	18%	1	0	0%		4	5%	2	2%	20	5%	
Not at all	0	0%		2	3%		0	0%	1	0	0%		0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	
Don't know	0	0%		6	8%		0	0%	1	0	0%		2	2%	4	4%	12	3%	
1																			
TOTAL	20	100		66	100		55	100	1	38	100		89	100	107	100	375	100	

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

I	Schoo	Н] 	TO	ΓAL				
		es							
++ HOW					-				
IMPORTANT:			i			i			
Very	43	54%	1	164	51%		207	52%	
Important	25	32%		132	41%		157	39%	
Not too	6	7%		16	5%		22	6%	
Not at all	2	2%		0	0%		2	0%	
Don't know	4	5%		8	3%		12	3%	
TOTAL	79	100		400	100				

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			e:			+-	TO	ral	-+
i	Male			Fema	ale	İ			-
HOW IMPORTANT:		 50%			53%	 		52%	
Important Not too Not at all Don't know	82 10 2	42% 5% 1% 2%		76 12 0 8	37% 6% 0%	 	157	39% 6% 0%	
TOTAL	_		1		100			100	1

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			<u>.</u>
	Propert W	or Own y Next to ater	TOTAL
	Yes	No	+ !
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all	18 17% 59 56% 21 20%	 62 21% 134 46% 68 23%	
Don't know	7 6%	25 9%	32 8%
 TOTAL +	106 100	 294 100 -+	

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		AGE												
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	- 							
HOW							 							
IMPORTANT:														
Very	4 19%	13 20%	17 31%	4 11%	24 27%	11 10%	73 19%							
Important	6 30%	32 48%	23 42%	19 51%	39 44%	59 55%	178 48%							
Not too	10 51%	12 18%	13 24%	12 33%	19 22%	23 21%	89 24%							
Not at all	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	2 1%							
Don't know	0 1%	9 14%	2 4%	2 6%	6 7%	12 11%	32 9%							
		1 1												
TOTAL	20 100	66 100	55 100	38 100 i	89 100	107 100	375 100							

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	Schoo	ol Aç Ho	Ì	TO	ΓAL				
		es	İ	No)	İ			
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	 19 23 27 2	24% 29% 35% 3% 10%		62 170 62 2	19% 53%	 	81 194 89 5	20% 48% 22% 1% 8%	
TOTAL	79 +	100		321	100	+	400	100	 -+

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			e:	-		+-	TO	ral	-+
+	+- Male +-			Fema	ale				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	84 61 4 12	17% 43% 31% 2% 6%		110 29 0 20	14% 0% 10%		194 89 5 32	22% 1% 8%	-+
TOTAL ++	194	T00	+	206 		+	400	T00	 -+

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	Prop	Rent perty Wa	TO!	ΓAL				
+· 	Υe	es)				
+			+-			-+-		
IMPORTANT:			İ			İ		
Very	31	29%		81	28%		112	28%
Important	46	44%		157	53%		203	51%
Not too	21	20%		43	15%		64	16%
Not at all	8	7%		12	4%		20	5%
Don't know	0	0%		2	1%		2	1%
TOTAL	106	100		294 100			400	100

Q15: How Important Issue: Pollution From Recreational Activities By Respondent's Age (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

1			AGE '								TOTAL								
+- 	18-24		25-	-35		35-	-44		45-	-54		55-	-64		6	5+	+		
HOW		+-																	
IMPORTANT:																			
Very	6 30	응	15	23%		13	24%		10	27%		37	41%		23	21%	1	104	28%
Important	14 70	응	34	52%		25	46%		17	45%		39	44%		64	60%	:	193	52%
Not too	0 0	응	11	17%		15	27%		8	22%		11	12%		15	14%		60	16%
Not at all	0 0	응	6	8%	1	2	3%		2	6%	1	2	2%	1	4	4%	1	16	4%
Don't know	0 0	응	0	0%	İ	0	0%	Ì	0	0%	ĺ	0	0%	Ī	2	2%	İ	2	1%
TOTAL	20 10) C	66	100	1	55	100		38	100		89	100		107	100	3	375	100

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

1	School A 	l					
i	Yes	İ	No)			
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	27 34% 17 22% 9 12% 0 0%	1 1 1 1 1 1	86 176 46 10 2	27% 55% 14% 3% 1%	 112 203 64	16% 5% 1%	-+ -

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		-	Se:	-			TO	ral	
	Male			Fema		İ			
++			-+-			-+-			+
HOW									
IMPORTANT:									
Very	42	22%		70	34%		112	28%	-
Important	95	49%		108	53%		203	51%	
Not too	44	22%		20	10%		64	16%	
Not at all	14	7%		6	3%		20	5%	-
Don't know	0	0%		2	1%		2	1%	-
1									-
TOTAL	194	100	-	206	100	-	400	100	-
++			+-			-+-			+

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	Prop	perty Wa	/ I	r Own Next er	to		TO	ΓAL	
		es	İ	No)	į			į
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	48 25 6	18% 45% 23% 6% 8%		47 168 39 10	16% 57%		66 216 64 16	16% 54% 16% 4% 10%	-+
 TOTAL	106	100	1	294	100	1	400	100	

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			AC	ЭЕ			TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
HOW IMPORTANT:		 	 	 		 	
Very	2 10% 10 51%	8 12% 40 60%		8 22% 13 34%		18 17% 62 58%	62 16%
Not too	6 29%	4 6% 2 3%	17 31%	8 22% 4 11%		15 14%	60 16%
Don't know	2 11%	13 20%				11 10%	37 10%
TOTAL	20 100	 66 100	55 100	38 100	89 100	107 100	375 100

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	Schoo +	НС	me	€		1	TO	ΓAL
		es	İ	No)			
HOW	+ 					+		
IMPORTANT:	1					1		
Very	14	18%		52	16%		66	16%
<pre>Important</pre>	36	46%		179	56%	-	216	54%
Not too	10	12%		54	17%		64	16%
Not at all	4	5%		12	4%		16	4%
Don't know	15	19%		23	7%		38	10%
TOTAL	1 79	100		321	100		400	100

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

+						-+-			+
1		5	Se:	X			TOT	ΓAL	1
-	+		-+-			+			
	Ma:	le		Fema	ale				
+	·		-+-			-+-			+
HOW									
IMPORTANT:									1
Very	26	13%		40	20%		66	16%	
Important	114	59%		102	49%		216	54%	
Not too	30	16%		33	16%		64	16%	1
Not at all	10	5%		6	3%		16	4%	1
Don't know	14	7%		24	12%		38	10%	1
									1
TOTAL	194	100		206	100		400	100	

Q17: Other Problem Mentioned

By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

+			,
	Proper	t or Own ty Next to Water	TOTAL
	Yes	No	+
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes	83 78 ¹ 	İ	332 83%
TOTAL	106 100 	0 294 100 +	400 100 ++

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	+ 		AC				TOTAL
+		25-35	35-44	45-54			
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes No/DK	 4 20% 16 80%	 6 9% 60 91%	13 24% 42 76%	6 15% 32 85%	 16 18% 73 82%	19 17% 88 83%	

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned

By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

+	+		
	l Hor	e Child in me	i i
 	Yes	No +	·
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes	 18 22%	 50 16%	
 TOTAL	 79 100 +	 321 100 +	 400 100 ++

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned

By Respondent's Sex

(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	Se						TOTAL		
·		е	l	Fema	ale	İ			
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes	31	16%	 	37	18%	 	68	17%	
 TOTAL	194	100	 	206	100	 	400	100	İ

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		perty	or Own Next ter		TO	TAL	
	Υe	es	l No)			
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			 		 		- +
agree	12	12%	32	11%	44	11%	i
Agree	48	45%	134	46%	182	46%	
Disagree Strongly	35	33%	83 	28%	118 	30%	1
disagree Don't	0	0%	6 	2%	6 	2%	1
believe	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Don't know	10	10%	39 	13%	49 	12%	1
TOTAL	106	100	294	100	400	100	

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Respondent's Age (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			AC	ЭЕ			TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree	8 40% 4 21%	 6 9% 23 35% 32 48%	11 20% 33 59% 6 11%	4 11% 15 39% 13 34%		14 13% 40 37% 39 36%	44 12% 167 44% 113 30%
disagree Don't	0 1% 	0 0% 	4 7% 	0 0%	2 2% 	0 0% 	6 2%
believe	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
Don't know	6 28%	6 8% 	2 3%	6 16%	12 14% 	14 13%	46 12%
TOTAL	20 100	66 100 +	55 100	38 100	89 100 +	107 100	375 100 ++

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

 	Schoo	ol Age Hor		ld in	TO	TAL
	' Ye	es	N	0	1	
+ AGREE/	 		 		 	
DISAGREE:	i I		i			
Strongly	1		1			
agree	10	12%	34	11%	44	11%
Agree	38	48%	144	45%	182	46%
Disagree	19	25%	99	31%	118	30%
Strongly	l		l			
disagree	4	5%	2	1%	6	2%
Don't						
believe	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Don't know	8	10%	42	13%	49	12%
	l		l			
TOTAL	79	100	321	100	400	100

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			Se:	x			TO	ΓAL	
	Mai	le		Fema	ale				
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly						 			+
agree	24	12%		20	10%		44	11%	
Agree	78	40%	1	104	51%		182	46%	
Disagree Strongly	66	34%	1	52	25%	1	118	30%	1
disagree Don't	6	3%	1	0	0%		6	2%	1
believe	0	0%	1	0	0%		0	0%	
Don't know	20	10%	1	29	14%	1	49	12%	1
TOTAL	194	100	 -	206	100	.+.	400	100	+

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	Prop	TO: 	ΓAL			
-	Y e	es	No	>	r 	
AGREE/			 		 	
DISAGREE:			l			
Strongly			I		l	
agree	4	4%	6	2%	10	2%
Agree	48	45%	109	37%	157	39%
Disagree	46	43%	136	46%	182	45%
Strongly						
disagree	2	2%	25	8%	27	7%
Don't						
believe	2	2%	0	0%	2	1%
Don't know	4	4%	17	6%	22	5%
			I		l	
TOTAL	106	100	294	100	400	100

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking

By Respondent's Age

(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		.	Α(ЭЕ 	L		TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
AGREE/		+ 	 	 			
DISAGREE:		1					
Strongly		1					
agree	2 10%	2 3%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	4 4%	10 3%
Agree	4 22%	19 29%	21 38%	9 24%	47 53%	47 44%	148 39%
Disagree	10 49%	40 60%	23 41%	22 60%	28 31%	47 44%	170 45%
Strongly		1					
disagree	2 10%	2 3%	10 17%	2 5%	8 9%	4 4%	27 7%
Don't		1					
believe	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	0 0%	2 1%
Don't know	2 9%	4 6%	2 3%	4 11%	2 2%	4 4%	18 5%
1		1					
TOTAL	20 100	66 100	55 100	38 100	89 100	107 100	375 100

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

+	+					+		
	Schoo	ol Ag Ho			ld in		TOT	ΓAL
-	+		+-			+		
	Y €	es	ı	No)	ı		
+	+		+-			+		
AGREE/								
DISAGREE:	l							
Strongly	l							
agree	4	5%		6	2%		10	2%
Agree	25	32%		132	41%		157	39%
Disagree	44	56%		137	43%		182	45%
Strongly								
disagree	4	5%		23	7%		27	7%
Don't	l							
believe	0	0%		2	1%		2	1%
Don't know	2	2%		20	6%	1	22	5%
	l					1		
TOTAL	79	100	ı	321	100	1	400	100
+	+		+-			+		

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking By Respondent's Sex (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

+		Se			+	+ FAL
	Mal	.e	+ Fem	ale	+ 	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	4 82 88	2% 42% 45%	+ 6 76	37%	+ 10 157 182	+
Strongly disagree		6%	94 15		102 27	45% 7%
Don't believe Don't know	2 6	1% 3%	 0 15	0% 7%	 2 22	1% 5%
TOTAL 	194	100	1 206 +	100	 400 +	100 +

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

Agree 9 9% 53 18% 62 16% Disagree 73 69% 184 63% 258 64%	+			
AGREE/		Property	Next to	TOTAL
DISAGREE:		Yes	l No	
	DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree Don't believe	9 9% 73 69%	53 18% 184 63% 35 12% 2 1%	62 16% 258 64% 44 11% 4 1%
TOTAL 106 100 294 100 400 100	TOTAL	106 100	294 100 +	400 100 ++

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Age (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		4	A	GE	L		TOTAL
į	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
+ AGREE/				+ 		 	
DISAGREE:							
Strongly							
agree	0 0%	0 0%	2 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 1%
Agree	2 10%	8 12%	4 7%	5 12%	16 18%	20 19%	55 15%
Disagree	12 61%	43 65%	34 62%	29 76%	52 58%	72 67%	242 65%
Strongly							
disagree	0 0%	13 20%	10 18%	2 6%	10 11%	8 8%	44 12%
Don't							
believe	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 5%	2 2%	0 0%	4 1%
Don't know	6 29%	2 3%	6 10%	0 0%	8 9%	6 6%	28 7%
			1				
TOTAL	20 100	66 100	55 100	38 100	89 100	107 100	375 100

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		re Child in	TOTAL
	Yes	l No	
AGREE/	+ 	+	++
DISAGREE:	I	i	i i
Strongly	I	1	
agree	2 2%	0 0%	2 0%
Agree	8 10%	54 17%	62 16%
Disagree	56 71%	201 63%	258 64%
disagree	 6 7%	38 12%	44 11%
Don't		1	
believe	0 0%	4 1%	4 1%
Don't know	8 10%	22 7%	30 7%
	l		
TOTAL	79 100	321 100	400 100

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Sex (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

±					, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
		S	ex		TO:	ral
+			+		+	
	Mai	le	Fema	ale		1
++			+		+	+
AGREE/						- 1
DISAGREE:						
Strongly						1
agree	2	1%	0	0%	2	0%
Agree	37	19%	25	12%	62	16%
Disagree	119	61%	138	67%	258	64%
Strongly						
disagree	20	10%	24	12%	44	11%
Don't						1
believe	4	2%	0	0%	4	1%
Don't know	12	6%	18	9%	30	7%
İ					1	i
TOTAL	194	100	206	100	400	100 j
++			+		+	+

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

Propert	t or Own ty Next to Water No	 TOT <i>I</i> 	AL
Yes + AGREE/		+	
	1 100	 +	
Strongly 53 509	8 143 498 8 2 18 8 4 18	195 4 2 6	19% 19% 19% 0% 1% LOO

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Respondent's Age (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

							А	.GE							l TOT	ΓAL
	18-2	24	25-			35-	-44 	'	5 - 54			-64	6	5+		
 AGREE/					-+- 			+		+ 					+ 	
DISAGREE:		i			i			İ		i			İ		İ	
Strongly													1		1	
agree	16 8	30%	21	32%		27	49%	2	2 60%	5	51	57%	54	51%	192	51%
Agree	2 1	.1%	45	68%		27	48%	1	5 40%	5	36	40%	51	47%	175	47%
Disagree	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	1	0 C	5	0	0%	2	2%	2	1%
Don't know	2	9%	0	0%		2	3%	1	0 C	5	2	2%	0	0%	6	2%
													1			
TOTAL	20 1	.00	66	100		55	100	3	3 100)	89	100	107	100	375	100

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	+ Schoo 	Hor	ne	ld in	+-	TO	 ΓAL
		es	+ N	o 			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Don't know	45 0 4	39% 56% 0% 5%	150 2 2	52% 47% 1% 1%	 	198 195 2 6	49% 49% 0% 1%

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

+						+-			-+
		Se				ļ	TOT	ΓAL	İ
	Male			Fema	ale	į			i
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree	91 -		+- 	99 103 0 4	0%	+	198 195 2 6	49% 49% 0% 1%	-+
TOTAL	194	100	 -	206	100		400	100	

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

 		perty	or Own Next ter		TO:	ΓAL
	Υe	es	l No)		
AGREE/			+ 		+ 	
DISAGREE:						
Strongly					l	
agree	22	20%	69	23%	90	23%
Agree	56	53%	142	48%	198	49%
Disagree	17	16%	38	13%	54	14%
Strongly					l	
disagree	0	0%	2	1%	2	0%
Don't						
believe	2	2%	4	1%	6	1%
Don't know	10	10%	40	14%	50	12%
1					l	
TOTAL	106	100	294	100	400	100

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

			A(}E			TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	6 30%	 17 26% 38 57% 6 9%	 15 27% 29 52% 6 11%	18 48% 11 30% 4 11%		15 14% 61 57% 12 12%	90 24% 185 49% 52 14%
Strongly disagree Don't	0 0%	 0 0% 	 2 3% 	 0 0% 	 0 0% 	0 0%	2 1%
believe Don't know		2 3% 4 6%	2 3% 2 4%	2 5% 2 5%	0 0% 10 12%	0 0% 18 17%	6 2% 40 11%
 TOTAL +	20 100	 66 100 +	 55 100 +	 38 100 		107 100	375 100

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		Age Child in Home	TOTAL
	Yes	l No l	
+	+	++	+
AGREE/			
DISAGREE:	l	1	1
Strongly		1	1
agree	25 31%	65 20%	90 23%
Agree	33 41%	165 52%	198 49%
Disagree	12 15%	43 13%	54 14%
Strongly			1
disagree	0 08	5 2 1%	2 0%
Don't			
believe	2 28	5 4 1%	6 1%
Don't know	8 10%	42 13%	50 12%
		1	
TOTAL	79 100) 321 100	400 100
+	+	++	+

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		S	ez	X			TO	ΓAL	į
-	Ma	le		Fema	ale				
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			+ · 						-+
agree	46 106	24% 54%	į	44 92	22% 45%	į	90 198	23% 49%	į
Agree Disagree Strongly		10%	 - -		17%	 	54	14%	
disagree	2	1%	i I	0	0%	1	2	0%	İ
believe	4	2%		2	1%		6	1%	
Don't know	18	9%	 -	32	15%	1	50	12%	
TOTAL	194	100	 -	206	100		400	100	-

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

1			
	Propert	or Own y Next to Jater	TOTAL
	Yes 	No	+
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Don't know	52 498 0 08 0 08	3 136 46% 3 2 1% 4 0 0%	

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	AGE								l TO	TOTAL								
	18-	-24		25-	-35		35	-44	45	-54		55-	-64		6	5+		
+ AGREE/						-+-					-+-						+ 	
DISAGREE:						-					-			1				
Strongly																		
agree	12	62%		37	56%	-	30	55%	25	66%	- [39	44%		54	50%	198	53%
Agree	8	38%		29	44%		25	45%	13	33%		49	55%	\perp	51	48%	174	46%
Disagree	0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	C	0%		0	0%	\perp	2	2%	2	1%
Don't know	0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	C	0%		0	0%		0	0%	1 0	0%
1			1						1		-			1				
TOTAL	20	100	1	66	100	ĺ	55	100	38	100	Ì	89	100	1	107	100	375	100

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	+ Schoo 	ol Aç Ho	ome	9		Ì	ТО'	ΓAL	-+
	Ye	Yes)	1			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree	 46	58% 42%	 	164	51% 48%		210 188	52% 47%	-+
Disagree Don't know	0	0% 0%		2 0	1%		2		
TOTAL	79 +	100	. + .	321	100	 -	400	100	 -+

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		S	e:	x			 TOTAL +		
	Mai	le		Female					
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Disagree Don't know	104 88 2 0	53% 45% 1% 0%		106 99 0	52% 48% 0% 0%		210 188 2 0	52% 47% 1% 0%	-+
TOTAL	194	100	ĺ	206	100	İ	400	100	į

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	Property Wat		TOTAL
	Yes		- ,
HEARD OF BWA: Yes No/DK 	58 54% 48 46%	 133 45% 161 55%	

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		+	AG				TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
HEARD OF	1 4% 19 96%	7 10% 7 10% 60 90% 	1 11 19% 11 19% 45 81% 1 55 100	32 85% 6 15% 38 100	64 73% 24 27%	69 65% 38 35% 107 100	1

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

+	+		
 	l Hor		
 	Yes	+	-
HEARD OF BWA: Yes	59 74%	151 47% 	210 52%
TOTAL	, 79 100 +	 321 100 +	400 10

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

	Sex					TOT	ΓAL	
i i	Mal	.e	Female					
HEARD OF BWA:			+ 					
Yes	100	51%	91	44%		190	48%	
No/DK 	95	49%	115 	56%	 	210	52%	
TOTAL	194	100	206	100		400	100	

Q24A1: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

<u> </u>	L		
	Rent of Property	TOTAL 	
	Yes	No	-
CONTACTED BWA: Yes No/DK	32 56%	46 34% 46 34% 87 66% 	119 63%

Q24A1: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

		AGE							
+	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+			
CONTACTED BWA: Yes No/DK TOTAL	0 55% 0 45%	 2 30% 5 70%	 	6 19%	28 43% 37 57%	 28 41% 41 59%	 		

Q24A1: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

+	+					т.			. 4
	l	ol Age Ho	 	TOTAL					
-	+		+-			+			
	Yes No								
+	+		+-			+			+
CONTACTED	I								
BWA:	1					1			
Yes	8	39%		63	37%		71	37%	
No/DK	12	61%		107	63%		119	63%	
1	I		ı			1			١
TOTAL	20	100						100	İ
+	+		+-			+			+

Q24A1: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex
(Weighted Aggregate Sample)

++				+		+
		S	I TO	TAL		
	Ma	le	Fem	ale	İ	
CONTACTED BWA: Yes	 41	41%	 30	33%	 71 119	
 TOTAL	100	100	 91	100	 190	100

BENTON COUNTY CROSS-TABULATIONS UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+	<u> </u>							
	Rent Property Wa	TOTAL						
	Yes							
USE LAKE FOR: Drinking water Farm, ranch. Fishing Boating, etc Camping Hiking Birding No use mentioned	4 12% 23 68% 24 71% 13 38% 12 35% 11 32% 0 0%	 55 83% 9 14% 33 50% 31 47% 29 44% 25 38% 16 24% 0 0%						
 TOTAL	34 100	 66 100						

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

			AC	GE .		. !	TOTAL
+-	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
USE LAKE		 	 	 			
Drinking			i	i		i	
water	4 100	3 75%	3 100	11 100	29 81%	32 82%	82 85%
Farm, ranch.	0 0%	1 25%	0 0%	4 36%	4 11%	4 10%	13 13%
Fishing	4 100	2 50%	0 0%	7 64%	22 61%	20 51%	55 57%
Boating,						l I	
etc	4 100	4 100	0 0%	8 73%	20 56%	18 46%	54 56%
Camping	4 100	2 50%	0 0%	7 64%	14 39%	14 36%	41 42%
Hiking	4 100	3 75%	0 0%	6 55%	12 33%	11 28%	36 37%
Birding	3 75%	0 0%	0 0%	4 36%	11 31%	9 23%	27 28%
Other	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
No use							
mentioned	0 0%	0 0% 	0 0%	0 0%) 0 0% 	1 3% 	1 1%
TOTAL	4 100	4 100	3 100	11 100	36 100	39 100	97 100

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+						
	' School 	l Age Hom	TO: 	ral 		
	Yes	s +)	 +	<u>i</u>
USE LAKE	' 	i			İ	i
FOR:		- 1				
Drinking		1				
water	8 7	73%	76	85%	84	84%
Farm, ranch.	2 2	18%	11	12%	13	13%
Fishing	8 -	73%	48	54%	56	56%
Boating,		- 1				- 1
etc	9 8	32%	46	52%	55	55%
Camping	J 5 4	45%	37	42%	42	42%
Hiking	6 5	55%	31	35%	37	37%
Birding	2 2	18%	25	28%	27	27%
Other	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No use		- 1				- 1
mentioned	1	9%	1	1%	2	2%
		- 1				- 1
TOTAL	11 1	100	89	100	100	100
+	+	+			+	+

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

		Se	+	TOTAL				
	Male		Fema	T 				
USE LAKE FOR: Drinking	44 8	 	40	87%	 	84	84%	
Farm, ranch.		L1%	7	15%	i	13		i
Fishing	34 6	53%	22	48%	ĺ	56	56%	İ
Boating,		- 1						
etc	34 6	53%	21	46%		55	55%	
Camping	23 4	13%	19	41%		42	42%	
Hiking	19 3	35%	18	39%		37	37%	
Birding	13 2	24%	14	30%		27	27%	
Other No use	0	0%	0	0%	 	0	0%	1
mentioned	1	2%	1	2%	 	2	2%	İ
TOTAL	54 1	L00	46	100	i 1	.00	100	-

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Re: Prope:	'	OTAL 			
	Yes No				+ +	ا ++
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	 17 5 16 4 0	 	40 22 1	61% 33% 2% 3%	 5 3	7 57% 8 38% 1 1% 2 2% 2 2%
TOTAL	 34 1 	00	66	100	1 10	0 100

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

		AGE						
	18-24	25-35	35	5-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
HOW					 			
IMPORTANT: Very	3 75%	4 100	1	1 33%	 5 45%	 19 53%	1 24 62%	 56 58%
Important Not too	1 25% 0 0%	0 0% 0 0%		2 67% 0 %	6 55% 0 0%	16 44% 0 0%	11 28% 1 3%	36 37% 1 1%
Not at all	0 0%	0 0%		0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2 5%	2 2%
Don't know	0 0%	0 0% 	(0%	0 0% 	1 3%	1 3%	2 2%
TOTAL	4 100	4 100	j 3	3 100	11 100	36 100	39 100	97 100

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	l	Н	TOTAL					
	++ Yes No							
+	+		-+-			-+-		+
HOW	1							
IMPORTANT:	I					1		I
Very	7	64%		50	56%		57	57%
Important	4	36%		34	38%		38	38%
Not too	0	0%		1	1%		1	1%
Not at all	0	0%		2	2%		2	2%
Don't know	0	0%		2	2%		2	2%
1	1							I
TOTAL	11	100		89	100	-	100	100
+	+		-+-			-+-		+

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Se	TOTAL		
		Female		
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	26 48% 24 44% 1 2% 1 2% 2 4%	31 67% 14 30% 0 0% 1 2% 0 0%		
TOTAL +	54 100	46 100	100 100 +	

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water						TO		-+
1	++ Yes ++			No					
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too	5	74% 15% 6% 6%		33 28 4	50% 42% 6% 2%		33 6	58% 33% 6% 3%	+
TOTAL	34	100	İ	66	100	İ	100	100	į

Q10: How Important Issue: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	AGE							
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64 	65+	 	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Don't know	3 75% 1 25% 0 0% 0 0%	4 100 4 100 0 0% 0 0% 0 0%	 0 0% 3 100 0 0% 0 0%	 7 64% 2 18% 1 9%		20 51% 13 33% 4 10% 2 5%	57 59% 31 32% 6 6% 3 3%	
TOTAL	4 100	4 100	3 100	11 100	36 100	39 100	97 100	

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

: 	School Age Child in Home 						ΓAL
	++ Yes ++			No)		
HOW						 	
IMPORTANT:						l	
Very	8	73%		50	56%	58	58%
Important	2	18%		31	35%	33	33%
Not too	1	9%		5	6%	6	6%
Don't know	0	0%		3	3%	3	3%
						l	
TOTAL	11	100		89	100	100	100

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Sex						TOTAL		
	•		•	Female					į
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Don't know	13	70% 24% 4% 2%	 	20	43% 43% 9% 4%		33 6	58% 33% 6% 3%	-+
TOTAL	54	100	Ì	46	100	ĺ	100	100	İ

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

 	Rent or Own Property Next to Water						'I'O'.	ΓAL
	Yes No)			
HOW						+		
IMPORTANT:			1			1		
Very	17	50%	1	27	41%	1	44	44%
Important	13	38%	1	33	50%	1	46	46%
Not too	4	12%	1	4	6%	1	8	8%
Don't know	0	0%		2	3%	1	2	2%
TOTAL	34	100	i	66	100	ı	100	100

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	AGE								
	18-24	25-35	35-44 +	45-54	55-64	65+	'		
HOW IMPORTANT:		+ 	 	 	 		 		
Very	1 25%	3 75%	0 0%	6 55%	15 42%	18 46%	43 44%		
Important	2 50%	1 25%	3 100	4 36%	14 39%	20 51%	44 45%		
Not too	1 25%	0 0%	0 0%	1 9%	6 17%	0 0%	8 8%		
Don't know	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	1 3%	2 2%		
1			1						
TOTAL	4 100	4 100	3 100	11 100	36 100	39 100	97 100		

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	School Age	İ	
į	Yes	No ++	İ
HOW		++ 	
IMPORTANT:			1
Very	7 64%	37 42%	44 44%
Important	3 27%	43 48%	46 46%
Not too	1 9%	7 8%	8 8%
Don't know	0 0%	2 2%	2 2%
1			1
TOTAL	11 100	89 100	100 100
++		++	+

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Respondent's Sex (Unweighted Benton County Sa

(Unweighted	Benton	County	Sample)

	Sex						TOTAL		
	'			Female					
++- HOW			-+- 			-+-			
IMPORTANT:			ĺ			İ			
Very	27	50%		17	37%		44	44%	
Important	21	39%		25	54%		46	46%	
Not too	6	11%		2	4%		8	8%	
Don't know	0	0%		2	4%		2	2%	
TOTAL	54	100		46	100		100	100	

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

_									- 1	
	Rent or Own Property Next to Water						TOTAL			
1	Yes			No					 -+	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	14 16 2	41% 47% 6% 6% 0%	 - - -	27 23	41% 35% 20% 2%	 	41 39 15	3%		
TOTAL	34	100	 -+-	66	100	-	100	100	-+	

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+		(Uniweighted Benton	. County Sample)								
		AGE									
	18-24	18-24 25-35 35-44 45-54		65+	-						
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	1 25% 2 50% 1 25% 0 0% 0 0%			16 41% 16 41%	40 41% 38 39% 14 14% 3 3% 2 2%						
	4 100			39 100 	97 100						

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	+ Schoo 	Н	ТО!	ral	+				
	Yes			No					
+	+	+				-+-			+
HOW	I								I
IMPORTANT:	ĺ		İ			Ì			ĺ
Very	1 6	55%		35	39%		41	41%	
Important	3	27%		36	40%		39	39%	
Not too	2	18%		13	15%		15	15%	
Not at all	0	0%		3	3%		3	3%	
Don't know	0	0%		2	2%		2	2%	
1									
TOTAL	11	100		89	100		100	100	I
+	+		-+-			-+-			+

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	S€	++ TOTAL	
		Female	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know		20 43% 20 43% 4 9% 0 0% 2 4%	
TOTAL	54 100	46 100	100 100

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+									
	Prop		TO:	ΓAL					
1	Y (es		No))				 -
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Don't know	14	59% 41% 0% 0%		26 4	52% 39% 6% 3%		40		
TOTAL	34	100	 -+-	66	100	+	100	100	 -+

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

		TOTAL					
	18-24	25-35	35-44	10 01	55-64 	65+	
HOW IMPORTANT:		 	 	 	 		
Very	3 75%	3 75%	0 0%	7 64%	23 64%	17 44%	53 55%
Important	1 25%	1 25%	3 100	4 36%	10 28%	20 51%	39 40%
Not too	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 6%	1 3%	3 3%
Don't know	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	1 3%	2 2%
 TOTAL	4 100	 4 100	 3 100	 11 100	 36 100	39 100	 97 100

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	ı		1	-					
	Schoo	ol Ag Ho	TOT	ral					
	Y €	es	İ	No)	1			
+	·		+-			+			+
HOW									
IMPORTANT:									1
Very	8	73%	1	46	52%		54	54%	
Important	3	27%		37	42%		40	40%	
Not too	0	0%		4	4%		4	4%	
Don't know	0	0%		2	2%		2	2%	
1						1			1
TOTAL	11	100	1	89	100		100	100	
+			+-			+			+

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

			1	TO	ΓAL				
	 Male			Female					
			-+- 			-+-			_
IMPORTANT:						1			
Very	31	57%		23	50%		54	54%	
Important	21	39%		19	41%		40	40%	
Not too	1	2%		3	7%		4	4%	
Don't know	1	2%		1	2%		2	2%	
TOTAL	54	100		46	100		100	100	

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	I Prop	TO	ΓAL						
1			-+- 		 >				 -+
HOW									- +
IMPORTANT:									
Very	5	15%		9	14%		14	14%	
Important	20	59%		30	45%		50	50%	
Not too	7	21%		18	27%		25	25%	
Not at all	0	0%		2	3%		2	2%	
Don't know	2	6%	1	7	11%	ı	9	9%	1
	1		i			i			i
TOTAL	34	100	į	66	100	į	100	100	į
+			-+-			-+-			-+

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

1	AGE											1	TOTAL						
	18-	-24			-35		35-		'	5-54		55-			6.	5+			
HOW						-+- 			+		-+- 			-+- 					
<pre>IMPORTANT: </pre>									1								1		
Very	0	0%		0	0%		1	33%	() 0%		9	25%		3	8%	1	13	13%
Important	1	25%		3	75%		2	67%	6	55%		16	44%		21	54%	1	49	51%
Not too	3	75%		1	25%		0	0%	4	1 36%		8	22%		9	23%	1	25	26%
Not at all	0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	() 0%		0	0%		1	3%	1	1	1%
Don't know	0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	1	. 9%		3	8%		5	13%	1	9	9%
			1						1								1		
TOTAL	4	100		4	100	Ĺ	3	100	11	100	İ	36	100		39	100	1	97	100

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+									
	Schoo	ol Aç Ho	Ì	TO	ΓAL				
	Ye	es	İ	No)	İ			1
	+		-+-			-+-			-+
HOW	I								-
IMPORTANT:									
Very	2	18%		12	13%		14	14%	
Important	3	27%		47	53%		50	50%	-
Not too	5	45%		20	22%		25	25%	-
Not at all	1	9%		1	1%		2	2%	
Don't know	0	0%		9	10%		9	9%	-
	I								
TOTAL	11	100	1	89	100	1	100	100	1
+	+		-+-			-+-			-+

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+	S	++ TOTAL	
		+ Female	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	25 46% 18 33% 2 4% 3 6%	8 17%	
+	54 100	+	++

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water						TO:	ΓAL	
	Yes			No)	İ			
HOW	 		-+- 			-+-			-
IMPORTANT:									
Very	9	26%		18	27%		27	27%	
Important	17	50%		38	58%		55	55%	
Not too	6	18%		7	11%		13	13%	
Not at all	2	6%		2	3%		4	4%	
Don't know	0	0%		1	2%		1	1%	
TOTAL	34	100	1	66	100		100	100	

Q15: How Important Issue: Pollution From Recreational Activities By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	AGE										
	18-24	25-35		45-54	55-64 	65+	- 				
HOW			+ 	 	 		 				
IMPORTANT:		1	1								
Very	1 25%	1 25%	0 0%	3 27%	13 36%	8 21%	26 27%				
Important	3 75%	3 75%	3 100	4 36%	17 47%	24 62%	54 56%				
Not too	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	3 27%	5 14%	5 13%	13 13%				
Not at all	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 9%	1 3%	1 3%	3 3%				
Don't know	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	l 0 0%	1 0 0% 1	1 3%	1 1%				
1		1	I	1	l İ	ĺ	İ				
TOTAL	4 100	4 100	3 100	11 100	36 100	39 100	97 100				

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

Ì	+ Schoo 	Ho	Ì	ТО'	ΓAL	-+			
	•	es	İ	No		İ			i
+	+		-+-			+-			-+
HOW									
IMPORTANT:									
Very	4	36%		23	26%		27	27%	
Important	3	27%		52	58%	1	55	55%	-
Not too	3	27%		10	11%	1	13	13%	-
Not at all	1	9%	1	3	3%	ı	4	4%	-
Don't know	0	0%	1	1	1%	ı	1	1%	-
i	l		i			i			i
TOTAL	11	100	į	89	100	į	100	100	į

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

			-	x 			TOTAL		
	Mai	le	İ	Fema	ale				
HOW IMPORTANT:									-+
Very		22%	İ		33%			27%	į
Important		52% 19%	1		59% 7%			55% 13%	
Not at all		7%	i	-	0%	i		4%	i
Don't know	0	0%	1	1	2%	1	1	1%	-
 TOTAL	54	100		46	100		100	100	 -+

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Rent or Property Ne Wate:			ext r	to		TO	ΓAL	
	Υe	es	İ	No)	İ			
HOW			-+- 			-+-			_
IMPORTANT:						1			
Very	6	18%		10	15%		16	16%	
Important	14	41%		38	58%		52	52%	
Not too	8	24%		9	14%		17	17%	
Not at all	3	9%		3	5%		6	6%	
Don't know	3	9%		6	9%		9	9%	
TOTAL	34	100		66	100		100	100	

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

		AGE							' TO'	TAL				
	18-	24	25	-35	35	-44	45 	-54	55 +	-64	6	5+		
HOW			 		 		 		+ 				 	
IMPORTANT:							l		1					
Very	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	2	18%	6	17%	6	15%	15	15%
Important	2	50%	2	50%	2	67%	2	18%	20	56%	22	56%	50	52%
Not too	1	25%	0	0%	1	33%	4	36%	5	14%	6	15%	17	18%
Not at all	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	18%	4	11%	0	0%	1 6	6%
Don't know	1	25%	1	25%	0	0%	1	9%	1	3%	5	13%	9	9%
			l				I		1					
TOTAL	4	100	4	100	3	100	11	100	36	100	39	100	97	100

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	I Но	e Child in me ++	TOTAL
	Yes	l No l	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	3 27% 1 9% 1 9% 2 18%	49 55% 16 18% 5 6% 7 8%	17 17% 6 6% 9 9%

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	_	ex +	TOTAL
		Female	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very	7 13% 31 57%	+	++
Not too Not at all Don't know	10 19% 3 6% 3 6%	7 15% 3 7% 6 13%	17 17% 6 6% 9 9%
	54 100		

Q17: How Important Issue: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

·	Property Next to		
	Property Wa	TOTAL	
		•	- ,
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes No/DK	24 71% 	57 86% 	81 81%
TOTAL	34 100 +	66 100 +	100 100 ++

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

			A(++ 	TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45 - 54	55-64	65+	
PROBLEM MENTIONED:	2 50% 2 50%	2 50% 2 50%	1 33% 2 67%	 	5 14% 31 86%		18 19%

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned

By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+	+					+			+
	Schoo	Но	me	hil	ld ir	1 	TOT	ΓAL	
	+		+			+			
	Ye	es		No)				
+	+		+			+			+
PROBLEM									
MENTIONED:	1		1						
Yes	4	36%		15	17%		19	19%	
No/DK	7	64%	1	74	83%		81	81%	
1	I		1			1			١
TOTAL	11	100	İ	89				100	İ
+	+		+			-+-			.+

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned By Respondent's Sex (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+	+ Se	 ex	++ TOTAL
-		+ Female +	
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes	8 15%	 11 24%	 19 19%
TOTAL	54 100	46 100	100 100

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+			
 	Property Wa	or Own Next to ter	TOTAL
	'	l No	-
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree	5 15% 14 41%	 7 11% 27 41%	
disagree Don't know		1 2%	1 1% 12 12%
TOTAL	34 100 +	66 100 +	 100 100 ++

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	AGE						
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	-
AGREE/							
DISAGREE:				I			
Strongly				I	I	I	
agree	1 25%	1 25%	0 0%	2 18%	2 6%	6 15%	12 12%
Agree	1 25%	0 0%	2 67%	3 27%	19 53%	14 36%	39 40%
Disagree	2 50%	3 75%	1 33%	5 45%	9 25%	14 36%	34 35%
Strongly				l l	l l	l l	
disagree	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 9%	5 14%	5 13%	11 11%
				I	I	I	
TOTAL	4 100	4 100	3 100	11 100	36 100	39 100	97 100

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

 	Schoo	Н	ome		ld in	1	TO	ΓAL
 	Ye	es	İ	No				
 AGREE/								
DISAGREE:			1					
Strongly								
agree	3	27%		9	10%		12	12%
Agree	3	27%		38	43%		41	41%
Disagree	3	27%		31	35%		34	34%
Strongly								
disagree	0	0%		1	1%		1	1%
Don't know	2	18%		10	11%		12	12%
TOTAL	11	100		89	100		100	100

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	S(TOTAL -	
į į		Female	
AGREE /	7 13% 19 35% 21 39%	 5 11% 22 48% 13 28%	
Strongly disagree Don't know TOTAL	1 2% 6 11% 54 100	 0 0% 6 13% 46 100	

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+									_
		Rent perty Wa	 	ľO'.	ΓAL	.			
	Y e	Yes			No I				
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			į			į			
Strongly									i
agree	2	6%		2	3%	1	4	4%	
Agree	18	53%		26	39%	4	14	44%	
Disagree Strongly	11	32%	1	32	48%	4	13	43%	1
disagree Don't	1	3%	 	3	5%	1	4	4%	1
believe		3%		0	0%	1	1	1%	
Don't know	1	3%	 	3	5%	 	4	4%	1
TOTAL	34	100	 -+-	66	100	1 10	00	100	 -

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

		AGE								l TO	TAL	į							
	18	-24	2	5-	-35		35	-44	45	-54		55-	-64		6	5+			
AGREE/			+ 			-+			+ 		-+-			+-			+ 		-+
DISAGREE:																			
Strongly																			
agree	1	25%		0	0%		0	0%	0	0%		1	3%		2	5%	4	4%	
Agree	2	50%		0	0%		1	33%	4	36%		18	50%		18	46%	43	44%	
Disagree	1	25%		4	100		1	33%	6	55%		12	33%		17	44%	41	42%	
Strongly														1					
disagree	0	0%		0	0%		1	33%	0	0%		3	8%	1	0	0%	4	4%	
Don't											1			1					
believe	0	0%		0	0%	-	0	0%	0	0%		1	3%		0	0%	1	1%	-
Don't know	0	0%		0	0%	-	0	0%	1	9%		1	3%	1	2	5%	4	4%	-
1			1			ı			1		1			1			1		-
TOTAL	4	100		4	100	į	3	100	11	100	į	36	100	İ	39	100	97	100	į

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+	+ Schoo 	ol Ag Ho	TOTAL					
-	Ye	es	+- 	No)			
+	+		+-			+-		+
AGREE/	I							1
DISAGREE:	l							1
Strongly	l							1
agree	1	9%		3	3%		4	4%
Agree	3	27%		41	46%		44	44%
Disagree	7	64%		36	40%		43	43%
Strongly								- 1
disagree	0	0%		4	4%		4	4%
Don't								
believe	0	0%		1	1%		1	1%
Don't know	0	0%		4	4%		4	4%
								1
TOTAL	11	100		89	100		100	100
+	+		+-			+-		+

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking

By Respondent's Sex

(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+			++
	S	ex	TOTAL
+-	Male	Female	
++		+	++
AGREE/			
DISAGREE:			
Strongly			
agree	2 4%	2 4%	4 4%
Agree	22 41%	22 48%	44 44%
Disagree	23 43%	20 43%	43 43%
Strongly			
disagree	3 6%	1 2%	4 4%
Don't			
believe	1 2%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know	3 6%	1 2%	4 4%
1			
TOTAL	54 100	46 100	100 100
++		+	++

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+			
	Rent Property	TOTAL	
	Yes	No	-
AGREE/ DISAGREE:		 12 18%	
Agree Disagree Strongly			16 16% 65 65%
disagree	 3 9% 	6 9% 	9 9% 9 1
believe		1 2%	2 2%
Don't know	4 12% 	4 6% 	8 8%
TOTAL	34 100	66 100	100 100
+		+	

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

		AGE									
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+					
AGREE/											
DISAGREE: Agree	0 0%	 0 0%	 0 0%	2 18%	6 17%	 7 18%	15 15%				
Disagree	3 75%	3 75%	2 67%	8 73%	21 58%		64 66%				
Strongly						-					
disagree	0 0%	1 25%	1 33%	0 0%	4 11%	3 8%	9 9%				
Don't											
believe	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 9%	1 3%	0 0%	2 2%				
Don't know	1 25%	0 0% 	0 0%	0 0%	4 11%	25% 	7 7%				
TOTAL	4 100	4 100	3 100	11 100	36 100	39 100	97 100				

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+	+				+	+
1	Schoo	ol Age Hor	TO	TAL		
	+ Ye	 es	+	 o	+	
+	+		+		+	+
AGREE/	1		I		1	1
DISAGREE:	İ				İ	i
Agree	2	18%	14	16%	16	16%
Disagree	9	82%	56	63%	65	65%
Strongly			I		1	1
disagree	0	0%	9	10%	9	9%
Don't			I		1	1
believe	0	0%	2	2%	2	2%
Don't know	. 0	0%		9%	. 8	8 8
İ	İ		l		İ	i
TOTAL	11	100	89	100	100	100 i
+	+		+		+	+

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Sex (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

++					+	
1		Se	ex		TO	TAL
+			+		+	
1	Male		Fema	ale		1
++	+		+		+	+
AGREE/						
DISAGREE:			l		1	
Agree	9	17%	7	15%	16	16%
Disagree	36	67%	29	63%	65	65%
Strongly						1
disagree	4	7%	5	11%	9	9%
Don't			l		1	1
believe	2	4%	0	0%	2	2%
Don't know	3	6%	5	11%	8	8%
1			l		1	1
TOTAL	54	100	46	100	100	100 I
++			+		+	

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Rent (Property Wat	++ TOTAL 	
	Yes	No	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	19 56% 15 44%	 35 53%	
TOTAL	34 100	66 100 +	 100 100 ++

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	 -	AGE							
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	 		
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Don't know	0 0% 0 0% 	1 25% 3 75% 0 0% 4 100	 2 67% 1 33% 0 0% 	6 55% 5 45% 0 0%		18 46% 0 0%			

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+	+					+			-+
	l	ol Ag Ho	TOTAL						
	•	es	İ	No		į			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	7	36% 64% 0%	+-				54 45 1	54% 45% 1%	
 TOTAL	 11 +	100	 -	89	100	1	100	100	

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Respondent's Sex (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+	+ -	++				
		Sex				
-		Female				
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	23 43%	 24 52% 22 48% 0 0%				
TOTAL	54 100	46 100	100 100			

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water						TO	ΓAL
1	Yes			No				
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			 					
Strongly								
agree	8 2	24%		12	18%		20	20%
Agree	16 4	47%		33	50%		49	49%
Disagree	7 2	21%		10	15%		17	17%
Don't								1
believe	0	0%		1	2%		1	1%
Don't know	3	9%		10	15%	1	13	13%
Ī						1		Ì
TOTAL	34	100	 +	66	100		100	100
1			1			- 1		

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

		AGE							
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+			
AGREE/			 		 				
DISAGREE:			1			I			
Strongly			l			1			
agree	2 50%	0 0%	1 33%	6 55%	6 17%	5 13%	20 21%		
Agree	1 25%	4 100	1 33%	3 27%	18 50%	21 54%	48 49%		
Disagree	0 0%	0 0%	1 33%	1 9%	8 22%	6 15%	16 16%		
Don't									
believe	0 0%	1 0 0%	0 0%	1 9%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%		
Don't know	1 25%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	4 11%	7 18%	12 12%		
1						1			
TOTAL	4 100	4 100	3 100	11 100	36 100	39 100	97 100		

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	+ Schoo 	School Age Child in						TOTAL		
 	Yes			No	 >				 -+	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Don't believe Don't know	5 2 0	18% 45% 18% 0% 18%		44 15	20% 49% 17% 1% 12%		49 17	20% 49% 17% 1%		
TOTAL	11 +	100		89	100		100	100	 -+	

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water

Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

		Sex						TOTAL		
	Male			Fema						
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree	28	22% 52% 15%	+ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8 21 9		+-	20 49 17	20% 49% 17%	-+	
believe Don't know		2% 9%	 	0	0% 17%	 	1 13	1% 13%		
TOTAL	54	100	İ	46	100	İ	100	100	į	

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Rent Property Wa	TOTAL	
	Yes		
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	13 38% 0 0%	32 48% 1 2%	
TOTAL	34 100 	66 100 +	100 100 ++

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+							+
į į				GE			TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	+ 35-44	45-54	55-64	65+ 	F
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	0 0%	 1 25% 3 75% 0 0%	 2 67% 1 33% 0 0%	2 18%	20 56%	 21 548 17 448 1 38	53 55% 43 44% 1 1%
TOTAL	4 100	4 100	3 100	11 100	36 100	39 100	97 100

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+	+ Schoo 	Но	+ TC 	+ TAL 		
		es	+ 			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	6	45% 55% 0%		55% 44% 1%		54% 45% 1%
 TOTAL	 11 	100	 89 +	100	1 100	100

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	S:		++ TOTAL
	Male	Female	- I
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	28 52% 25 46%	 26 57% 20 43% 0 0%	
TOTAL	54 100	46 100	100 100

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water					TOTAL					
	Yes No										
HEARD OF	9	74% 26% 100		46 20	70% 30%	1 1 1 1	29	29%			

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

		AGE							
				45-54	•	65+ 	- I		
HEARD OF BWA: Yes	0 0%	 	1 33%		 27 75%	 	 		
TOTAL	4 100	4 100	3 100	11 100	36 100	39 100	97 100		

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	+ Schoo 	Hor	İ	 FAL		
+	+++ Yes No +				- +	
HEARD OF BWA: Yes	,				 71 29	
TOTAL	 11 +	100	1 89 +	100	 100 +	100

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+	S∈	++ TOTAL	
+-	Male		
HEARD OF BWA:	 		
Yes No/DK	39 72% 15 28%		71 71% 29 29%
TOTAL 	54 100 +	46 100	100 100

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

+		4_			_				
	Re Prope		TO	ΓAL	T				
	Yes]	ЛC)				+
CONTACTED BWA: Yes No/DK	12 4 13 5	 188 528	1:	3	28% 72%		46	35% 65% 100	

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	 	AGE											
	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	, I							
CONTACTED BWA: Yes No/DK	2 100 	1	0 0% 0 0% 0 100	1	1	44 65% 							

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Benton County Sample)

	Но	School Age Child in Home								
 	+ Yes +									
CONTACTED BWA: Yes	 1 25%	 24 36% 43 64%	 							
 TOTAL	 4 100 +	 67 100 +								

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Benton County Sample)

			TO	AL			
	Male	İ	Fema	ale	İ		
CONTACTED BWA:		+ 					
Yes	16 4	1%	9	28%		25	35%
No/DK	23 5	9% 	23	72%	I I	46	65%
TOTAL	39 1	00	32	100	İ	71	100

MADISON COUNTY CROSS-TABULATIONS UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

+			++						
	Property	Rent or Own Property Next to Water							
	Yes	l No	 						
USE LAKE									
FOR:									
Drinking			1						
water	57 66%	93 82%	150 75%						
Farm, ranch.	20 23%	22 19%	42 21%						
Fishing	43 49%	50 44%	93 47%						
Boating,									
etc	38 44%	59 52%	97 49%						
Camping	35 40%	51 45%	86 43%						
Hiking	29 33%	39 35%	68 34%						
Birding	27 31%	30 27%	57 29%						
Other	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%						
No use									
mentioned	6 7%	5 4%	11 6%						
1			1						
TOTAL	87 100	113 100	200 100						
++		+	++						

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

++			A0	 =r:			++ TOTAL
		+		ь 		+	- 10171H
į į	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
USE LAKE		+ 					
FOR:							
Drinking							
water	10 77%	17 85%	21 91%	32 84%	26 63%	40 70%	146 76%
Farm, ranch.	2 15%	4 20%	6 26%	10 26%	6 15%	13 23%	41 21%
Fishing	7 54%	9 45%	8 35%	20 53%	22 54%	23 40%	89 46%
Boating,							l I
etc	7 54%	10 50%	14 61%	21 55%	24 59%	20 35%	96 50%
Camping	5 38%	8 40%	11 48%	19 50%	20 49%	19 33%	82 43%
Hiking	8 62%	8 40%	6 26%	14 37%	14 34%	15 26%	65 34%
Birding	1 8%	5 25%	6 26%	11 29%	11 27%	20 35%	54 28%
Other	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
No use							
mentioned	1 8%	1 5%	0 0%	1 3%	4 10%	4 7%	11 6%
1							l I
TOTAL	13 100	20 100	23 100	38 100	41 100	57 100	192 100
++		+	h	h	k		

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	+ Schoo 	ol Age Hor		 ld in	+ : : !	· [O'		-+
<u> </u>	Ye	es	N	0	ļ			į
USE LAKE FOR: Drinking	 		 					
water	23		127			50	75%	
Farm, ranch.		35%	33			12	21%	
Fishing Boating,	14 	54%	79 	45%	;	93	47%	1
etc	17	65%	80	46%	9	97	49%	ĺ
Camping	12	46%	74	43%	8	36	43%	1
Hiking		46%	56	32%	(68	34%	ĺ
Birding	4	15%	53	30%	!	57	29%	
Other	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	
No use	l							
mentioned	0	0%	11	6%	:	11	6%	1
 TOTAL	 26 +	100	 174 +	100	 20	00	100	 -+

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	 		Se:	x 		+	TO	ral	-+
	Male			Female					 - -
USE LAKE FOR: Drinking									
water	62	74%		88	76%		150	75%	
Farm, ranch.	17	20%		25	22%		42	21%	
Fishing	42	50%		51	44%		93	47%	
Boating,									
etc	44	52%		53	46%		97	49%	
Camping	36	43%		50	43%		86	43%	
Hiking	33	39%		35	30%		68	34%	-
Birding		27%		34	29%		57	29%	-
Other	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	1
mentioned	6	7%	į	5	4%	į	11	6%	į
TOTAL	84	100		116	100		200	100	 -+

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Prope	Wa		ΓAL					
1	 Ye:	S	İ	No		+ +			 -+
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	65 1 22 2 0	75% 25% 0% 0%		75 33 3	66% 29% 3% 1%		140 55	2%	
TOTAL	87	100	 +-	113	100		200	100	 -+

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		AGE														TOTAL				
	18-	-24		25-	-35		35-	-44	45	-54		55-	-64		6	5+				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	12 1 0 0	92% 8% 0% 0%	 		70% 25% 0% 0% 5%	-+- 		61% 35% 4% 0% 0%		0%			73% 24% 2% 0%	+- 		68% 28% 2% 2%	 		70% 27% 2% 1% 1%	
 TOTAL	13	100	1	20	100		23	100	 38	100	1	41	100	 	57	100		192	100	1

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

Ì	 Schoo 	Н	Ì	TOTAL					
İ		es	İ	No)	İ			 -+
HOW IMPORTANT:	' 		 -			İ			į
Very	'	81%	į		68% 29%		140		į
Important		19% 0%		3				28	
Not at all Don't know			1	1 1		1	1	1% 1%	1
 TOTAL	 26	100	1	174	100	1	200	100	1

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams

By Respondent's Sex

(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		Sex	TOTAL
į į	Male	Female	
HOW	55 65% 27 32% 1 1% 1 1% 0 0%	28 24% 2 2 2% 3 0 0%	
TOTAL	84 100	116 100	200 100 ++

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Prop	perty Wa	y 1 ate	r Own Next er	to	+	TO	гаL	-+
		es	İ	No)	į			į
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	 53 22 7 1	61% 25% 8% 1% 5%	 	78 24 6 1 4	69% 21% 5% 1%		131 46 13 2 8	23% 7% 1% 4%	

Q10: How Important Issue: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

							A	GE						.		l TO	ΓAL
	18-24		25-	-35		35-	-44		45-	-54		55-	-64	6	5+		
HOW																	
IMPORTANT:	0 600		1 -	7.50	ļ	1.4	610		0.4	620		07	C C 0		600	1 100	670
Very	9 699			75%	-	14				63%			66%		68%		67%
Important	2 15	5	3	15%		5	22%		9	24%		8	20%	14	25%	41	21%
Not too	1 89	5	0	0%		1	4%		5	13%		3	7%	3	5%	13	7%
Not at all	0 09	5	1	5%		1	4%	1	0	0%		0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Don't know	1 89	5	1	5%		2	9%	1	0	0%		3	7%	1	2%	8	4%
					1			1			1			1		1	
TOTAL	13 100)	20	100	i	23	100	İ	38	100	İ	41	100	57	100	192	100

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

1	Schoo	НС	me	9		-	TO	ГАL	-+
	Υe	es	İ	No)				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	4 0 0	73% 15% 0% 0% 12%		112 42 13	64% 24% 7% 1%	i	46	1%	-+
 TOTAL		100	 						 -

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

++			-			+			-+
!!!!		_	e:				TO	ΓAL	
		 le	İ	Fema					
HOW			İ			ï			i
IMPORTANT:						1			1
Very	52	62%		79	68%		131	66%	
Important	20	24%		26	22%		46	23%	
Not too	8	10%		5	4%		13	7%	
Not at all	0	0%		2	2%		2	1%	-
Don't know	4	5%		4	3%		8	4%	
1									
TOTAL	84	100		116	100		200	100	
++			+			+			-+

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Prop	perty Wa	y l ate	r Owr Next er	to	+	ТО	гаL	-+
		es	Ċ						ļ
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	38 9 3	37% 44% 10% 3% 6%		55 10	9% 2%		93 19	10%	-+
 TOTAL +	87	100		113	100	 -	200	100	 -+

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

į								I	AGE						1		l TO	ΓAL
	18-	24		25-				-44 		45-			55-	-64	6	 5+		
HOW																	!	
IMPORTANT:									1									
Very	5	38%		9	45%		5	22%		11	29%		19	46%	22	39%	71	37%
Important	5	38%		9	45%		12	52%		21	55%		14	34%	27	47%	88	46%
Not too	2	15%		1	5%		1	4%		5	13%		6	15%	3	5%	18	9%
Not at all	0	0%	1	0	0%		3	13%	1	1	3%		0	0%	1	2%	1 5	3%
Don't know	1	8%	İ	1	5%	ĺ	2	9%	İ	0	0%	Ĺ	2	5%	4	7%	1 10	5%
i			İ			i			i			Ĺ			İ		İ	
TOTAL	13	100	i	20	100	i	23	100	i	38	100	i	41	100	57	100	192	100

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	+ Schoo 	Н	ome	€	ld ir	Ì	TO	 ГАL	-+
		es	İ	No		İ			 -
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	14 3 1	27% 54% 12% 4%	 	65 79 16	37% 45% 9% 2%	 	72 93 19	10%	
TOTAL	26 +	100	 -+-	174	100	-	200	100	 -+

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments

By Respondent's Sex

(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		-	Se:	x 			TOT	ΓAL	-+
		le	İ	Fema	ale				
HOW IMPORTANT:	32 39 9 3	38% 46% 11% 4% 1%		40 54 10 2 10	34% 47% 9% 2% 9%		72 93 19 5 11	10% 3% 6%	
TOTAL	84	100		116	100		200	100	

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

+	L							
	Prop	Rent perty Wa	,] ite	Next er	to		TO	ΓAL
		es 	İ	No				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	36 8 3	43% 41% 9% 3%	 	43 48			26	42%
 TOTAL	 87 	100		113	100	 - +	200	100

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '								А	GE								l TO	TAL
	18-	-24		25-	-35		35-	-44	45	-54		55-	-64		6	5+		
++ HOW			 			-+- 			+ 		-+- 			 			+ 	
Very	6	46%	i	6	30%	i	8	35%	10	26%	i	19	46%	i	28	49%	77	40%
Important	6	46%		10	50%		11	48%	16	42%		14	34%		22	39%	79	41%
Not too	1	8%		3	15%		3	13%	7	18%		6	15%		6	11%	26	14%
Not at all	0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	4	11%		0	0%		0	0 %	4	2%
Don't know	0	0%		1	5%	-	1	4%	1	3%		2	5%		1	2%	6	3%
						-1											1	
TOTAL	13	100		20	100	-	23	100	38	100		41	100		57	100	192	100

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

						ш.		
	Schoo	ol Aç Ho	ome	€		İ	TO	ΓAL
	•	es	İ	No)	İ		
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	 10 9 5	38% 35% 19% 0% 8%	 	70 75 21 4	40%	 	80 84 26 4	40% 42% 13% 2% 3%
 TOTAL	 26 +	100	 -+-	174	100	 -+-	200	100

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		-	Se:			-+·	TOT	TAL	-+
	Ma	le	Fema	ale	Ì				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all	35 12	42% 42% 14% 1%	 	49 14	39% 42% 12% 3%	 	80 84 26	40% 42% 13% 2%	+
Don't know	1	1%	1	5	4%	1	6	3%	
TOTAL	84	100	į	116	100	į	200	100	j

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

1						- 1			- 1
	Prop	perty Wa	y 1 ate	r Own Next er	to		TO	ΓAL	
		es		No					
HOW IMPORTANT:									
Very Important	27	49% 31%		42	54% 37%	i	69		
Not too Not at all		13%		7 1	• •	1	18 2		-
Don't know	5	6%	1	2	2%	1	7	4%	
TOTAL	87	100		113	100	 -+-	200	100	 -+

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

· 		AGE													l TO	ΓAL			
	18	-24	+							45-54 55-64				 65+					
HOW	- -																		
IMPORTANT:																			
Very	10	77%		10	50%		8	35%	:	27	71%		17	41%	2	8	49%	100	52%
Important	3	23%		9	45%		6	26%	1	9	24%		16	39%	2	3	40%	66	34%
Not too	0	0%		0	0%		8	35%	1	1	3%		6	15%		2	4%	17	9%
Not at all	0	0%		0	0%		1	4%		1	3%		0	0%		0	0%	2	1%
Don't know	0	0%		1	5%		0	0%		0	0%		2	5%		4	7%	7	4%
			1			1			1			1						1	
TOTAL	13	100	İ	20	100	İ	23	100	:	38	100	İ	41	100	5	7	100	192	100

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	 Schoo	ol Aq Ho	İ	TO	FAL	-+ -			
		es	İ						
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	9 4 1	46% 35% 15% 4% 0%	 	92 60 14	53% 34% 8% 1%	1 1 1 1	104 69	9% 1%	-+
TOTAL	26 +	100	 -+-	174	100	- -	200	100	 -+

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	_	ex +	TOTAL
	Male	Female	i i
HOW IMPORTANT: Very	39 46% 37 44% 6 7% 1 1%	+	
TOTAL	84 100	116 100 +	200 100

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	L		
	Property Wa	or Own Next to	TOTAL
1	Yes	No	+
HOW IMPORTANT:	 		
Very		25 22% 50 44%	38 19% 85 43%
Not too	26 30%		57 29%
Not at all		4 4% 3 3%	7 4% 13 7%
	1 10 119	2 20	10 /0
TOTAL	87 100	113 100	200 100
1	I	1	1

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		AGE 												
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+								
HOW		 !		 		 	 							
IMPORTANT: Very	1 8%	 2 10%	 4 17%	8 21%	 6 15%	 15 26%	 36 19%							
Important	3 23%	5 25%	10 43%	21 55%	15 37%	25 44%	79 41%							
Not too	6 46%	10 50%	6 26%	7 18%	15 37%	13 23%	57 30%							
Not at all	0 0%	2 10%	1 4%	0 0%	2 5%	2 4%	7 4%							
Don't know	3 23%	1 5%	2 9%	2 5%] 3 7%	2 4%	13 7%							
	13 100	20 100	 23 100 +	38 100		 57 100	192 100							

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	 Schoo	ol Aç Ho		TO	ΓAL				
		es			 -				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	 3 7 11	12% 27% 42% 4% 15%		35 78 46 6	20% 45% 26% 3% 5%	1 1 1 1	38 85 57 7	29%	-+
 TOTAL	I	100	 -+-	174	100	 -+-	200	100	 -+

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion

By Respondent's Sex

(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

			le:				TO	ΓAL	
		.e	İ	Fema	ale	İ			
HOW IMPORTANT:								100	-+
Very Important Not too	30	13% 36% 38%		55	23% 47% 22%		85	19% 43% 29%	
Not at all Don't know		7%			1%		7	4% 7%	i
TOTAL ++	84	100		116	100	 -+-	200	100	 -+

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Re Prope	ent o erty Wat		TO	гаL			
	Yes +	;		-				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	21 2 40 4 22 2 3 1	4% 6% 5% 3% 1%	31 62 16 3	27% 55% 14% 3% 1%		52 102 38 6 2	51% 19% 3% 1%	-+

Q15: How Important Issue: Pollution From Recreational Activities By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		AGE												:	TOTAL				
	18-	-24	 	25-	35	İ	35-	-44	45 +	-54			-64			5+			
HOW			+ 						 										
IMPORTANT:														1					
Very	5	38%		5	25%		5	22%	8	21%		14	34%	1	14	25%	;	51	27%
Important	7	54%		9	45%		13	57%	24	63%		14	34%	1	30	53%	9	97	51%
Not too	1	8%		6	30%		4	17%	3	8%		11	27%	1	12	21%	3	37	19%
Not at all	0	0%	1	0	0%	-	1	4%	3	8%	1	1	2%		0	0%		5	3%
Don't know	0	0%	1	0	0%	-	0	0%	0	0%	1	1	2%		1	2%		2	1%
			1			-					1			1					
TOTAL	13	100	1	20	100	1	23	100	38	100	1	41	100	1	57	100	19	92	100

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

İ	+ Schoo 	Ho	Ì	TO:	FAL	-+ -			
İ	Ye	es							
•	+		-+-			-+-			-+
HOW	l								
IMPORTANT:									
Very	9	35%		43	25%		52	26%	
Important	13	50%		89	51%		102	51%	
Not too	3	12%		35	20%		38	19%	
Not at all	1	4%		5	3%		6	3%	
Don't know	0	0%		2	1%		2	1%	
1	I		1			-			
TOTAL	26	100	Ì	174	100	İ	200	100	İ

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		TOTAL	
i	Male	-+ Female	
+		-+	++
HOW			
IMPORTANT:			1
Very	15 18%	37 32%	52 26%
Important	47 56%	55 47%	102 51%
Not too	19 23%	19 16%	38 19%
Not at all	3 4%	3 3%	6 3%
Don't know	0 0%	2 2%	2 1%
TOTAL	84 100	116 100	200 100
+		-+	++

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Rent Property	TOTAL	
	Yes	No	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	14 16% 45 52% 17 20% 1 1%	 19 17% 65 58% 11 10% 1 1% 17 15%	
TOTAL	87 100	113 100 +	200 100 ++

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

· 	AGE						
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
HOW							
<pre>IMPORTANT: Very </pre>	1 8%	3 15%	। 5 22%	। 7 18%	8 20%	 6 11%	30 16%
<pre>Important </pre>	9 69%	11 55%	10 43%	23 61%	20 49%	33 58%	106 55%
Not too	0 0%	4 20%	6 26%	3 8%	5 12%	9 16%	27 14%
Not at all	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	0 0%	1 2%	2 1%
Don't know	3 23%	2 10%	2 9%	4 11%	8 20%	8 14%	27 14%
TOTAL	13 100	20 100	23 100	38 100	41 100	57 100	192 100

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

İ	School Age Child in Home					Ĺ			
		++ Yes No							
HOW	+ 		+-			-+-			-+
IMPORTANT:	1								
Very	5	19%		28	16%		33	17%	
Important	12	46%	1	98	56%		110	55%	
Not too	6	23%	1	22	13%		28	14%	
Not at all	0	0%	1	2	1%		2	1%	
Don't know	3	12%	1	24	14%		27	14%	
1	1								
TOTAL	26 100 174 100					200	100		

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Se	TOTAL	
į		Female	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very	15 18% 1 1% 12 14%	25 22% 25 22% 62 53% 13 11% 1 1% 15 13%	33 17% 110 55% 28 14% 2 1% 27 14%
TOTAL ++	84 100	116 100 +	200 100 ++

Q17: How Important Issue: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	L		
	Rent of Property	TOTAL	
 	Yes	- 	
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes No/DK			
TOTAL	87 100 +	113 100 +	200 100 ++

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	+ 	AGE					
+	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes No/DK	 0 0% 13 100	 3 15% 17 85%	 2 9% 21 91%	 2 5% 36 95%	6 15% 35 85%	 12 21% 45 79%	25 13%

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned

By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	School Age Child in Home					TOTAL		
 	++ Yes No ++						 -	
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes	 	 			 	27	14%	
No/DK	23 88 	3응 	150	86%	1	173	87%	1
TOTAL			174				100	 -+

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned By Respondent's Sex (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Se	TOTAL	
i	Male	' Female +	·
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes	5 6%	 22 19%	 27 14%
TOTAL	84 100	116 100	200 100

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Rent or Own Property Next to Water				T(DTAL	
	+++ Yes No						
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly			+ 				
agree	7	8%	12	11%	1 19	9 10%	i
Agree	46	53%	59	52%	105	5 53%	
Disagree Strongly	23	26%	29 	26%	52 	2 26%	
disagree Don't	2	2%	3	3%	;	5 3%	
believe	0	0%	1	1%	3	L 1%	
Don't know	9	10%	9 	8%	18	3 9%	
TOTAL +	87	100	113	100	200	100	

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	 +		AC	ЭЕ			TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	'
+	6 46% 2 15% 2 15% 0 0%		2 9% 13 57% 6 26% 1 4% 0 0% 1 4%	4 11% 18 47% 12 32% 0 0% 0 0% 4 11%		4 7% 29 51% 17 30% 0 0% 1 2% 6 11%	19 10% 19 52% 51 27%
 TOTAL	 13 100 +	 20 100 +	23 100	38 100	 41 100 +	 57 100 	 192 100 +

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

+	+				+		+
	Schoo	School Age Child in Home					ral
	++ Yes No +						י +
 AGREE/	i I		i		i		i
DISAGREE:	i		i		i		i
Strongly	l		1				I
agree	1	4%	18	10%		19	10%
Agree	16	62%	89	51%		105	53%
Disagree	7	27%	45	26%		52	26%
Strongly	1						I
disagree	1	4%	4	2%		5	3%
Don't							I
believe	0	0%	1	1%		1	1%
Don't know	1	4%	17	10%		18	9%
	l						I
TOTAL	26	100	174	100		200	100
+	+		+		+		+

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Respondent's Sex (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		Sex	TOTAL
	Male	Female	+
+		-+ 	++
Strongly agree	6 7% 42 50%	 13 11% 63 54%	19 10% 105 53%
Agree Disagree Strongly	25 30%	27 23%	52 26%
disagree Don't	1 1%	4 3% 	5 3% 1
believe Don't know	0 0% 10 12%	1 1% 8 7%	1 1% 18 9%
TOTAL	84 100	116 100	200 100

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

+			
	Rent Propert	TOTAL	
	Yes	No	+
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Agree Disagree			
disagree	 4 5% 	7 6%	 11 6%
believe	I 0 0%	1 1%	1 1%
Don't know		5 4%	8 4%
TOTAL	87 100 +	113 100	200 100 ++

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		AGE								
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+				
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Agree Disagree	5 38% 7 54%	 9 45% 11 55%	10 43%	17 45% 16 42%	 	25 44% 28 49%	80 42% 94 49%			
Strongly disagree Don't	1 8%	 00% 	 3 13% 	2 5%	 3 7% 	1 2%	 10 5% 			
believe Don't know	0 0% 0 0%	0 0% 0 0%	0 0% 0 0%	0 0% 3 8%	0 0% 2 5%	1 2% 2 4%	1 1% 7 4%			
TOTAL ++	13 100	 20 100 +	23 100	38 100	 41 100 	57 100	 192 100 			

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

+	+					+			-+
	Schoo	ol Ag	је	Chil	ld ir	1	TOT	ΓAL	-
		НС	ome	9		1			-
-	+ V4		-+-	No I					1
+	Yes ++		. + .		, 	- -+			- -+
AGREE/			i			i			i
DISAGREE:			İ			İ			ĺ
Agree	10	38%		73	42%		83	42%	
Disagree	13	50%		84	48%		97	49%	
Strongly									
disagree	2	8%		9	5%		11	6%	
Don't									
believe	0	0%		1	1%		1	1%	
Don't know	1	4%		7	4%		8	4%	
1									
TOTAL	26	100		174	100		200	100	-
+	+		+-			+			-+

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking By Respondent's Sex

(Unweighted Madison County Sample)
+-----+
| Sex | TOTAL |
| +----+
Male	Female				
LAGREE/					
LAgree.....	33 39%	50 43%	83 42%		
Disagree....	43 51%	54 47%	97 49%		
Strongly					
Sex	TOTAL				
Male	Female				
Lagree...	1				
Lagree...	1				
Lagree...	2				
Lagree...	4 5%	7 6%	11 6%		
Lagree...	4 5%	7 6%	11 6%		
Lagree...	50 0%	1 1%	1 1%		
Lagree...	6 3 0%	1 1%	1 1%		
Lagree...	84 100	116 100	200 100		

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on
Recreational Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Rent Property Wa	TOTAL	
	Yes	l No	+
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree Don't know	1 1 18 20 238 47 548	1 1% 15 13% 73 65% 16 14% 8 7%	
TOTAL	87 100 	 113 100 +	200 100

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		 	TOTAL				
	18-24	25-35	+ 35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
AGREE /		+ 	+ 	 		 	
DISAGREE:			l				
Strongly			l				
agree	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	1 2%	2 1%
Agree	2 15%	5 25%	6 26%	8 21%	8 20%	3 5%	32 17%
Disagree	9 69%	9 45%	11 48%	23 61%	27 66%	39 68%	118 61%
Strongly			l				
disagree	0 0%	6 30%	5 22%	5 13%	3 7%	8 14%	27 14%
Don't know	2 15%	0 0%	1 4%	2 5%	2 5%	6 11%	13 7%
1			l				
TOTAL	13 100	20 100	23 100	38 100	41 100	57 100	192 100

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

+	+					+			+
	Schoo	ol Ago Ho	n 	TOTAL					
 	++ Yes +		+- +-	No					+
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly	 	0.0	- -	2	1.0		2	1.0	1111
agree	0	0%		2	1%	-	2	1%	
Agree	3	12%		32	18%		35	18%	
Disagree	15	58%		105	60%		120	60%	1
Strongly	l								1
disagree	5	19%		25	14%		30	15%	1
Don't know	3	12%	ı	10	6%	1	13	7%	Ī
	İ		ĺ			İ			i
TOTAL	26	100		174	100	1	200	100	
+	+		+-			+			+

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Sex (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		Š	į	TOTAL					
	Ma	Male		Female					
+			-+-			-+			-+
AGREE/						-			
DISAGREE:									
Strongly									
agree	0	0%		2	2%		2	1%	
Agree	9	11%		26	22%		35	18%	
Disagree	60	71%		60	52%		120	60%	
Strongly									
disagree	11	13%		19	16%		30	15%	
Don't know	4	5%		9	8%		13	7%	
1									
TOTAL	84	100		116	100		200	100	

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Rent Property	TOTAL	
	Yes	-+ No -+	-
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Don't know	36 41% 1 1%	 58 51% 52 46% 1 1% 2 2%	
TOTAL	 87 100 +	 113 100 -+	200 100 +

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		TOTAL					
	18-24			45-54	55-64	65+	-
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			 	 	 		
Strongly	0 600	12 (50)	10.500	16.400	17 410	26 620	100 500
agree Agree	8 62% 5 38%	13 65% 7 35%	12 52% 10 43%	16 42% 21 55%	17 41% 23 56%		102 53% 86 45%
Disagree Don't know	0 0% 0 0%	0 0% 0 0%	0 0% 1 4%	1 3% 0 0%	1 2% 0 0%	0 0% 1 2%	2 1% 2 1%
	13 100	20 100	23 100	38 100	41 100	57 100	192 100

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	l	ol Age Hor	+-	TOTAL			
	•	es		No			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Don't know	15 0 1	38% 58% 0% 4%	73 2 1	56% 42% 1% 1%	 	88 2 2	

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		Se	+	+ TOTAL					
	+- Male +-		Female					į	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Disagree	47		+	61 51 2	53% 44% 2% 2%	 	108 88 2 2	44%	-+
TOTAL	84	100		116	100	ĺ	200	100	į

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		Rent perty Wa		TO	ΓAL			
	Υe	es		No)			
AGREE/			1			1		
DISAGREE:			i			i		
Strongly								
agree	25	29%		31	27%		56	28%
Agree	49	56%		51	45%		100	50%
Disagree	8	9%		13	12%		21	11%
Strongly								
disagree	0	0%		1	1%		1	1%
Don't								
believe	0	0%		1	1%		1	1%
Don't know	5	6%		16	14%		21	11%
TOTAL	87	100		113	100		200	100
+			+-			+		

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	AGE														I TO	TAL	
	18-	-24	25	-35		35-	-44	45	-54		55-	-64		6	5+		
++ AGREE/			+ 		-+- 											+ 	
DISAGREE:			I										1				
Strongly			I										1			I	
agree	5	38%	7	35%		5	22%	8	21%		14	34%	1	17	30%	56	29%
Agree	4	31%	7	35%		12	52%	21	55%		20	49%	1	31	54%	95	49%
Disagree	2	15%	3	15%		4	17%	5	13%		2	5%	1	3	5%	19	10%
Strongly			I										1			1	
disagree	0	0%	0	0 %		0	0%	1	3%		0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1%
Don't			I										1			1	
believe	1	8%	0	0 %		0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	1	0	0%	1	1%
Don't know	1	8%	3	15%		2	9%	3	8%		5	12%	1	6	11%	20	10%
			I		1								1			I	
TOTAL	13	100	20	100	İ	23	100	38	100	Ì	41	100	İ	57	100	192	100

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

+	+				+	
	School	ol Age Hor	TO	TAL		
	Ye		l No			
+	+		+		+	
AGREE/						- 1
DISAGREE:	I		l			ĺ
Strongly	1				1	1
agree	9	35%	47	27%	56	28%
Agree	8	31%	92	53%	100	50%
Disagree	5	19%	16	9%	21	11%
Strongly						
disagree	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%
Don't			l			
believe	1	4%	0	0%	1	1%
Don't know	3	12%	18	10%	21	11%
	1		l			
TOTAL	26	100	174	100	200	100
+	+		+		+	+

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		TOTAL	
	Male	Female	†
++		-+	++
AGREE/			
DISAGREE:		1	
Strongly			
agree	21 25%	35 30%	56 28%
Agree	43 51%	57 49%	100 50%
Disagree	9 11%	12 10%	21 11%
Strongly			1
disagree	1 1%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't			
believe	1 1%	0 0%	1 1%
Don't know	9 11%	12 10%	21 11%
			ı i
TOTAL	84 100	116 100	200 100
++		-+	++

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	i		
	Rent Rent Property Wa	TOTAL 	
-	+ Yes +	No	+ ++
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Don't know	48 55% 3 3% 1 1 1%		

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	AGE														TO	TAL		
	18-	24		25-	-35		35-	-44	45	-54		55-	-64		65	5+		
AGREE/ DISAGREE:			+ 			 			+ 								+ 	
Strongly agree	8	62%	 	6	30%	1	8	35%	 17	45%	1	22	54%		30	53%	 91	47%
Agree	5 0	38%	İ	14	70% 0%	İ	14 1		18 1	47% 3%	İ	16 3	39% 7%	İ	25 1	44% 2%	92 6	
Don't know	0	0%	į	0	0%	į	0		2		į	0	0%		1] 3	
 TOTAL	13	100	 	20	100		23	100	 38 +	100		41	100		57	100	 192	100

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	School Ac	TOTAL	
	Yes	l No l	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Don't know	13 50% 0 0%		95 48% 96 48%
TOTAL	26 100	174 100 -+	200 100

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		s	+	+ TOTAL +					
	Ма		Fema	ale	İ				
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree	40 40 1	48% 48% 1%		55	47% 48%		95 96 6 3	48% 48% 3% 2%	
TOTAL	84	100		116	100		200	100	

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	L		
	Rent of Property	TOTAL 	
+	Yes	' ' 	
HEARD OF BWA:	 	 	
Yes	48 55%	42 37%	90 45%
No/DK	39 45%	71 63%	110 55%
 TOTAL	 87 100 +	 113 100 +	 200 100 ++

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		AGE								
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	 			
HEARD OF BWA:		 			 	 				
No/DK										
TOTAL										

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

+	+			+ -				
	l	Hon	İ	TOTAL				
-	+	+						
	Yes	s	No)				
+	+				+-			
HEARD OF					1			
BWA:								
Yes	17 6	55%	73	42%		90	45%	
No/DK	9 3	35%	101	58%		110	55%	
TOTAL	26 1	.00	174	100		200	100	
+	+				+-			

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Se	++ TOTAL	
i	Male	 Female 	
HEARD OF BWA: Yes	32 38%	 58 50%	 90 45%
TOTAL		•	

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

	Property Wa	or Own Next to ter	++ TOTAL
	Yes	No	i i
CONTACTED			
Yes	17 35%	15 36%	32 36%
No/DK	31 65%	27 64% 	58 64%
TOTAL	48 100	42 100	90 100 ++

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		AGE									
 	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	, I				
CONTACTED BWA: Yes No/DK	 6 55% 5 45%	 	7 35% 1 13 65%	6 55% 5 45%	 	7 41% 10 59%	 31 38% 51 62%				

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Madison County Sample)

			TOTAL						
	++ Yes +			No)	İ			 -+
CONTACTED BWA: Yes No/DK	 6 11	35% 65% 100		26 47 73	36% 64%	-	58	64%	-+ -+

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Madison County Sample)

		=====================================	TOTAL
i i	Male	Female +	
CONTACTED BWA: Yes No/DK	15 47%	 17 29%	
TOTAL	32 100	58 100	90 100

WASHINGTON COUNTY CROSS-TABULATIONS UNWEIGHTED SAMPLE

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+									
		Rent or Own Property Next to Water						ΓAL	
	Y е	es		No)				
USE LAKE FOR: Drinking water Farm, ranch Fishing Boating, etc	4 12	82% 24% 71%	+ 	10	92% 12% 49%	 	90 14 53	14% 53%	-+
Camping Hiking Birding	9	47% 53% 6% 0%	 	35	52% 42% 22% 0%		51 44 19 0	44%	
No use mentioned		0%	 	0	0%		0	0%	
TOTAL	17 +	100	 -+	83	100	 -+-	100	100	 -+

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

++			+				
			AC	GE .		ĺ	TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	- I
USE LAKE		++ 		+ 			+
FOR:		1 1					
Drinking		i i		İ	ĺ	ĺ	İ
water	5 83%	26 84%	24 92%	5 71%	7 100	13 100	80 89%
Farm, ranch.	2 33%	7 23%	3 12%	0 0%	1 14%	0 0%	13 14%
Fishing	3 50%	17 55%	15 58%	3 43%	4 57%	7 54%	49 54%
Boating,		1 1					
etc	3 50%	11 35%	10 38%	5 71%	2 29%	4 31%	35 39%
Camping	5 83%	13 42%	15 58%	4 57%	4 57%	3 23%	44 49%
Hiking	2 33%	13 42%	13 50%	4 57%	3 43%	5 38%	40 44%
Birding	2 33%	2 6%	6 23%	0 0%	3 43%	3 23%	16 18%
Other	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
No use							
mentioned	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
TOTAL	6 100	31 100	26 100	7 100	7 100	13 100	90 100
++		++					

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+	+					+-		+
 	Schoo 	School Age Child in Home						· TAL
	Ye	Yes		No				,
USE LAKE FOR: Drinking	 		 					
water	25	83%		65	93%		90	90%
Farm, ranch.	4	13%		10	14%		14	14%
Fishing	19	63%		34	49%		53	53%
Boating,								1
etc	12	40%		29	41%	1	41	41%
Camping	16	53%	1	35	50%	ı	51	51% I
Hiking		57%	i	27	39%	i	44	44% I
Birding		10%	i	16	23%	i	19	19% I
Other		0%	i	0	0%	i	0	0% I
No use			i			i	-	i
mentioned		0%		0	0%	Ì	0	0%
 TOTAL	 30 +	100	 +-	70	100	 -	100	 100 +

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Sex (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

		S:		TOTAL					
	Male		I	Female					
USE LAKE FOR: Drinking water		95%	 		86%		90	90%	+
Farm, ranch. Fishing	6 25	14% 58%		8 28			14 53	14% 53%	1
Boating, etc Camping	21	44%	 	30	39% 53%	1	41 51	41% 51%	1
Hiking Birding Other		42응 16응 0응	 	26 12 0		 	44 19 0	44% 19% 0%	
No use mentioned	0	0%	 	0	0%	İ	0	0%	
TOTAL	43	100	 	57	100		100	100	1 1

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

 	Rent or Own Property Next to Water						TO'	 FAL	-+
	++ Yes No +								
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too	10 6 1	59% 35% 6% 100		60 20 3	72% 24% 4%		70 26 4	4%	

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

		 AGE 						
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	· 	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important	5 83% 1 17%	 24 77% 6 19%	 17 65% 8 31%	 6 86% 1 14%	6 86%	 7 54%	 65 72% 22 24%	
TOTAL	6 100	31 100	26 100	7 100	7 100	13 100	90 100	

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	+ School Ag Ho	i i	
- 	+ Yes +	i i	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important	 21 70% 8 27%	 49 70% 18 26%	
TOTAL	, 30 100 +	, 70 100	100 100 ++

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+	+		
	' S€ +	TOTAL	
	Male	Female	i i
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too	17 40%	 	
TOTAL	43 100 +	57 100 +	100 100

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	F F Prop	+	ТО	ral	+				
	Υe		İ	No)	į			į
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know ITOTAL	3 4 1 2	41% 18% 24% 6% 12%	 	59 16 8 0	71% 19% 10% 0%	+	66 19 12 1 2	12% 1% 2%	+

Q10: How Important Issue: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	AGE						
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54 	55-64	65+	
HOW		 	+ 				
IMPORTANT:		1	1		1		
Very	5 83%	21 68%	17 65%	4 57%	6 86%	6 46%	59 66%
Important	1 17%	5 16%	4 15%	2 29%	1 14%	4 31%	17 19%
Not too	0 0%	5 16%	5 19%	1 14%	0 0%	1 8%	12 13%
Not at all	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	1 1%
Don't know	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	1 1%
İ		1	1	l i	ĺ		İ
TOTAL	6 100	31 100	26 100	7 100	7 100	13 100	90 100

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+	+ Schoo 	ol Aç Ho	+-	TOTAL					
· 	Yes No								İ
+	+		-+-			+-			-+
HOW									
IMPORTANT:									
Very	17	57%		49	70%		66	66%	
Important	4	13%		15	21%	1	19	19%	-
Not too	7	23%	1	5	7%	1	12	12%	-
Not at all	1	3%	1	0	0%	Ι	1	1%	-
Don't know	1	3%	1	1	1%	Ι	2	2%	-
i	l		i			i			i
TOTAL	30	100	İ	70	100	İ	100	100	i
+	+		-+-			+-			-+

Q10: How Important Issue:
Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

		+-	+ TOTAL						
	Male Fema			Fema	-				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important	25 10	58% 23% 16%	 	41 9 5	72% 16% 9%	 	66 19	66% 19% 12%	-+
Not at all Don't know	_	0% 2%	1	1	2%	 	1 2	1% 2%	1
TOTAL ++	43	100 	 -+-	57 	100 	 -	100 	100 	 -+

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	Frop	ТО		-+					
			İ	No)				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	7 8 0 0	41% 47% 0% 0% 12%	 	42 32 3 4	51% 39%	1 1 1 1 1	49 40	40% 3% 4%	-+
TOTAL	17 	100	 -+-	83	100	+	100	100	 -+

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	L					т.			
	Schoo	Н	Ì	TO	ΓAL				
		es	İ	No)	İ			
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	1 14 10 2 3	47% 33% 7% 10%	 	35 30 1	50% 43% 1% 1%	 	49 40 3 4	40%	
TOTAL	30 +	100	 -+-	70	100	-	100	100	 -+

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments

By Respondent's Sex

(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

++	++								-+
		_	ez +				TOTAL		
i	Ма	Male Female		İ					
HOW									-+
IMPORTANT:									
Very	21	49%		28	49%		49	49%	
Important	16	37%		24	42%		40	40%	
Not too	2	5%		1	2%		3	3%	
Not at all	3	7%		1	2%		4	4%	
Don't know	1	2%		3	5%		4	4%	
1									
TOTAL	43	100		57	100		100	100	
++			+-			+			-+

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

·	L		
	Rent (Property Wa	TOTAL	
	Yes	•	+
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all	5 29% 4 24% 1 6%	7 8% 3 4%	
TOTAL	17 100 +	83 100 +	100 100 ++

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

			A(GE			TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
HOW IMPORTANT:		-+ 	 	 	 	 	
Very	3 50%	9 29%	13 50%	4 57%	3 43%	5 38%	37 41%
Important	3 50%	18 58%	9 35%	3 43%	4 57%	3 23%	40 44%
Not too	0 0%	3 10%	2 8%	0 0%	0 0%	4 31%	9 10%
Not at all	0 0%	1 3%	2 8%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	4 4%
 TOTAL	6 100	 31 100	 26 100	 7 100	 7 100	13 100	90 100

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

1		School Age Child in Home							-+
	Ye	es	İ	No)	İ			İ
+	+		+-			-+-			-+
HOW									
IMPORTANT:			1						
Very	8	27%		34	49%		42	42%	
Important	16	53%		27	39%		43	43%	
Not too	3	10%	1	8	11%		11	11%	
Not at all	3	10%	1	1	1%		4	4%	
TOTAL	30	100	1	70	100	İ	100	100	İ
+	+		+-			-+-			-+

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

Ma	ale	Female	
Important 15 Not too 6 Not at all 2	0 47% 5 35% 6 14% 2 5% 3 100	28 49% 5 9% 2 4%	

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	Rent Property Wa	++ TOTAL 	
	wa Yes		
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	7 41% 2 12% 0 0%		
TOTAL	17 100	83 100	100 100

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

		AGE							TO	ΓAL									
	18-			25-	-35	İ	35	-44	İ	45-	-54	İ	55-	-64	•	5+			
HOW						1													
IMPORTANT:															1				
Very	5	83%		13	42%		11	42%		5	71%		5	71%	5	38%		44	49%
Important	1	17%		12	39%		10	38%		2	29%		2	29%	7	54%		34	38%
Not too	0	0%		2	6%		5	19%		0	0%		0	0 %	C	0%		7	8%
Not at all	0	0%	1	1	3%		0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	0	0%		1	1%
Don't know	0	0%	1	3	10%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	8%		4	4%
İ			1			İ			ı			i			1		1		
TOTAL	6	100	i	31	100	i	26	100	i	7	100	i	7	100	i 13	100	i	90	100

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

i i	School Age Child in Home					TO.	l'AL	
		es	İ	No)	İ		
++ HOW			-+- 			-+ 		
IMPORTANT:			i			i		
Very	14	47%		35	50%		49	49%
Important	10	33%		29	41%		39	39%
Not too	3	10%		4	6%		7	7%
Not at all	1	3%		0	0%		1	1%
Don't know	2	7%		2	3%		4	4%
TOTAL	30	100		70	100		100	100

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	Sex						TOTAL		
				Female					i
HOW IMPORTANT:	17	40%		32	56%		49	49%	-+
Important Not too	20	47% 9% 2%			33% 5%			39% 7%	
Not at all Don't know	1			3			4		
TOTAL ++	43 	100	+-	57 	100	+	100	100	 -+

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	Rent o Property Wa	TOTAL	
	Yes	- I	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Don't know	9 53% 3 18%	 23 28% 38 46% 16 19% 6 7%	
TOTAL	17 100 +	83 100 +	100 100 ++

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+	+ 		A	 }E			++ TOTAL
1	18-24	+ 25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Don't know	2 33% 2 33%	+	8 31% 10 38% 7 27% 1 4%	2 29% 3 43% 2 29% 0 0%		2 15% 8 62% 2 15% 1 8%	
TOTAL		31 100	26 100	7 100	7 100	1 3 100	90 100

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

1	1					- 1			
Ì	School Age Child in Home								
İ	++ Yes No								
+	+		+-			+			
HOW	I					1			
IMPORTANT:	ĺ		ĺ			İ			
Very	8	27%		19	27%		27	27%	
Important	9	30%		38	54%		47	47%	
Not too	9	30%		10	14%	1	19	19%	
Don't know	4	13%		3	4%	1	7	7%	
1	l					1			
TOTAL	30	100		70	100	1	100	100	
+	+		+-			+			

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion

By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	 	+ TOTAL			
	Male	+	ale		 +
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Don't know	11 26 17 40 12 28 3 7	% 16 % 30 % 7 % 4	28% 53% 12% 7%	 27 47 19	27% 27% 47% 19% 7%

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	Rent Property	TOTAL	
1	Yes	+ 	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all	5 29% 4 24%		
TOTAL	17 100 	83 100 +	100 100 ++

Q15: How Important Issue: Pollution From Recreational Activities By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

			A(3E			TOTAL
	18-24	25-35	35-44 	45-54	55-64	65+	, I
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all	2 33% 2 33% 4 67% 0 0% 0 0%	7 23% 7 23% 15 48% 6 19% 3 10%	7 27% 7 27% 10 38% 8 31% 1 4%	2 29% 4 57% 1 14% 0 0%	5 71% 2 29% 0 0% 0 0%	3 23% 7 54% 2 15% 1 8%	

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

 	School		ome			ĺ	TOT	ΓAL	
 		es	İ	No		İ			
HOW IMPORTANT:	 					1			
Very	9	30%	İ	20	29%	İ	29	29%	
Important	11	37%		35	50%	1	46	46%	
Not too	6	20%		13	19%	1	19	19%	
Not at all	4	13%		2	3%		6	6%	
TOTAL	30	100		70	100		100	100	

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

		 ex +	++ TOTAL
	'	Female	
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too	9 21% 19 44% 12 28%	 20 35% 27 47% 7 12% 3 5%	 29 29%
TOTAL	43 100	, 57 100 +	100 100

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+			
	Rent Propert	TOTAL	
	Yes	No	-
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	3 18% 9 53% 4 24% 0 0%	 14 17% 47 57%	 17 17% 56 56%
TOTAL	17 100	83 100 -+	100 100 +

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	AGE							İ	TO	ΓAL										
	18-	-24		25-	-35		35-			45-			55-	-64		65	5+			
HOW																				
IMPORTANT:																				
Very	1	17%		3	10%		3	12%		2	29%		4	57%		3	23%		16	18%
Important	3	50%		19	61%		13	50%		4	57%		3	43%		8	62%		50	56%
Not too	2	33%		2	6%		8	31%		0	0%		0	0%		1	8%		13	14%
Not at all	0	0%		1	3%		0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	1	1	8%		2	2%
Don't know	0	0%		6	19%		2	8%	1	1	14%		0	0%		0	0%		9	10%
			1			1			1			1			1			1		
TOTAL	6	100	İ	31	100	İ	26	100	İ	7	100	İ	7	100	İ	13	100	İ	90	100

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

1	School Age Child in Home						TOT	ΓAL
		es	İ	No)	İ		
HOW			-+-			+		
IMPORTANT:			İ			İ		
Very	3	10%		14	20%		17	17%
<pre>Important </pre>	16	53%		40	57%		56	56%
Not too	4	13%		11	16%		15	15%
Not at all	1	3%		1	1%		2	2%
Don't know	6	20%	1	4	6%		10	10%
TOTAL	30	100	1	70	100		100	100

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+				+-			-+
				į	TOT	TAL	į
+ 		· 		+-			-+
6 3	14%	11	19%		17	17%	
26	60%	30	53%		56	56%	
5 3	12%	10	18%		15	15%	
2	5%	0	0%		2	2%	
4	9%	6	11%		10	10%	
43 3	100 I	57	100		100	100	1
	Male H	Male 	Male Fem	Male Female 	Male Female	Male Female	Male Female

Q17: How Important Issue: Other Problem Mentioned
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

Rent or Own TOTAL Property Next to Water Water Yes No Head Water W	1			1 1
Yes No		Property Wa	TOTAL 	
MENTIONED:	+	Yes	l No	
TOTAL 17 100 83 100 100 100	MENTIONED: Yes No/DK	16 94%	69 83%	85 85%

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	AGE						
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes No/DK	0 0% 0 0% 0 6 100		6 23% 20 77% 26 100	2 29% 5 71% 7 100	3 43% 4 57% 7 100	2 15% 1 2 15% 11 85% 1 13 100	

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned

By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	School Age Child in Home						TO	ral	-+
		es	İ	No)	İ			 -+
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes No/DK	 5 25	17%		10 60	14% 86%		85	85%	İ

Q17: Other Water Problem Mentioned
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

·	L	_	++
	Se	ex	TOTAL
 	•	Female	
PROBLEM MENTIONED: Yes	 8 19%	 7 12%	
No/DK	35 81% 	50 88% 	85 85%
TOTAL	43 100	57 100	100 100

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+			++
	Rent o Property Wa:	TOTAL	
	Yes	l No	r
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree Don't know	9 53% 4 24% 0 0%	9 11% 9 11% 41 49% 21 25% 2 2% 10 12%	
TOTAL	17 100	83 100 +	100 100 ++

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	AGE									
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+				
AGREE/		 	 -	 						
DISAGREE:		1			I	I				
Strongly		1		I	I	I				
agree	0 0%	2 6%	6 23%	0 0%	1 14%	1 8%	10 11%			
Agree	3 50%	12 39%	15 58%	4 57%	5 71%	5 38%	44 49%			
Disagree	0 0%	14 45%	2 8%	1 14%	0 0%	5 38%	22 24%			
Strongly					I	I				
disagree	0 0%	0 0%	2 8%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%			
Don't know	3 50%	3 10%	1 4%	2 29%	1 14%	2 15%	12 13%			
					I	I				
TOTAL	6 100	31 100	26 100	7 100	7 100	13 100	90 100			

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

 	Scho	ol Ag Ho		TO	ΓAL			
 	' Ye	 -	No		İ			
 AGREE/	' 		i					
DISAGREE:								
Strongly								
agree	2	7%		8	11%		10	10%
Agree	17	57%		33	47%		50	50%
Disagree	7	23%		18	26%		25	25%
Strongly								
disagree	2	7%		0	0%		2	2%
Don't know	2	7%		11	16%		13	13%
TOTAL	30	100		70	100	1	L00	100

Q18: Water Quality in Beaver Lake is Endangered By Respondent's Sex (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

		++ TOTAL	
	Male	Female	†
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly	5 129 20 479 12 289	30 53%	
disagree Don't know	2 58 4 98		2 2%
TOTAL	43 100	57 100	100 100

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

			· · ·
	Rent Property Wa	TOTAL	
	Yes	No	-
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree	5 29% 11 65% 0 0%	1 1% 29 35% 37 45% 10 12%	
Don't know TOTAL		6 7% 83 100	7 7%
+	+	+	++

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of
Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

		AGE											TAL	-+						
	18	-24	į	25	-35	į		-44		45-	-54		55-				5+			
AGREE/																				-+
DISAGREE:																				
Strongly																				
agree	0	0%		1	3%		0	0%		0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	1	. 1%	
Agree	0	0%		10	32%		10	38%		0	0%		5	71%		5	38%	30	33%	
Disagree	4	67%		17	55%		11	42%		5	71%		1	14%		6	46%	44	49%	
Strongly																				
disagree	1	17%		1	3%		4	15%		1	14%		1	14%		2	15%	10	11%	
Don't know	1	17%		2	6%		1	4%		1	14%		0	0%		0	0%	5	6%	
1																				
TOTAL	6	100	1	31	100	1	26	100	1	7	100		7	100	ļ	13	100	90	100	-

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	+ Schoo 	ol Ag Ho	TOTAL						
	+ Yе	es		No)				
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree Don't know	10 16 1 1 2 1 1	3% 33% 53% 7% 3%	+-	0 24 32 8 6	0% 34% 46% 11% 9%	 	1 34 48 10 7	1% 34% 48% 10% 7%	+
TOTAL	30 +	100	 +-	70	100	 -+-	100	100	+

Q19: There is Nothing I Can do That Affects The Cost of Cleaning Water From Beaver Lake for Drinking

By Respondent's Sex

(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+			+-	++ TOTAL					
+-	Male			Female					
+			-+- 			+-			-+
agree	0	0%		1	2%		1	1%	
Agree	19	44%		15	26%		34	34%	
Disagree Strongly	21	49%	1	27	47%	1	48	48%	1
disagree	3	7%		7	12%		10	10%	
Don't know	0	0%		7	12%		7	7%	
 TOTAL +	43	100		57	100	 - -	100	100	 -+

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+								
		Rent perty Wa		TOT	ΓAL			
1	Y (es		No	> >			
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree	0 14	0% 0% 82% 6% 12%	i I	50	18% 60%	i I	1 15 64 13	64%
TOTAL		100	 -+-		100		100	100

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

			TOTAL				
	18-24	25-35	+ 35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	
++ AGREE/		 	 		 		
DISAGREE:							
Strongly							
agree	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%
Agree	1 17%	4 13%	2 8%	0 0%	2 29%	3 23%	12 13%
Disagree	3 50%	20 65%	16 62%	6 86%	4 57%	8 62%	57 63%
Strongly		1					
disagree	0 0%	6 19%	4 15%	1 14%	1 14%	1 8%	13 14%
Don't know	2 33%	1 3%	3 12%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	7 8%
TOTAL	6 100	31 100	26 100	7 100	7 100	13 100	90 100

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+	+					+-		+
1	Schoo	ol Age Ho	TO	TAL				
	+ Ye	+ +	No) 	+ -		 +	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree	2 20 3	3% 7% 67%	4	14	0% 19% 63%		64 13	1% 15% 64% 13%
Don't know	4 	13%	 	3	4%	1	7	7%
TOTAL	30 +	100	7 +	70	100	 -+-	100	100 j

Q20: Stream Bank Erosion Has no Impact on Recreational Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Sex (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

++			+-			-+			
1		S	ex				TO	ΓAL	
+			+-			+			
1	Mai	le	:	Female					
++			+-			+-			-+
AGREE/									
DISAGREE:									
Strongly									
agree	1	2%		0	0%		1	1%	
Agree	10	23%		5	9%		15	15%	
Disagree	23	53%		41	72%		64	64%	
Strongly									
disagree	6	14%		7	12%		13	13%	
Don't know	3	7%		4	7%		7	7%	
1									
TOTAL	43	100		57	100		100	100	
++			+-			+-			-+

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	Prop	ent erty Wa	+	ТО:	гаL	-+ 			
+	Ye.		 -	No		, 			 -+
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree	10	0%	 					44% 53% 1% 2%	
TOTAL	17	100	-	83	100	İ	100	100	i

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Respondent's Age (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	AGE							
	18-24	25-35	35-44 	45-54	55-64	65+	-	
 AGREE/		+ 	+ 	 	+			
DISAGREE:		İ		i	i	i		
Strongly				1	1	1		
agree	4 67%	10 32%	12 46%	5 71%	5 71%	5 38%	41 46%	
Agree	1 17%	21 68%	13 50%	2 29%	2 29%	7 54%	46 51%	
Disagree	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 8%	1 1%	
Don't know	1 17%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%	
				1	1	1		
TOTAL	6 100	31 100	26 100	7 100	7 100	13 100	90 100	

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

: 	School Age Child in Home						TO	ΓAL
	++ Yes No							
AGREE/			-+-			-+ 		
DISAGREE:			i			i		
Strongly			1					
agree	12	40%	1	32	46%		44	44%
Agree	16	53%		37	53%		53	53%
Disagree	0	0%		1	1%		1	1%
Don't know	2	7%		0	0%		2	2%
TOTAL	30	100		70	100		100	100

Q21: We Need to Protect Habitat for Wildlife and Fish

By Respondent's Sex

(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	Sex						TOT	ΓAL	
	•			Female					
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree	23 1	44% 53% 2% 0%	 			-+· 		44% 53% 1% 2%	-+
TOTAL	43	100	i	57	100	į	100	100	i

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+			
	Rent Property Wa	TOTAL	
	Yes	 	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly disagree Don't believe	11 65% 1 6% 0 0% 1 6% 2 12%	23 28% 39 47% 9 11% 1 1% 1 1% 1 12%	25 25% 50 50% 10 10% 1 1% 2 2% 12 12%
TOTAL	17 100 +	83 100	100 100 ++

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	AGE								
	18-24	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+			
AGREE/		+ 	+ 	 		 			
DISAGREE:		1							
Strongly		1							
agree	1 17%	9 29%	7 27%	3 43%	3 43%	2 15%	25 28%		
Agree	2 33%	16 52%	14 54%	2 29%	1 14%	9 69%	44 49%		
Disagree	2 33%	3 10%	1 2 8%	1 14%	2 29%	0 0%	10 11%		
Strongly		1							
disagree	0 0%	0 0%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 1%		
Don't		1							
believe	0 0%	1 3%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	2 2%		
Don't know	1 17%	2 6%	1 4%	1 14%	1 14%	2 15%	8 9%		
		1							
TOTAL	6 100	31 100	26 100	7 100	7 100	13 100	90 100		

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+	+ Schoo 	ol Ag Ho	TOTAL					
	Ye		No					
+	+		+			-+		+
AGREE/								
DISAGREE:								
Strongly								- 1
agree	11	37%	1	4	20%		25	25%
Agree	12	40%	3	88	54%		50	50%
Disagree	4	13%		6	9%		10	10%
Strongly								
disagree	0	0%		1	1%		1	1%
Don't								
believe	1	3%		1	1%		2	2%
Don't know	2	7%	1	0	14%		12	12%
TOTAL	30	100	1 7	70	100	İ	100	100
+	+		+			-+-		+

Q22: Cost of Preventing Pollution is Less
Than Cost of Treating Polluted Water
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	L		
	S	ex	TOTAL
-	Male	Female	†
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree	 11 26%	 14 25%	
Agree	25 58%	25 44%	50 50%
Disagree Strongly	1 2% 	9 16% 	10 10%
disagree	1 2% 	0 0% 	1 1%
believe	1 2%	1 2%	2 2%
Don't know	4 9%	8 14%	12 12%
TOTAL	43 100 +	57 100 +	100 100 ++

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	Rent Property Wa	++ TOTAL 	
	Yes	i i	
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree	5 29%	 46 55%	
Agree		İ	
TOTAL	17 100 	83 100 	100 100 ++

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

		AGE							
		25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	İ		
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	2 33%	19 61%	 14 54%	 	3 43%	 5 38%	 		
TOTAL	6 100	31 100	26 100	7 100	7 100	13 100	90 100		

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	School Age Child in Home						TO	ΓAL
	++ Yes No +							
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree		63% 37%	 	32	46% 54%			51% 49%
TOTAL	30	100	 +:	70	100	 +-	100	100

Q23: Beaver Lake is Important to Me and My Family
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+			++
		ex +	TOTAL
	Male	Female	i i
AGREE/ DISAGREE: Strongly agree Agree	 24 56% 19 44%	27 47% 30 53% 57 100	
+	+	+	++

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

·	L					
	Prop		Next ter		i I	TAL
	'	es	N		 	
HEARD OF BWA: Yes	_	12% 88%			 22 78	
 TOTAL	 17 +	100	 83 +	100	100	100

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

			A(GE			 TOTAL
		25-35				65+ 	
HEARD OF	0 0%	 	4 15%	 	5 71%	 	
TOTAL	6 100	31 100	26 100	7 100	7 100	13 100	90 100

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	Schoo	Ног	me			Ì	TOTAL				
 		S	l	No		İ					
HEARD OF BWA: Yes	 6 2	20%	 :	16	23%	 					
TOTAL	30 :	100	' ' +	70	100		100	100	 -+		

Q24: Have Heard of Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+		 ex +	TOTAL
i	Male	Female +	·
HEARD OF BWA: Yes	10 23%	 12 21%	
TOTAL	43 100	57 100	100 100

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance By Whether Respondent Rents or Owns Property Next to Water (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

	,	-	
	Property Wat	or Own Next to ter	TOTAL
+	Yes	No	- ,
CONTACTED BWA: Yes			
TOTAL	2 100	 20 100 +	

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Age
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

		<u> </u>	AGE			TOTAL
+	25-35	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	, I
CONTACTED BWA: Yes No/DK	1	1 25% 3 75% 4 100	3 43% 4 57% 7 100	3 60% 2 40%	2 40% 2 40% 3 60% 	12 55% 22 100

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance By Whether Respondent Has School Age Child Living at Home (Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+	+				+-		+
	 School 	Hon	ne			TOT	ral
	+				+		
1			No)			
+	+				+		+
CONTACTED							1
BWA:							1
Yes	3 5	50%	7	44%		10	45%
No/DK	3 5	50%	9	56%		12	55%
		- 1					- 1
TOTAL	6 1	L00	16	100		22	100
+	+				+		+

Q24A1: Have Been in Contact With Beaver Watershed Alliance
By Respondent's Sex
(Unweighted Washington County Sample)

+					+-			-+
		_	ex +			TO	ΓAL	
i	Mal	Le	Fema	ale	İ			İ
+			+		+-			-+
CONTACTED								
BWA:			l					
Yes	4	40%	6	50%		10	45%	
No/DK	6	60%	6	50%		12	55%	
TOTAL	10	100	12	100		22	100	
+			+		+-			+

ZIP CODE BREAKDOWNS UNWEIGHTED AGGREGATE SAMPLE

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Zip Code (Unweighted Aggregate Sample)

++															
			ı					Zip	Code				,	ı	
	726	32	72	701		72	703	72	704	72	2721	72	727	72	730
USE LAKE			 					+ 		+					
FOR:										1			1		
Drinking										1					
water	1	100	18	90%		22	92%	10	100	1 :	1 100	14	64%	7	888
Farm, ranch.	0	0%	2	10%		1	4%	1	10%	1 :	1 100	3	14%	3	38%
Fishing	0	0%	13	65%		12	50%	4	40%	(0%	11	50%	4	50%
Boating,										1					
etc	1	100	6	30%		8	33%	4	40%	(0%	10	45%	6	75%
Camping	0	0%	9	45%		14	58%	5	50%	(0%	7	32%	6	75%
Hiking	0	0%	9	45%		12	50%	5	50%	(0%	8	36%	5	63%
Birding	0	0%	4	20%		3	13%	1	10%	(0%	5	23%	1	13%
Other	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	0	0%	(0%	0	0%	0	0%
No use										1					
mentioned	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	0	0%	(0%	2	9%	0	0%
										1			1		
TOTAL	1	100	20	100		24	100	10	100	:	1 100	22	100	8	100

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Zip Code

++				Zip Code			
+	72732	+ 72738	+ 72740	+ 72742	++ 72745	72752	+ 72753
+		+ 	+ 	+ 	++ 		+
FOR:		1	I	l	l I		
Drinking							
water	6 75%	11 79%	93 78%	3 75%	13 100	3 43%	10 83%
Farm, ranch.	1 13%	7 50%	24 20%	0 0%	2 15%	1 14%	5 42%
Fishing	3 38%	9 64%	54 45%	2 50%	8 62%	1 14%	7 58%
Boating,							
etc	5 63%	10 71%	58 49%	0 0%	8 62%	3 43%	6 50%
Camping	2 25%	9 64%	56 47%	0 0%	5 38%	6 86%	8 67%
Hiking	3 38%	3 21%	48 40%	0 0%	3 23%	3 43%	3 25%
Birding	5 63%	2 14%	34 29%	1 25%	3 23%	3 43%	6 50%
Other	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
No use		1	l				
mentioned	1 13%	0 0%	4 3%	0 0%	0 0%	1 14%	0 0%
			l				
TOTAL	8 100	14 100	119 100	4 100	13 100	7 100	12 100
++		+	+		+		

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Zip Code

++															
					+			Zip +	coae 	+		+		 -	
į	727	756	72	758	į	727	760	72	764	7.	2773	72	774	72	776
USE LAKE								 							
FOR:					1			I				1			
Drinking												1			
water	33	83%	34	85%		4	80%	11	85%	1	2 80%	10	91%	6	60%
<pre>Farm, ranch. </pre>	5	13%	6	15%		0	0%	1	8%		4 27%	1	9%	1	10%
Fishing	23	58%	23	58%		2	40%	6	46%		3 53%	7	64%	4	40%
Boating,															
etc	19	48%	23	58%		4	80%	6	46%		3 53%	4	36%	4	40%
Camping	16	40%	18	45%		2	40%	5	38%	1	4 27%	3	27%	4	40%
Hiking	17	43%	14	35%		1	20%	5	38%	1	2 13%	4	36%	3	30%
Birding	9	23%	9	23%		3	60%	4	31%	1 .	5 33%	1	9%	4	40%
Other	0	0%	0	0%		0	0%	0	0%		O %	1 0	0%	0	0%
No use								l				1			
mentioned	0	0%	1	3%	1	0	0%	0	0%		3 20%	1 0	0%	1	10%
1								1				1			
TOTAL	40	100	40	100		5	100	13	100	1	5 100	11	100	10	100

Q1-Q8: Use of Beaver Lake By Respondent's Zip Code

TOTAL	+
++	1
72959	
USE LAKE	+
TOTAL 2 100 399 100	

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Zip Code
(Unweighted Aggregate Sample)

									 Z	ip (code								
 	72	632		727	701		72	703		727	04		727	21	72	2727	7	273	0
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know TOTAL	0 0 0	100 0% 0% 0% 0%	+- - - - - -	4 1 0 0	75% 20% 5% 0% 0%	İ	5 1 0 0	75% 21% 4% 0% 0%	 	2 1 0 0	70% 20% 10% 0% 0%		0 0 0	0% 100 0% 0% 0%		1 64% 7 32% 5% 0 0% 0 0%	 	0	

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Zip Code

		Zip Code																		
	 72	732		72	738		72	740		72	742		72	745		72	752		727	753
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	4 0 1	13% 13%			79% 21% 0% 0% 0%	-+	2 1 0	30% 2% 1% 0%	-+	1 0 0 0	75% 25% 0% 0% 0%			62% 38% 0% 0% 0%	 	0 0 0	100 0% 0% 0% 0%	 	11 1 0 0 0	92% 8% 0% 0% 0%

(continued)

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Zip Code

+	+						
				Zip Code			
	72756	72758	72760	72764	72773	72774	72776
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	16 40% 1 3% 1 3%		2 40% 3 60% 0 0% 0 0%	7 54% 5 38% 1 8% 0 0%	10 67% 10 67% 10 67% 10 0% 10 7% 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		8 80% 2 20% 0 0% 0 0%
TOTAL	40 100	40 100	5 100	13 100	15 100	11 100	10 100

Q9: How Important Issue: Trash in Lakes and Streams
By Respondent's Zip Code

+	+					_
	Zip (Code		TO	TAL	İ
		959				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	0 0 0	100 0% 0% 0% 0%	+-	266 119 8 3	30% 2% 1%	+
TOTAL	1 2	100	<u> </u>	399	100	

Q10: How Important Issue: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams By Respondent's Zip Code (Unweighted Aggregate Sample)

++						
į į			Zip Code			
+	72632 72701	72703	72704	72721	72727	72730
Farm, ranch Yes No/DK	0 0% 2 10% 1 100 18 90% 1 100 20 100	1 1 4% 1 23 96% 1 24 100	1 10% 9 90% 10 100	1 100 0 0% 1 100	3 14% 19 86% 122 100	3 38% 5 63% 8 100
++		++-	+	+	+	(continue

Q10: How Important Issue: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams By Respondent's Zip Code

			 	Zip Code			
	72732	72738	72740	72742	72745	72752	72753
Farm, ranch Yes No/DK TOTAL	1 13% 7 88%	7 50% 7 50%	 24 20% 95 80%	0 0% 4 100 4 100	2 15% 11 85%	1 14% 6 86% 7 100	5 42% 7 58%
++							

Q10: How Important Issue: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams By Respondent's Zip Code

		1		Zip Code	++		
		72758	72760	72764	72773 	72774	72776
Farm, ranch Yes No/DK	5 13% 35 88% 	 6 15%	 0 0%	1 8% 12 92%		1 9%	1 10%

Q10: How Important Issue: Wastewater Treatment Discharge in Streams By Respondent's Zip Code

+	Zip		TAL
 	72		
Farm, ranch Yes No/DK	0 2	100	9 17% 9 83% 9 100

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Respondent's Zip Code (Unweighted Aggregate Sample)

									ip (Code								
	726	32	 72	2701		72	703	İ	727	704	İ	727	721	72	727	'	7273	30
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	1 0 0 0	100 0% 0% 0% 0%	(14	58% 33% 4% 4% 0%	 	6	60% 40% 0% 0% 0%	-+- 	0	0% 100 0% 0% 0%	10 1 0	36% 45% 5% 0% 14%	+ 	1 1 1 1 0	
TOTAL	1	100	20	0 100	 -+-	24	100	i i	10	100	i	1	100	22	100	 +	8 1	L00

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Respondent's Zip Code

1										Zip	Code									
1	72	732		72	738		72	740		72	742		72	745		72	752		72	753
HOW												-+-			-+-			-+-		
IMPORTANT:	_	750	-	1	200	-	11	210	-	0	00	-	1	210	-	2	120	-	1	220
Very		75% 25%	1		29% 57%			34% 46%	1	0	0% 25%	1		31% 54%			43% 57%	1	8	33% 67%
Not too	0		i	1	7%	i		11%	i		50%	i		15%		0	0%	i	0	0%
Not at all	0	0%	i	0	0%	i	4	3%	i	0	0%	i	0	0%	i	0	0%	i	0	0%
Don't know	0	0%		1	7%		6	5%		1	25%		0	0%	-	0	0%		0	0%
		100	-		100		110	1.00	-		100	-	4.0	100	- [_	100	1		100
TOTAL	8	100		14	100		119	100		4	100		13	100		./	100		12	100

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Respondent's Zip Code

++			5 Mip coc											
		Zip Code												
	72756	72758 727	·	72773	72774	72776								
HOW IMPORTANT: Very		13 33% 1		 4 27% 9 60%	4 36% 5 45%	5 50% 4 40%								
Not too Not at all Don't know	1 3% 0 0% 1 3%	5 13% 1 0 0% 0 1 3% 0	20% 1 8% 0% 1 8% 0% 1 8%	1 7% 1 7% 0 0%	0 0% 1 9% 1 9%	0 0% 0 0% 1 10%								
 TOTAL	40 100	40 100 5	100 13 100	15 100	11 100	10 100								

Q11: How Important Issue: Run-off From New Developments By Respondent's Zip Code

+	+ Zip			TO	ral	+
		959				
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	1 0 0	0%		164 179 30 9 17		1
TOTAL	2	100	İ	399	100	- -

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Zip Code
(Unweighted Aggregate Sample)

·									Z	Zip (Code								
	726	32		727	701		72	703		727	704		727	721	72	727		727	730
HOW IMPORTANT: Very	1	100	+- 	10	50%	-+- 	8	33%	-+- 	 5	50%	-+- 	0	0%	+ 11	50%	-+- 	2	25%
Important	0	0%	i		35%	i	10	42%	i	4	40%	i	1	100	, 7	32%	i	5	63%
Not too	0	0%		2	10%		5	21%		1	10%		0	0%	2	9%		1	13%
Not at all	0	0%		1	5%		1	4%		0	0%		0	0%	1	5%		0	0%
Don't know	0	0%	1	0	0%		0	0%	1	0	0%		0	0%	1	5%		0	0%
TOTAL	1	100		20	100		24	100		10	100		1	100	22	100		8	100

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Zip Code

										Zip (Code									
+ 	72	732		72	738		72	740 		727	742			745		72	752		72	753
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	2	38% 25% 25% 13% 0%		5	43% 36% 21% 0% 0%		45 51	38% 43% 13% 3% 4%	1 1 1 1 1 1	2	50% 50% 0% 0% 0%		6 5	46% 38% 15% 0%		3 4 0 0	43% 57% 0% 0% 0%			50% 50% 0% 0%
 TOTAL	8	100		14	100		119	100	1	4	100		13	100		7	100		12	100

(continued)

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Zip Code

							Zip							
1	7275	56	727	758	•	760	+ 72			773	•	 774	+ 72'	776
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know TOTAL	17 4 8 2 2 1	13% 20% 5% 3%	17 3 0 1	48% 43% 8% 0% 3%	2 1 0 0	0 0	6 2 1 0	31% 46% 15% 8% 0%	6 4 0 0	33% 40% 27% 0% 0%	4 0 1 0	9%	4 1 0 0	0%

Q12: How Important Issue: Agricultural Run-off
By Respondent's Zip Code

+	+			+-			- +
į	Zip				TOT	ΓAL	į
			959				1
+	+			+-			-+
HOW	1			1			1
IMPORTANT:	Ì			İ			Ì
Very		1	50%		162	41%	
Important	:	1	50%		166	42%	
Not too	1	0	0%		52	13%	
Not at all	1	0	0%	1	11	3%	-
Don't know		0	0%		8	2%	-
TOTAL	:	2	100		399	100	-
+	+			-+-			-+

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Zip Code
(Unweighted Aggregate Sample)

										Zip (Code									
	72	632		72	701		72	703		727	704		72	721		72	727		72	730
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	0 0 0 0	0% 0%	5 5 5 6 6		5% 0%		8 4 0 0	• •	-+	3 0 0	70% 30% 0% 0% 0%		0 0 0	100 0% 0% 0% 0%		9 0 1 0	55% 41% 0% 5% 0%		2 1 0 2	38% 25% 13% 0% 25%

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems By Respondent's Zip Code

									_	Zip (code								
+	72	732		72	738		72	740		727	742		72	745	72	2752	-+	72	753
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know		75% 25% 0% 0% 0%		4	57% 29% 14% 0% 0%			51% 36% 8% 0% 4%		3 0 0	75% 0% 0% 0% 25%	 	7	54% 38% 8% 0% 0%	2		 		58% 25% 8% 0% 8%
 TOTAL 	8	100		14	100		119	100		4	100		13	100		7 100		12	100

(continued)

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Zip Code

++															
				Zip Code											
	72756	72758	72760	72764	72773	72774	72776								
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	16 40% 22 55% 1 3% 0 0% 1 3%	25 63% 12 30% 2 5% 0 0% 1 3%	1 20% 2 40% 2 40% 0 0% 0 0%	4 31% 7 54% 2 15% 0 0% 0 0%	7 47% 4 27% 2 13% 1 7% 1 7%	4 36% 7 64% 0 0% 0 0%	4 40% 5 50% 1 10% 0 0% 0 0%								
TOTAL	40 100	40 100	5 100	13 100	15 100	11 100	10 100								

Q13: How Important Issue: Poorly Maintained Septic Systems
By Respondent's Zip Code

+	+		+		-+
	Zip (+			TAL	į
	729	959	İ		
+	+		+		-+
HOW	1		1		1
IMPORTANT:	İ		İ		Ì
Very	0	0%	207	52%	Ì
Important		50%	147	37%	Ì
Not too	0	0%	29	7%	
Not at all	0	0%	3	1%	
Don't know	1	50%	13	3%	
			1		
TOTAL	2	100	399	100	
+	+		+		+

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Respondent's Zip Code
(Unweighted Aggregate Sample)

		1	1 1	Zip Code	ı		1
	72632	72701	72703	72704	72721	72727	72730
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	1 100 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0%	5 25% 5 25% 12 60% 1 5% 0 0% 2 10%		2 20% 4 40% 4 40% 0 0% 0 0%	0 0% 1 100 0 0% 0 0% 0 0%	4 18% 10 45% 7 32% 1 5% 0 0%	2 25% 4 50% 1 13% 0 0% 1 13%
TOTAL	1 100	20 100 +	24 100 ++	10 100	1 100 +	22 100	8 100 (continue

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Respondent's Zip Code

				Zip Code			ı
	72732	72738	72740	72742	72745	72752	72753
++ HOW IMPORTANT:				 	 		
Very	2 25%	3 21%	23 19%	2 50%	0 0%	2 29%	5 42%
Important	5 63%	3 21%	49 41%	1 25%	8 62%	3 43%	1 8%
Not too	0 0%	5 36%	34 29%	1 25%	5 38%	1 14%	4 33%
Not at all	0 0%	2 14%	4 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
Don't know	1 13%	1 7% 	9 8% 	0 0%	0 0%	1 14%	2 17%
TOTAL	8 100	14 100	119 100	4 100	13 100	7 100	12 100
			,		,		(continue

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion By Respondent's Zip Code

				Zip Code			
	72756	72758	72760	72764	72773	72774	72776
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all	8 20% 24 60% 5 13% 2 5%	4 10% 4 10% 14 35% 15 38% 0 0%	1 20% 3 60% 1 20% 0 0%		2 13% 9 60% 3 20% 0 0%	3 27% 5 45% 2 18% 0 0%	0 0% 3 30% 6 60% 0 0%
Don't know 	1 3%	7 18% 7 18% 40 100	0 0%	0 0%	1 7% 1 15 100	1 9% 1 11 100	1 10%

Q14: How Important Issue: Stream Bank Erosion
By Respondent's Zip Code

+						
į į	Zip (Code		TOT	ΓAL	į
	729					1
++			-+-			-+
HOW						
IMPORTANT:			1			-
Very	0	0%	1	79	20%	-
Important	2	100	1	181	45%	-
Not too	0	0%		101	25%	-
Not at all	0	0%	1	9	2%	-
Don't know	0	0%	1	29	7%	-
			1			-
TOTAL	2	100	ĺ	399	100	İ
++			+-			-+

Q15: How Important Issue: Pollution From Recreational Activities By Respondent's Zip Code (Unweighted Aggregate Sample)

HOW IMPORTANT:	7263 	 32 	+ 72 +	 701 	-+- -+-	727	703	+	7270		 72	 721	+ 72	 727	+		 30
HOW IMPORTANT:	7263 	32 	+ 72 +	701 		727	703	+ 7	7270	4	72	721	+ 72	727		727	30
IMPORTANT:			 		+-			1							1		
Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	1 1 0 0 0 0	0% 0% 0% 0%	8 5 3 0	20% 40% 25% 15% 0%		12 2 2 0	33% 50% 8% 8% 0%	+ 		0% 0% 0% 0%	0 0 0	0% 100 0% 0% 0%	13 3 0 0	27% 59% 14% 0% 0%	+ 	2 0 0	0% 75% 25% 0% 0%

(continued)

Q15: How Important Issue: Pollution From Recreational Activities By Respondent's Zip Code

										zip	Code										
	72	732		72	738			740	- -		742	-+ -+	72	745	 -+-		752	 -+-		753	
HOW IMPORTANT:												- + 	_								-7
Very	3	38%	İ	2	14%	i	30	25%	i	0	0%	i	2	15%		0	0%	i	4	33%	
Important	3	38%		9	64%		62	52%		3	75%		10	77%		3	43%		8	67%	
Not too	2	25%		2	14%		22	18%		1	25%		1	8%		3	43%		0	0%	
Not at all	0	0%		1	7%	1	5	4%		0	0%		0	0%		0	0%	- [0	0%	
Don't know	0	0%	1	0	0%	!	0	0%		0	0%	- [0	0%	!	1	14%	1	0	0%	
			-						-			- 1			-	_		-			
TOTAL	8 	100	 -+-	14	100	1	19	100	 -+	4	100	 -+	13 	100	 -+-		100	 - + -	12 	100	_
			1			'			'			'			'				(cont		

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Zip Code

++							
į į				Zip Code			
	72756	72758 	72760	72764	72773	72774	72776
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	13 33% 20 50% 4 10% 3 8% 0 0%	 10 25% 21 53% 7 18% 1 3% 1 3%	2 40% 2 40% 1 20% 2 40% 0 0%	3 23% 3 23% 7 54% 0 0% 0 0%	7 47% 7 47% 6 40% 1 7% 0 0% 1 7%	3 27% 6 55% 1 9% 1 9% 0 0%	3 30% 3 30% 4 40% 0 0% 0 0%
TOTAL	40 100	40 100 +	5 100	13 100	15 100	11 100	10 100

Q15: How Important Issue:
Pollution From Recreational Activities
By Respondent's Zip Code

+	+		+-			-+
1	Zip (TO	ΓAL	-
-			+			-
	/2:	959	1			-
I HOW			1			- T
IMPORTANT:	 		i			i
Very	1	50%	i	108	27%	i
Important		50%	i	202	51%	i
Not too	0	0%		70	18%	-
Not at all	0	0%		16	4%	
Don't know	0	0%		3	1%	
TOTAL	2	100		399	100	
+	+		+-			-+

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Zip Code
(Unweighted Aggregate Sample)

++							
		.	L	Zip Code +	L		
	72632	72701	72703	72704	72721	72727	72730
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Not too Not at all Don't know	0 0% 0 0% 1 100 0 0% 0 0%	4 20% 11 55% 1 5% 2 10% 2 10%	4 17% 4 17% 14 58% 3 13% 0 0% 3 13%	3 30% 3 30% 5 50% 2 20% 0 0%	0 0% 1 100 0 0% 0 0%	2 9% 2 9% 16 73% 3 14% 0 0%	1 13% 5 63% 2 25% 0 0% 0 0%
TOTAL	1 100	20 100	24 100	10 100	1 100	 22 100	8 100

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Zip Code

		<u> </u>		Zip Code			
	72732	72738	72740	72742	72745	72752	72753
HOW IMPORTANT: Very Important Im	3 38% 4 50% 1 13%	+	+			1 14% 4 57% 1 14%	3 25% 5 42% 3 25%
Not too Not at all Don't know	0 0%	1 /8 0 0% 2 14%	17 148 1 18 19 168	0 0% 0 0% 1 25%	1 88 1 88 0 08	0 0% 1 14%	0 0% 1 8%
TOTAL	8 100	14 100	119 100	4 100	13 100	7 100	12 100

(continued)

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Zip Code

+- 4	 72776
	72770
	0 0%
	5 50% 3 30%
	1 10% 1 10%
00	10 100
(()	9% 3% 9% 0% 9%

Q16: How Important Issue: Land Use Changes
By Respondent's Zip Code

+			+-			- +
į	Zip (Code		TOT	ΓAL	į
	'	959				
+	+		+-			-+
HOW			1			-
IMPORTANT:	I		İ			i
Very	1	50%		66	17%	
Important	0	0%		217	54%	-
Not too	0	0%		60	15%	
Not at all	0	0%		10	3%	
Don't know	1	50%		46	12%	
TOTAL	2	100		399	100	-
+	+		+-			-+

VERBATIM RESPONSES

(If yes, what is it?)

INDUSTRIAL PIG FARMS (CNH FARMS) ON BUFFALO NATIONAL RIVER

NO HOG FARMS AND THOSE THAT EXIST MUST BE WATCHED CLOSELY

SWINE FARM & BUFFALO; AND SOME CONSTRUCTION OUTFIT IS DUMPING BENZENE.

POLLUTION FROM BOATS AND RUNOFF FROM BIG FARMS, ESPECIALLY PIG AND CHICKEN FARMS

HOG FARMS, CHICKEN FARMERS- IS THEIR WASTE BEING PROPERLY ASSESSED OR TREATED?

DEVELOPERS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO BUILD WITHIN A CERTAIN DISTANCE OF A WATERSHED OR SOURCE

job site control

Sewage discharge.

SEWER SYSTEMS RUNNING OFF INTO OUR LAKES AND STREAMS...

STRICTER FINES FOR POLLUTERS

ALL POLLUTION SHOULD BE WATCHED MORE CLOSELY

don't feel it's clean, stomach issues from drinking

LIKE TO SEE IT CLEANER

EROSION AND PESTICIDES INCREASING TREATMENT

RUNOFF IS MY BIGGEST CONCERN

run off

Flint Michigan's problem needs to be addressed

improper disposal of prescription meds

nuclear waste in water

I HEARD THAT WASTEWATER WAS COMING FROM AN OLD NUCLEAR SITE

less iron in water

TASTE IS HORRIBLE LATELY. CAN'T SOMETHING BE DONE?

Taste is an issue for my husband.

TASTES NASTY LATELY

Doesn't taste great

BROWN WATER COMING OUT OF TAP- TASTES BAD. I HAVE COMPLAINED BUT WAS TOLD IT WAS DRINKABLE EVEN THOUGH IT TASTED BAD.

Taste is terrible - it has changed.

taste

tastes bad

Better taste

algae taste in fall

Tastes like dirt.

Taste - tastes like Pine Sol.

Water tastes terrible. When the lake turns over it smells bad

see the white river dam made up control the flooding. and the taste of the water

Improve the flavor

THE WATER TASTES DIRTY ABOUT TWICE A YEAR SPRING AND LATE SUMMER

TRASH IN BEAVER LAKE

WOULD LIKE TO KEEP LAKE CLEAN

WATER IS BAD ENOUGH WITHOUT ALL THE TRASH THROWN IN

STYROFOAM CUPS THAT END UP IN OUR WATERS

people dumping trash in the water

Seen lots of trash in the lake - more education, regulation.

people dumping trash in the water

Seen lots of trash in the lake - more education, regulation.

GET RID OF FLOURIDE
Fluoride in the water.
fluoride in the water
FLOODING
flooding issues
WOULD LIKE TO HAVE RURAL WATER IN MY LOCATION
Rural water
MORE CONSISTENCY
WHY MUST WE BUY BOTTLED WATER SEVERAL MONTHS A YEAR?
I DON'T DRINK THE WATER AND WOULD LIKE TO BETTER INFORMED BECAUSE IT DOES NOT SEEM VERY GOOD.
Stream bank erosion
Overuse
THE HARDWATER IN SPRINGDALE

war eagle river water treatment

FALL: WHEN THE LAKE TURNS OVER

SEE THAT THEY TRY TO IMPROVE THE WATER QUALITY BUT I DONT KNOW HOW.

EPA run by someone cares about environment

D1. In what city, town, community, or subdivision do you live?



West Fork

Rogers

West Fork

Huntsville

Huntsville

Fayetteville

Kingston

Wesley

Fayetteville

Fayetteville

Springdale

Rogers

Huntsville

Huntsville

Garfield

Huntsville

Elkins

Fayetteville

Fayetteville

Elkins

Huntsville

Garfield

Springdale

Rogers

Huntsville

Garfield

West Fork

Wesley

Springdale

Hindsville

Huntsville

Prairie Grove

Huntsville

Rogers

Huntsville

Wesley

ROGERS

Huntsville

Rogers

Wesley

Fayetteville

Elkins

Prairie Grove

Oark

Wesley

Hindsville

Fayetteville

Huntsville

Lowell

Huntsville

Elkins

Fayetteville

Farmington

Rogers

Fayetteville

Prairie Grove

Rogers

Fayetteville

Wesley

Fayetteville

Springdale

Rogers

Huntsville

Springdale

Elkins

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Rogers

Huntsville

Huntsville

Fayetteville

Rogers

Hindsville

Fayetteville

Huntsville

Prairie Grove

Elkins

Huntsville

Huntsville

Rogers

Huntsville

Huntsville

Rogers

Rogers

Rogers

Fayetteville

Fayetteville

Fayetteville

Fayetteville

Rogers

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Saint Paul

Lowell

Huntsville

Huntsville

Lowell

Saint Paul

Fayetteville

Huntsville

Fayetteville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Fayetteville

Hindsville

Springdale

Huntsville

Huntsville

Garfield

Elkins

Rogers

Rogers

Huntsville

Rogers

Rogers

Wesley

Huntsville

Fayetteville

Lowell

Huntsville

Huntsville

Rogers

Lowell

Rogers

Fayetteville

Rogers

Springdale

Fayetteville

Witter

Huntsville

Springdale

Huntsville

Rogers

Witter

Farmington

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Pettigrew

Fayetteville

Huntsville

Rogers

Rogers

Saint Paul

Huntsville

Huntsville

Wesley

Hindsville

Witter

Farmington

Huntsville

Fayetteville

Rogers

Eureka Springs

Huntsville

Pettigrew

Rogers

Kingston

Hindsville

Fayetteville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Farmington

Winslow

Huntsville

Fayetteville

Fayetteville

Lowell

Fayetteville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Prairie Grove

Elkins

Huntsville

Wesley

Fayetteville

Huntsville

Lowell

Rogers

Fayetteville

Rogers

Fayetteville

Huntsville

Rogers

Rogers

Elkins

Huntsville

Huntsville

Rogers

Hindsville

Huntsville

Fayetteville

West Fork

Fayetteville

Fayetteville

Fayetteville

Prairie Grove

Huntsville

Huntsville

Rogers

Farmington

Elkins

Huntsville

Rogers

West Fork

rogers

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Elkins

Huntsville

Garfield

Huntsville

Hindsville

Elkins

Wesley

Huntsville

Rogers

Huntsville

Rogers

Huntsville

Rogers

Huntsville

Elkins

Kingston

Prairie Grove

Lowell

West Fork

Fayetteville

Rogers

Rogers

Huntsville

Rogers

Huntsville

Rogers

Rogers

Witter

Rogers

Rogers

Fayetteville

Fayetteville

Fayetteville

Elkins

Rogers

Rogers

Pettigrew

Rogers

Huntsville

Fayetteville

Springdale

Witter

Huntsville

Fayetteville

Little Flock

Fayetteville

Wesley

Huntsville

Hindsville

Rogers

Springdale

Springdale

Rogers

Wesley

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Elkins

Little Flock

Witter

Combs

Farmington

Little Flock

Huntsville

Hindsville

Fayetteville

Rogers

Hindsville

Rogers

Hindsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Rogers

Garfield

Witter

Huntsville

Saint Paul

Huntsville

Rogers

Rogers

Elkins

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Huntsville

Rogers

Elkins

Huntsville

Rogers

Rogers

West Fork

Pettigrew

Fayetteville

Lowell

Witter

Rogers

Huntsville

Huntsville

Saint Paul

Garfield

Fayetteville

West Fork

Witter

Lowell

Pettigrew

Hindsville

Farmington

Rogers

Huntsville

Elkins

Rogers

Huntsville

Little Flock

Huntsville

Rogers

Rogers

Garfield

Pettigrew

Huntsville

Huntsville

Rogers

Huntsville

West Fork

Fayetteville

Rogers

Elkins

Prairie Grove

Wesley

Huntsville

Wesley

Huntsville

Farmington

West Fork

Elkins

Huntsville

Hindsville

Elkins

Huntsville

Huntsville

Rogers

Prairie Grove

Fayetteville

Rogers

Huntsville

Huntsville

D3. What is the primary occupation of the adults in your household?

First Adult's Occupation Mentioned

RETIRED

Software Implementation & Training Specialist

Patient Care Coordinator

Teacher

administration

self employed

RETIRED

Teacher

Business development

Illustrator

Homemaker

IT

client management

RETIRED

Refused

Office Administrator

Recruiter staffing -human resources

RETIRED

home repair

Retired

Teacher

RETIRED

RETIRED

Tax Accountant

RETIRED

RETIRED

Construction worker Construction

RETIRED

CAREER COUNSELOR

BUSINESS CONSULTANT

Sales Advisor

RETIRED

RETIRED

Refused

RETIRED

Human Resources Mining

care giver for elderly

Law

Storage Administrator Financial

customer service agent Financial Services

public affairs

Nanny

WORKS FOR DR,

Environmental Scientist

Writer and Analyst

kindergarten teacher education

Sales

Driver

Retired

COURIER

Food service

CUSTOMER SERVICE

RETIRED

retired

Product Ambassador/Video Freelancer

RETIRED

retired

RETIRED

material handler warehouse

Unemployed

REFUSED

Support Staff for Special needs students

RETIRED

RETIRED

Customer Service

CEO

Professional Landscaper

Refused

NATURAL GAS CO.

retail

4 h worker

production associate

Teacher

retired

Sales associate at clothing store

PLUMBER

teacher

RETIRED

Senior Business Systems Analyst

PROFESSOR AT U OF ARK

Contractor

mom

HOMEMAKER

General Manager

teacher

RETIRED

strategy

Veterinary technician

Teacher

accountant

Teacher

Welding

CLERICAL

Human Resources

Homemaker

RETIRED

child therpist

Maintenance Technician Automotive

frrelance

retail

Waitress

RETIRED

Retired

retired RN

Accounting Assistant

Retired

RETIRED

ENVIRONMENT MANAGER

RETIRED

Retired

Med School

Recruiter / Staffing agency

Executive Assistant

CFO AT UNIVERSITY

Refused

Refused

DOCTOR

FARMHAND

retired

RETIRED

retired

Employed full-time

Retired

UNEMPLOYED

RETIRED

Senior Recovery

in home care for medicare

Early Childhood Teacher (Preschool)

Office Manager

CONSTRUCTION CONSULTING

Self employed hairstylist

Refused

SUB-CONTRACTOR

Farming

Customer service rep

RETIRED

Minister

Retired

RETIRED

Make up artist

waitress

Legal Administrator

RETIRED

NURSING

Retired

HOMEMAKER

HOMEMAKER

retired

Customer service

retired

RETIRED

Loan Oficer

Pastor

MEAT CUTTER

RETIRED

RETIRED

RETIRED

Ophthalmic technician

Stylist

Refused

RETIRED

RETIRED

pet sitter

Musician/Entertainer

RETIRED

RETIRED

Higher ed

retired

owner

RETIRED

RETIRED

retired

School bus driver

RETIRED

REFUSED

Unemployed

Legal Secretary Law

coaching soccer

MECHANIC

retired

Office Manager

Counselor

Sales Retail

URBAN PLANNER

Admin Assistant

REAL ESTATE AND MANAGEMENT

RN

BUSINESSMAN

receptionist

program director

home health

Bartender/Server

RETIRED

Consultant

Manager

Marketing

Nurse manager

retired

Account manager Insurance

Retired

IT

RETIRED

Retired

disabled

mechanic

Payroll

retired

Software Trainer

RETIRED

Reservationist

Warehouse manager

RETIRED

BUILDING SALES

Teacher

RETIRED

General Manager

Retired

Learning Coach Education

Customer service e

WALMART

RETIRED

unemployed

sales

counter

Center Director

Teacher Education Banker **RETIRED** customer service rep teacher **FARMING Assistant**

RETIRED

RETIRED

PRINTER

retired

Online Training Consultant

Consultant

licensed childcare self employed

TEACHER

Forklift technician

RETIRED

Customs Broker

RETIRED

ass. manager

Cafe manager

Sales

retired

Refused

retired

RETIRED

retired

Self employed

RETIRED

Railway Equipment Company Manufacturing Engineer

homemaker

Disabled

RETIRED

school teacher

NURSES

Corporate Sales Manager

Field Sales

Manager

event rentals

WRITER

RETIRED

hospice care

Software Engineer

Retired

Sales/Military

Supervisor

licensed child care

FARMER

PHYSICAL THERAPY

RETIRED

appointment setter

RETIRED

RETIRED

Owner

Recruiter

A full-time homemaker

software engineer

RETIRED

RETIRED

retired

clothing agent

RETIRED

Graduate student

Nanny Childcare

Refused

RETIRED

Practice Manager

RETIRED

ACCOUNT MANAGER

speech language

Baker

PCA

registered nurse

presentation specialist

RETIRED

Refused

RETIRED

tool maker

Sales Rep

retired

RETIRED

business owner - customs broker

RETIRED

teacher education

RETIRED

Realtor Residential Real Estate

retired

RETIRED

CONSTRUCTION

self employed

FARMER

Retail

owner

Retired

RETIRED

Retired/Doctor

Professor at University

Disabled

MECANIC

Salesman

Nanny, PCA, Personal Trainer

clerical

PROFESSIONAL

Shop lead

Reader/Test Scorer Education

RETIRED

Independent contractor construction, production, etc.

Business Owner

Account Executive Incentive Travel Sales

RETIRED

Childcare provider Childcare

Biologist Government

Cleaner

Customer Service

Assisted Living Facility

Blue collar work

Refused

Pharmacy Technician

Real Estate

retired

nanny child care

RETIRED

Occupational therapy

STUDENT

Teacher Education

credential analyst

Refused

trucking

Piano Teacher (self-employed)

retired

RETIRED

Administrative

retired

unemployed

retired

RETIRED

shipping and recieving clerk

RETIRED

Retired

RETIRED

CASHIER

Processor

DISABLED

Business owner

Law enforcement

retired

disability

Business Director

PHYSICIAN ASST

Elementary Math Teacher

waitress

TEACHER

Sales

Nurse

Social Work Supervisor

INSURANCE AGENT

Bakery

Accounting Church

retired

Server Restaurant

construction contractor

LIQUOR SALES

RETIRED

RETIRED

RETIRED

Truck driver

RETIRED

Strategic Consultant Consumer Electronics

Mover/Customer Service Representative

RETIRED

retired

general labor

retied

RETIRED

Parent Involvement Facilitator & cashier

D3. What is the primary occupation of the adults in your household?

Second Adult's Occupation Mentioned

RETIRED
Firefighter
walmart
RETIRED
Server
Staff Nurse
RETIRED
Resolution/Recovery Analyst Healthcare
Retired
Dietary aide
RETIRED
RETIRED
Office Manager Accounting
RETIRED
RETIRED
Substitute teacher School
RETIRED
MEDICAL EQUIPMENT
RETIRED
retired
TRUCKER
homemaker
Owner of and Insurance agency
Photographer
MAID SERVICE
laborer
RETIRED
RETIRED
REFUSED
Project Manager
RETIRED
Child Nutrition Rep.
Production Artist
ELECTRONIC CO.
retired
FINANCIAL PLANNER
marketing
RETIRED

U OF ARK

manufacturing

OILFIELD WORKER

student

manager

Insurance Agent

Agriculture packaging Company

CLERICAL

RETIRED

Health services supervisor

RETIRED

RETIRED

METEOROLOGIST

Water District

Manager

SYSTEMS ENGINEER

Benefits Manager

retired

Project Manager

MANUFACTURING

insurance

small business owner

security

Retired

Kindergarten Teacher

RETIRED

Rental Property Management

Retired

hvac tech

ROOFER

Retired

VIDEO PRODUCER

Secretary

retired

RETIRED

Computer Operator

Unemployed

OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST

RETIRED

RETIRED

RETIRED

TEACHER

RETIRED

RETIRED

Graphic/Web designer Graphic/Web Design

retired

Policy Consultant

RETIRED

REFUSED

retired

Animal caretaker

A stay-at-home parent

Cashier

DISABLED

RETIRED

Marina owner

farmer

RETIRED

Manager

transportation

Retired

school

RETIRED

disabled

Insurance

Insurance Coordinator

Retail Worker

RETIRED

NURSE

Children's Ministry Director and part time Nanny

RETIRED

Certified Nursing Assistant

CLERK

Portrait studio associate

Retired

Administration/Accounting

RETIRED

FARMING

RETIRED

teacher

Sr Financial Analyst

Part time Retail

TEACHER

Webmaster

RETIRED

RETIRED

director of analytics

Retail shop owner

RETIRED

RETIRED

electrician

Vet tech

walmart

NURSES

reserve teacher

event rentals

Retired

FARMER

RN

MEDICAL BILLING

RETIRED

RETIRED

Shift leader

employed by military

RETIRED

computer repair

Billing

RETIRED

retired

RETIRED

Tattoo artist

Accounting clerk

Manager Food industry

Cook

RETIRED

school administrator

RETIRED

Sales representative

RETIRED

Volunteers of America

FARMERS WIFE

TRUCK DRIVER

newpaper delivery

City Maintenance

Retired

CASHIER

HSR

Instructor

Sales Manager - Car dealership

student teacher

NURSE

sales food

RETIRED

Payroll/Benefits

clerical theatre

optical

Real Estate

Consultant

Associate Educator

Financial Services Rep

farming

WELDER

RETIRED

works for schools

landscaping

RETIRED

driver

RETIRED

UNEMPLOYED

landscaping

Berista

sales

cashier

Event Coordinator

SMALL BUSINESS OWNER

RETIRED

RETIRED

entertainer

retired

RETIRED

Customer service/life insurance company

D3. What is the primary occupation of the adults in your household?

Third Adult's Occupation Mentioned

Entrepreneur Harbor Freight RETAIL